

Problem 1

1. Test your program without using any locks. Simulate a sequence of enqueue and dequeue operations. Comments on the results and highlights the data race problem that is expected to occur.

since there is no lock involved, if we enqueue 0, 5, 6 with 3 threads; and deque with 2 threads, we should see one element remain in the queue. The data race problem here is that you can not ensure all the enqueue/dequeue calls are finished properly, which means, while the tail pointer was accessed by one of the enq threads, it is possible that one of the other thread will acquire the same tail from the memory (since all threads share the same address space), thus an enqueue will result in nothing get enqueued;

```
main: end
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p1-nolock
main: begin
Queue elements:
6

main: end
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p1-nolock
main: begin
Queue elements:
5
```

refer to the above screen capture, we should get 6 as the only remaining element, however 5 could be printed out, since 6 is not enqueued;

2. Compare the performance of your program by using two locks (as in the slides) as opposed to using only one lock for both the enqueue and dequeue operations. Simulate a large number of overlapped (not sequential) enqueue and dequeue operations by all threads and use the system time (this is for simplicity as it is not the best way) to compare the performance of these two approaches. Comments on the results.

According to the performance (time cost in us), the one lock approach does not show too much difference compare to the two locks approach

- ribble/hwk05# ./h5-p1-twolocks

Queue elements:

main: end

took 817338 us

root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-t

- ribble/hwk05# ./h5-p1-onelock

Queue elements:

main: end

took 816395 us

mutex lock implementation is architecture-specific. most of the mutexes will cost almost nothing if there is native hardware support, others will cost a lot. In the above case, we can see little difference on the performance.

3. Why do we need a dummy node in case two locks are deployed? Support your answer by testing the code without using a dummy node.

if there is no dummy node, think about this edge case, when there is only one node remains in the queue, so both the enqueue and dequeue thread can access that value, a dequeue would fail as an enqueue is not done.

main: begin

Queue elements:

6

main: end

root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/cr

rible/hwk05#

Problem 2

Test Result

num_threads	time_take (us)
1	1100
2	1337
3	1100
4	1200
5	1200
6	1124
7	1388
8	1432
9	1923
10	1817

screen shots provided

```

Took 1668 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 7
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1244 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 7
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1527 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 8
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1665 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 8
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1634 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 8
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1571 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 8
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1523 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 9
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1538 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 9
Sum = 4999950000

```

```
Took 2800 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 9
Sum = 4999950000

Took 2365 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 9
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1614 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 9
Sum = 4999950000

Took 2629 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1716 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1437 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1319 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1471 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1529 us
● root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# ./h5-p2 100000 10
Sum = 4999950000

Took 1815 us
○ root@da07666c03f5:/workspaces/crispy-tribble/hwk05# █
```

Problem 3



< † ↶ ↷ ⌂ ⌃ ⌄ ⌅ +

Problem 3 (20 Points)

The following table represents the current state of a system in which four resources A, B, C, D are needed by the shown four processes. The system contains the following total instances of each resource: 6 of A, 4 of B, 4 of C, 2 of D.

F	P <small>rocesses</small>	Allocation	Max	Need	Available
		A B C D	A B C D	A B C D	A B C D
F	P_0	2 0 1 1	3 2 1 1	1 2 0 0	4 2 3 1
F	P_1	1 1 0 0	1 2 0 2	0 1 0 2	6 4 4 2
F	P_2	1 0 1 0	3 2 1 0	2 2 0 0	5 2 4 1
F	P_3	0 1 0 1	2 1 0 1	2 0 0 0	5 3 4 2

Answer the following questions using the Banker's algorithm:

- Fill the missing entries in columns *Need* and *Available* in the above table.
- Is the system in a safe state? Explain why.
- Can a request from P_2 of (2,2,0,0) be granted? Explain why.

b) Yes. since $P_0 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow P_1$ satisfies safety criteria



c)

2
3
1
4

6 4 4 2

0 0 2 2

Processes	Allocation				Max				Need				Available			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
P_0	2	0	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	5	2	4	3
P_1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	2	6	3	4	3
P_2	1	3	0	2	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	2	3	2
P_3	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	6	4	4	4

It can be granted ;

$P_2 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_3$ satisfies.