



SOLIDProof

Bring trust into your projects

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC
Development | Marketing**

MADE IN GERMANY

Crypto528

Audit

Security Assessment
27. October, 2022

For



SolidProof_io



@solidproof_io

Disclaimer	3
Description	5
Project Engagement	5
Logo	5
Contract Link	5
Methodology	7
Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)	8
Tested Contract Files	9
Source Lines	10
Risk Level	10
Capabilities	11
Inheritance Graph	12
CallGraph	13
Scope of Work/Verify Claims	14
Modifiers and public functions	24
Source Units in Scope	26
Critical issues	27
High issues	27
Medium issues	27
Low issues	27
Informational issues	27
Commented Code exist	28
Audit Comments	28
SWC Attacks	29

Disclaimer

SolidProof.io reports are not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc’...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug- free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

Version	Date	Description
1.0	27. October 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Layout project• Automated- /Manual-Security Testing• Summary

Network

Polygon

Website

<https://www.crypto528.com/>

Telegram

<https://t.me/crypto528DAO>

Twitter

<https://twitter.com/Crypto528DAO?t=8k5GJjO1RfWixlG7eJuh8g&s=09>

Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/Crypto528DAO/>

Instagram

<https://instagram.com/crypto528dao?igshid=YmMyMTA2M2Y=>

Reddit

<https://www.reddit.com/user/Crypto528DAO/>

Discord

<https://discord.gg/V3jYNFpK>

TikTok

<https://vm.tiktok.com/ZMNjFXCsr/>

LinkedIn

<https://www.linkedin.com/company/crypto528dao/>

Description

TBA

Project Engagement

During the 25th of October 2022, **Crypto528 Team** engaged Solidproof.io to audit smart contracts that they created. The engagement was technical in nature and focused on identifying security flaws in the design and implementation of the contracts. They provided Solidproof.io with access to their code repository and whitepaper.

Logo



Contract Link

v1.0

- Github
 - <https://github.com/Wagmu/Crypto528NFT>
 - Commit: 89a8c785749b6efe9f6b6e32f70ea84993c3153f

Vulnerability & Risk Level

Risk represents the probability that a certain source-threat will exploit vulnerability, and the impact of that event on the organization or system. Risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 – 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon as possible.
Medium	4 – 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	2 – 3.9	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.	Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Informational	0 – 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk

Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. To do so, reviewed line-by-line by our team of expert pentesters and smart contract developers, documenting any issues as there were discovered.

Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

1. Code review that includes the following:
 - i) Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - ii) Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - iii) Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof describe.
2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - i) Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - ii) Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.
3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)

Imported packages:

Dependency / Import Path	Count
@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol	2
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/ERC721.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/extensions/ERC721URIStorage.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Counters.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/SafeMath.sol	2
hardhat/console.sol	1

Tested Contract Files

This audit covered the following files listed below with a SHA-1 Hash.


A file with a different Hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. A different Hash could be (but not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of this review.

v1.0


File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/interfaces/IMarket.sol	1842772a66df25c6edf6140d52b4b18860fc898d
contracts/interfaces/Decimal.sol	50d00762fe4f3af2f25048ea876f16b892871fe6
contracts/interfaces/Math.sol	42640ae68ef228fc66510b83b590ef9166d261f2
contracts/Marketplace.sol	9f7499a4e2cfae7530a7886706dc1ef7733b9023
contracts/Crypto528.sol	c8300e812a5bf2f5f9761b95faffa1da15e72c10
contracts/ReentrancyGuard.sol	dd71a0ab601fb72488233f439b7c9d4a4c293d1b

Metrics

Source Lines v1.0



Risk Level v1.0



Capabilities

Components

Version	Contracts	Libraries	Interfaces	Abstract
1.0	4	2	2	0

Exposed Functions

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

Version	Public	Payable
1.0	44	5

Version	External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
1.0	33	46	4	14	9

State Variables


Version	Total	Public
1.0	30	22

Capabilities

Version	Solidity Versions observed	Experimental Features	Can Receive Funds	Uses Assembly	Has Destroyable Contracts
1.0	<code>^0.8.4</code> <code>^0.8.0</code> <code>^0.8.3</code>		yes		

Version	Transfers ETH	Low-Level Calls	DelegateCall	Uses Hash Functions	EC Recover	New/Create/Create2
1.0	yes			yes		

Inheritance Graph v1.0



CallGraph v1.0



Scope of Work/Verify Claims

The above token Team provided us with the files that needs to be tested (Github, Bscscan, Etherscan, files, etc.). The scope of the audit is the main contract (usual the same name as team appended with .sol).

