## COMP6203 Intelligent Agents 2022/2023

#### Exercises on Voting

Exercise 1: Celebration lunch

Par - wises with 5-194-1341157

To celebrate the end of COVID-19 pandemic, members of the Intelligent Agents and Complexity (AIC) research group are organising a lunch get-together. To simplify the logistics, they decide to order take-away from a restaurant. They need to decide between 6 cuisine: Italian (I), Thai (T), Japanese (J), British (B), American (A), and Mauritian (M). Assume AIC has 50 members (this number is fictional), and that the preference orderings of these 50 members are as follows:

> 10 members :  $I \succ T \succ J \succ B \succ A \succ M$ 5 members :  $B \succ A \succ M \succ T \succ J \succ I$ 8 members :  $T \succ J \succ M \succ I \succ B \succ A$ 12 members :  $J \succ T \succ I \succ M \succ A \succ B$ 9 members :  $M \succ J \succ T \succ I \succ B \succ A$ 6 members :  $A \succ B \succ I \succ M \succ T \succ J$

#### Answer the following questions:

- 1. Assuming lexicographic tie breaking rule, order the cuisines according to the aggregated ranking produced by the Copeland method.
- 2. Assuming lexicographic tie breaking rule, order the cuisines according to the aggregated ranking produced by the Borda count voting method.
- 3. Is there a Condorcet winner? Argue for your answer.

# Exercise 2: Manipulation

Take the setting of the previous exercise, but this time assume that there are only 6 members  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  in AIC and each preference ordering is provided by one member. That is:

 $1 : I \succ_1 T \succ_1 J \succ_1 B \succ_1 A \succ_1 M$ 

 $2 : B \succ_2 A \succ_2 M \succ_2 T \succ_2 J \succ_2 I$ 

 $3 : T \succ_3 J \succ_3 M \succ_3 I \succ_3 B \succ_3 A$ 

 $4 : J \succ_4 T \succ_4 I \succ_4 M \succ_4 A \succ_4 B$ 

 $5 : M \succ_5 J \succ_5 T \succ_5 I \succ_5 B \succ_5 A$ 

 $6 : A \succ_6 B \succ_6 I \succ_6 M \succ_6 T \succ_6 J$ 

Assume that we are using Copeland method with lexicographic tie breaking rule.

4. Which of members, if any, does not see his or her top cuisine ranked first by Copeland and is able to manipulate the voting by declaring a different preference ordering (i.e. declaring a different preference ordering that results in Copeland ranking his or her cuisine first)?

10 IVS 1 16/34 T7 J7 J7 M7B7A IVSJ 16134 115 J: 4-1=3 IVSB 39/11 7:3-2=1 IVSA 39/11 13:1-4=-3 IV5M 28/12 M: 2-3=-1 TVSJ 29/21 1 17, 0-5:-5 TVSB 39/11 TVSA 391/1 TV5M 30/20 7 Jus B 39111 JVSA 39/11 JV5M30/20 BV5 A 32/18 Μ BV5M21/29 1757 T7 MP B7A AVSM 21129  $\mathcal{M}$ I: 6 ×10+1 ×5+3 ×8+ 4×12+3 ×9+4×6=183 1: 5×10+3×5+6×8+5×12+4×9+2×6=221 J: 4710+275+578+6×12+549+1×67213 B: 3410 + 646 + 248 + 1 × 12 + 2×9 + 5×6 = 136 A: 271015×57148 + 1×12+9×1+6×6 = 1-2 n! 1410+ 3x5 + 4x8 + 3x12 + 6x9 + 6x3 = 170

IJBAM Result win Comparison T 16/34 7:5 i VST J 16 (34 J: 3 irsJ I: 1 39/11 1 irsB 39/1/ I iVSA B: -3 28/12 I M: -1 i VSM A: -5 29/21 T TVS J TVSB T T>T >1>M=B 39/1/ 7 TVSA 39/11 TVSM 30/20 T TrsB 39/11 J JVSA 39/11 J J Trsm 30/20 32/18 13 VS A В BVSM 21/29 M AVSM 21/19 M 1:6+1+3+4+3+4=12p 7> 17 17 17M7B7A 1: 5+3+6+5+4+2=221 J: 4+2+5+6+5+1=213 B: 3 +6+ 2 +1+2+5=106 A: 2+5+ 1 +2+1+6 = 122 Mi 1 +4 +4+3+6+3 = 170 7es 7:5 Comparison T: 4 J: it I > 1 ivs I > 3/3 214 i VST J:3-1-2 2/4 J irsJ 1:2-2-0 iVSB 4/2 7. Pareto Etticieny iVSA 412 B: 1-3=2 i irsm 3/3 A: 0-42-4 TVS J M: 0 5/1 T TVSB 412 T TrsA 412 T TVSM 313 412 TrsB 4/2 JVSA JUSM 313 4/2 B BVS A B VS M 313 AVS M 313

### Exercise 3: Desirable properties

In the lecture we discussed some desirable properties for social welfare and social choice functions. In this question we want to examine the three voting protocols we saw in the lecture with respect to these properties.

- 5. Of the three properties of weak Pareto efficiency, monotonicity and nondictatorship, which properties does the Plurality voting method satisfy? Argue for your answer.
- 6. Of the three properties of *Pareto efficiency*, independence of irrelevant alternatives and nondictatorship, which properties does the Borda count voting protocol satisfy? Argue for your answer.
- 7. Of the three properties of *Pareto efficiency*, independence of irrelevant alternatives and nondictatorship, which properties does the Copeland method satisfy? Argue for your answer.

Note: To show that a voting method V does not satisfy a particular property X, it is enough to show that for a particular example, V fails to satisfy X.

I VST 16/34 T: 8 I VSJ 16/34 1:3-221 T vs B 39/11 7:4-1=3 IVS A 39 11 I M: 2-3=-1 IrsM28/22 I B: 1-4=-3 1 V S J 29/21 4:0-5=-5 TrsB39/11 T TV5A39[1] T > J > 1 > M > B>A TrSM 30/20 Jrs B39/11 JYSA39/11 Twin 5 for pair -wise J vs M 30/20 BVSA 321/18 B 3 ×5 M 21/29 M A V 5 M 2 | 29 M I: 60 +5+24+48+27+24=183 7> J > I > M > B > 9 T: 50+ 15+48+ 60+36+12=22 J: 40 + 10+40+72+45+6=213 m: 10+20+32+36+54+18=170 B 30 + 30+ 16+ 12+ 18+30= [36 A: 20+ 25+8+24+9+36=122

I vst 2/4 1>J>J>M>B>A 7:2-2-0 I vs J 2/4 J=3-1=2 I I vs 3 4/2 IVS A 4/2 I 1:4 I vs M 3/3 m: 0 1 1 5 7 4 12 A: -4 TrsB 4/2 TV5A4/2 B=1-3=2 Trs M3/3 =
Jrs B4/2 J JV5A4/2 J J vs M 3/3 BV512 412 B BV51M313 = A V5M3/3 =