

APPENDIX A  
PROOF OF THEOREM 1

**Theorem 1** (Supermartingale Two-Hop End-to-End Service Reliability with Heterogeneous Services). *The queue's stability condition is  $\mathbb{E}[a(1)] \leq \min(\mathbb{E}[s_1(1)], \mathbb{E}[s_2(1)])$  and define*

$$\theta^* = \min\{\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*\},$$

where  $\theta_i^* = \sup\{\theta > 0 : \Upsilon_a \leq \Upsilon_{s_i}\}, \forall i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then, we can obtain the service reliability of MU  $u_k$  offloading tasks to the BS at a large slot as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(L(n) \geq \sigma) \\ \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[j_a(a(0))] \mathbb{E}[j_{s_1}(s_1(0))] \mathbb{E}[j_{s_2}(s_2(0))]}{J} e^{-\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J = \min_{a > \max\{s_1, s_2\}} \{j_a(x) j_{s_1}(s_1) j_{s_2}(s_2)\},$$

i.e., the minimum of  $j_a(a) j_{s_1}(s_1) j_{s_2}(s_2)$  when the immediate arrival volume (i.e.,  $a$ ) is greater than the maximum of the two instantaneous services (i.e.,  $\max\{s_1, s_2\}$ ).

*Proof.* Before starting the proof, we give the expression for Doob's inequality, which is used in the last few steps of the proof, i.e., when  $X(n)$  is a supermartingale process, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} X(n) \geq \zeta\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X(\sigma)]}{\zeta}.$$

According to the Eq. (20)(21), there exists  $\varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2 = n$  such that  $S_1(n) \otimes S_2(n) = S_1(\varsigma_1) + S_2(\varsigma_2)$ . Then, we can derive the delay violation probability defined in Eq. (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(L(n) \geq \sigma) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A(n - \sigma) \geq D_2(n)) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(A(n - \sigma) \geq \inf_{0 \leq \varsigma \leq n} \{A(0, \varsigma) + \tilde{S}(\varsigma, n)\}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{A(\sigma, n) - \tilde{S}(n)\} \geq 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{A(\sigma, n) - S_1(n) \otimes S_2(n)\} \geq 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{A(\sigma, n) - (S_1(\varsigma_1) + S_2(\varsigma_2))\} \geq 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $\theta^*$ , i.e., Eq. (26), we have that  $\Upsilon_a \leq \Upsilon_{s_i}, \forall i \in \{1, 2\}$  holds, so we can proceed to derive the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{A(\sigma, n) - (S_1(\varsigma_1) + S_2(\varsigma_2))\} \geq 0\right) \\ \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \left\{A(\sigma, n) - (S_1(\varsigma_1) + S_2(\varsigma_2)) - \left(\varsigma_1 \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1} - \varsigma_2 \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_2 \Upsilon_{s_2}\right)\right\} \geq 0\right) \\ = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \left\{A(\sigma, n) - (n - \sigma) \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1}\right\} \geq \sigma \Upsilon_a\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then, by the **Definitions 2** and **3** of the arrival and service martingale, we can build the corresponding supermartingales for both the arrival and service processes, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} M_A(n) &= j_a(a(n)) e^{\theta^* (A(\sigma, n) - (n - \sigma) \Upsilon_a)}, \\ M_{S_1}(\varsigma_1) &= j_{s_1}(s_1(\varsigma_1)) e^{\theta^* (\varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1} - S_1(\varsigma_1))}, \\ M_{S_2}(\varsigma_2) &= j_{s_2}(s_2(\varsigma_2)) e^{\theta^* (\varsigma_2 \Upsilon_{s_2} - S_2(\varsigma_2))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2 = n$ .

According to the independence assumption of the supermartingale, we use the product of the above independent supermartingales to construct a new supermartingale, i.e.,

$$M(n) = j_a(a(n)) j_{s_1}(s_1(\varsigma_1)) j_{s_2}(s_2(\varsigma_2)) \times e^{\theta^* (A(\sigma, n) - (n - \sigma) \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1} - S_1(\varsigma_1) + \varsigma_2 \Upsilon_{s_2} - S_2(\varsigma_2))}.$$

Let  $g(\sigma) = e^{\theta^* (A(\sigma, n) - (n - \sigma) \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1} - S_1(\varsigma_1) + \varsigma_2 \Upsilon_{s_2} - S_2(\varsigma_2))}$ . Then the delay violation probability, i.e., (1) can be further derived as (2), where (a) is derived from the definition of  $J$ , i.e., Eq. (28), step (b) adopts the Doob's inequality, (c) is based on the independence assumption of the supermartingale and the last step is obtained from the non-increasing character of the supermartingale.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \left\{A(\sigma, n) - (n - \sigma) \Upsilon_a + \varsigma_1 \Upsilon_{s_1} - S_1(\varsigma_1) + \varsigma_2 \Upsilon_{s_2} - S_2(\varsigma_2)\right\} \geq \sigma \Upsilon_a\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{g(\sigma)\} \geq e^{\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} \{M(n)\} \geq J e^{\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{\mathbb{E}[M(\sigma)]}{J e^{\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{\mathbb{E}[j_a(a(\sigma))] \prod_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{E}[j_{s_i}(s_i(\varsigma_i)) e^{\theta^* (\varsigma_i \Upsilon_{s_i} - S_i(\varsigma_i))}]}{J e^{\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} \frac{\mathbb{E}[j_a(a(0))] \mathbb{E}[j_{s_1}(s_1(0))] \mathbb{E}[j_{s_2}(s_2(0))]}{J} e^{-\theta^* \sigma \Upsilon_a}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

□