We will verify the following claims:

1. Is contract an upgradeable
2. Correct implementation of Token standard
3. Deployer cannot mint any new tokens
4. Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds
5. Deployer cannot pause the contract
6. Deployer cannot set fees
7. Deployer cannot blacklist/antisnipe addresses
8. Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Is contract an upgradeable

Name	
Is contract an upgradeable?	No



Correct implementation of Token standard

ERC721				
Function	Description	Exist	Tested	Verified
BalanceOf	Count all NFTs assigned to an owner	✓	✓	✓
OwnerOf	Find the owner of an NFT	✓	✓	✓
SafeTransferFrom	Transfers the ownership of an NFT from one address to another address	✓	✓	✓
SafeTransferFrom	See above - Difference is that this function has an extra data parameter	✓	✓	✓
TransferFrom	Transfer ownership of an NFT	✓	✓	✓
Approve	Change or reaffirm the approved address for an NFT	✓	✓	✓
SetApprovalForAll	Enable or disable approval for a third party ("operator") to manage all of `msg.sender`'s assets	✓	✓	✓
GetApproved	Get the approved address for a single NFT	✓	✓	✓
IsApprovedForAll	Query if an address is an authorized operator for another address	✓	✓	✓
SupportsInterface	Query if a contract implements an interface	✓	✓	✓
Name	Provides information about the name	✓	✓	✓
Symbol	Provides information about the symbol	✓	✓	✓
TokenURI	Provides information about the TokenUri	✓	✓	✓

Write functions of contract v1.0

approve
mint
mintAll
renounceOwnership
safeTransferFrom
safeTransferFrom
setApprovalForAll
setMarketPlaceAddress
transferFrom
transferOwnership

mint
openTrade
pauseContract
recoverETH
recoverNFT
renounceOwnership
setMarketFeeForETH
setMarketFeeForToken
setMaxLimit
setTokenAddress
transferOwnership
turnOffAdminRecovery
buy
cancelAuction
closeTrade
createAuction
createBid
endAuction
giveaway
unpauseContract
updateListingStatus
updatePrice
withdraw
withdrawToken

Deployer cannot mint any new tokens

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot mint	✓	✓	✗

Comments:

v1.0

- Only marketplace can mint new tokens



Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot lock	✓	✓	✓
Deployer cannot burn	-	-	-



Deployer cannot pause the contract

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot pause	✓	✓	✗

Comments:

v1.0

- Owner can pause contract



Deployer cannot set fees

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot set fees over 25%	✓	✓	✗
Deployer cannot set fees to nearly 100% or to 100%	✓	✓	✗

Comments:

v1.0

- Fees can be set without any limitations

```
711     function setMarketFeeForToken(uint256 _newMarketFeeForToken) external onlyOwner {  
712         require(_newMarketFeeForToken > 1, "Invalid MarketFee For Token");  
713         marketFeeForToken = _newMarketFeeForToken;  
714     }
```

```
701     function setMarketFeeForETH(uint256 _newMarketFeeForETH) external onlyOwner {  
702         require(_newMarketFeeForETH > 1, "Invalid MarketFee For ETH");  
703         marketFeeForETH = _newMarketFeeForETH;  
704     }
```

Deployer can blacklist/antisnipe addresses

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot blacklist/antisnipe addresses	—	—	—



Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Tested	Verified
✓	✓

Legend

Attribute	Symbol
Verified / Checked	✓
Partly Verified	⚠
Unverified / Not checked	✗
Not available	—

Modifiers and public functions

v1.0

- ♦ openTrade
- ♦ closeTrade
- ▼ ♦ giveaway
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ♦ mint 💰
- ♦ buy 💰
- ♦ updatePrice
- ♦ updateListingStatus
- ▼ ♦ createAuction
 - Ⓜ nonReentrant
 - Ⓜ whenNotPaused
 - Ⓜ auctionNonExistant
- ▼ ♦ createBid 💰
 - Ⓜ nonReentrant
 - Ⓜ whenNotPaused
 - Ⓜ auctionExists
 - Ⓜ auctionNotExpired
- ▼ ♦ endAuction
 - Ⓜ nonReentrant
 - Ⓜ whenNotPaused
 - Ⓜ auctionComplete
 - Ⓜ onlyCreatorOrWinner
- ▼ ♦ cancelAuction
 - Ⓜ nonReentrant
 - Ⓜ auctionExists
 - Ⓜ onlyCreator
- ▼ ♦ turnOffAdminRecovery
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ▼ ♦ pauseContract
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ▼ ♦ unpauseContract
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ▼ ♦ recoverNFT
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ▼ ♦ recoverETH
 - Ⓜ onlyAdminRecovery
- ▼ ♦ withdraw
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ setTokenAddress
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ setMarketFeeForETH
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ setMaxLimit
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ setMarketFeeForToken
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ withdrawToken
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner

- ▼ ♦ mint
 - Ⓜ onlyMarketplace
- ▼ ♦ setMarketPlaceAddress
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner
- ▼ ♦ mintAll
 - Ⓜ onlyOwner

Note: functions from libraries are not listed











Comments

- Deployer can set following state variables without any limitations
 - marketFeeForToken
- Deployer can enable/disable following state variables
 - Marketplace
 - tokenAddressMap
 - _paused
 - _adminRecoveryEnabled
 - Only once
- Deployer can set following addresses
 - Crypto528
 - marketplaceContractAddress
- Existing Modifiers
- Crypto528
 - Owner is able to mint tokens for arbitrary addresses
- Marketplace
 - Owner is able to
 - send ERC20 tokens from marketplace contract to arbitrary addresses
 - Withdraw contract balance
 - Transfer Crypto528 tokens to recovery address
 - Anyone can update the price to 0 while updatePrice function call
 - We recommend you to check here also for the “price[id]” variable like in the “openTrade” function

Please check if an OnlyOwner or similar restrictive modifier has been forgotten.

Source Units in Scope

v1.0

Type	File	Logic Contracts	Interfaces	Lines	nLines	nSLOC	Comment Lines	Complex. Score	Capabilities
	contracts/interfaces/IMarket.sol	—————	1	95	47	26	14	27	—————
	contracts/interfaces/Decimal.sol	1	—————	50	42	22	9	8	—————
	contracts/interfaces/Math.sol	1	—————	71	63	35	18	17	—————
	contracts/Marketplace.sol	2	1	724	675	446	134	353	
	contracts/Crypto528.sol	1	—————	53	42	30	3	27	—————
	contracts/ReentrancyGuard.sol	1	—————	30	30	10	16	3	
	Totals	6	2	1023	899	569	194	435	

Legend

Attribute	Description
Lines	total lines of the source unit
nLines	normalised lines of the source unit (e.g. normalises functions spanning multiple lines)
nSLOC	normalised source lines of code (only source-code lines; no comments, no blank lines)
Comment Lines	lines containing single or block comments
Complexity Score	a custom complexity score derived from code statements that are known to introduce code complexity (branches, loops, calls, external interfaces, ...)

Audit Results

Critical issues

No critical issues

High issues

No high issues

Medium issues

No medium issues

Low issues

Issue	File	Type	Line	Description
#1	All	A floating pragma is set	Top of file	The current pragma Solidity directive is „^0.8.0“.
#2	Crypto528	Missing Zero Address Validation (missing-zero-check)	37	Check that the address is not zero
#3	Marketplace	Missing Zero Address Validation (missing-zero-check)	245, 246	Check that the address is not zero
#4	Crypto528	Local variables shadowing	45	Rename the local variables that shadow another component
#5	Marketplace	Missing Events Arithmetic	708	Emit an event for critical parameter changes
#6	Crypto528	Missing Events Arithmetic	39	Emit an event for critical parameter changes

Informational issues

Issue	File	Type	Line	Description
#1	Marketplace	Error message is missing	370-371, 414, 499, 548-549	Provide an error message for require statement

#2	ReentrancyGuard	Error message is missing	27	Provide an error message for require statement
#3	All	NatSpec documentation missing	-	If you started to comment your code, also comment all other functions, variables etc.
#4	Marketplace	Unused contract	16	Remove or use contract
#5	Crypto528	Wrong comment	15-20	Modify/remove the comment or modify the require statement
#6	Crypto528	Wrong error message	47-48	"...are mismatched." Instead of "...are not mismatched."
#7	Marketplace	Wrong error message	191and winner is missing in the message

Commented Code exist

There are some instances of code being commented out in the following files that should be removed:

File	Line	Comment
Marketplace	320	// require(levelPrices[_level].exists, "Level does not exist.");
	383	// require(address(msg.sender).balance >= price[_id], "Error, the amount is lower");

Recommendation

Remove the commented code, or address them properly.


Audit Comments

We recommend you to use the special form of comments (NatSpec Format, Follow link for more information <https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/latest/natspec-format.html>) for your contracts to provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more. This helps investors to make clear what that variables, functions etc. do.

27. October 2022:

- Read whole report and modifiers section for more information

SWC Attacks

ID	Title	Relationships	Status
SW C-1 36	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	CWE-767: Access to Critical Private Variable via Public Method	PASSED
SW C-1 35	Code With No Effects	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	PASSED
SW C-1 34	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	CWE-655: Improper Initialization	PASSED
SW C-1 33	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	CWE-294: Authentication Bypass by Capture-replay	PASSED
SW C-1 32	Unexpected Ether balance	CWE-667: Improper Locking	PASSED
SW C-1 31	Presence of unused variables	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	
SW C-1 30	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	CWE-451: User Interface (UI) Misrepresentation of Critical Information	PASSED
SW C-1 29	Typographical Error	CWE-480: Use of Incorrect Operator	PASSED
SW C-1 28	DoS With Block Gas Limit	CWE-400: Uncontrolled Resource Consumption	PASSED

SW C-1 27	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	CWE-695: Use of Low-Level Functionality	PASSED
SW C-1 25	Incorrect Inheritance Order	CWE-696: Incorrect Behavior Order	PASSED
SW C-1 24	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	CWE-123: Write-what-where Condition	PASSED
SW C-1 23	Requirement Violation	CWE-573: Improper Following of Specification by Caller	PASSED
SW C-1 22	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	CWE-345: Insufficient Verification of Data Authenticity	PASSED
SW C-1 21	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED
SW C-1 20	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	CWE-330: Use of Insufficiently Random Values	PASSED
SW C-11 9	Shadowing State Variables	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	NOT PASSED
SW C-11 8	Incorrect Constructor Name	CWE-665: Improper Initialization	PASSED
SW C-11 7	Signature Malleability	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED

SW C-11 6	Timestamp Dependence	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-11 5	Authorization through tx.origin	CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function	PASSED
SW C-11 4	Transaction Order Dependence	CWE-362: Concurrent Execution using Shared Resource with Improper Synchronization ('Race Condition')	PASSED
SW C-11 3	DoS with Failed Call	CWE-703: Improper Check or Handling of Exceptional Conditions	PASSED
SW C-11 2	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-11 1	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function	PASSED
SW C-11 0	Assert Violation	CWE-670: Always-Incorrect Control Flow Implementation	PASSED
SW C-1 09	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	CWE-824: Access of Uninitialized Pointer	PASSED
SW C-1 08	State Variable Default Visibility	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED
SW C-1 07	Reentrancy	CWE-841: Improper Enforcement of Behavioral Workflow	PASSED
SW C-1 06	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED

SW C-1 05	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED
SW C-1 04	Unchecked Call Return Value	CWE-252: Unchecked Return Value	PASSED
SW C-1 03	Floating Pragma	CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime	NOT PASSED
SW C-1 02	Outdated Compiler Version	CWE-937: Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities	PASSED
SW C-1 01	Integer Overflow and Underflow	CWE-682: Incorrect Calculation	PASSED
SW C-1 00	Function Default Visibility	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED

*Solid
Proofed*

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC
Development | Marketing**


MADE IN GERMANY