

Terminology

- Theory: a set of principles or beliefs that explain and predict the observed events
- Hypothesis: A testable statement that describes the relationship that may exist between events
- Psychological Construct: qualities or processes that cannot be seen or directly observed
 - like threaten, anxiety
- Operational Definition: specific procedure or operation that is used to measure or manipulate a variable in a research study
 - verbal/nonverbal behavior, overt action, physiological response

Correlation

- study of naturally occurring relationships among variables
- allows prediction; does NOT infer causation
 - $A \rightarrow B$
 - $B \rightarrow A$
 - C be the third variable explains why A and B happen/ count the relationship between A and B
- Correlation ranges from -1 to 1
 - positive: 0 to 1
 - negative: -1 to 0
- Similarity and Liking
 - liking and similarity COVARY

Lecture

- Experiments:
 - overcome the shortcomings of correlational designs through:

- * manipulation of the independent variable(IV)
 - * random assignment
- manipulate
 - variable we change/ manipulate calls IV → researchers take control of the IV
 - variable changes based on IV calls DV(dependent variable)
- Random Assignment
 - overcomes the third variable problem, by distributing ALL other variables equally among experimental groups (打散人员)
 - makes group equivalent
 - * rules out the possibility that agreeableness causes both perceived similarity and liking
- Comparison Groups
 - the specific control group used can answer different question
- Tradeoff
 - Internal validity
 - * extent to which research yields clear causal information
 - * higher in experimental research
 - External validity
 - * extent to which results generalize beyond current sample, setting, etc
 - * higher in correlational research
- Choosing a Method
 - drawback of an experiment
 - * not possible to randomly assign
 - * ethical considerations (smoking, risky sexual behavior, violence exposure)
- Ethical consideration: participants(ps)

- informed consent from ps
- be truthful
- protect ps from harm/discomfort
- treat ps information confidentially
- debrief ps (video studies)
- Ethical consideration: study design
 - random sample of ps
 - sample representative
 - minimize social desirability (do not want to show their bad behaviour, say smoking)
 - worded properly(question lead to one direction)

Reading

- **Obvious ways in which value enter social psychology**
 - Values differ not only across time but also across cultures
 - Europe has given us a major theory of “social identity,” whereas North American social psychologists have focused more on individuals how one person thinks about others, is influenced by them, and relates to them
 - Values also influence the types of people attracted to various disciplines
- **Not so-obvious ways in which value enter social psychology**
 - interpret science using mental category
 - social representations: share a common viewpoint or come from the same culture, their assumptions may go unchallenged. What we take for granted—the shared beliefs
 - are our most important but often most unexamined convictions
 - Hidden values
 - * Forming concepts: Hidden values even seep into psychology’ s research-based concepts

- taking personality tests → high self-esteem/ low prejudice
- * Labelling: Value judgments are often hidden within our social-psychological language
 - using "we", "they"
- * Naturalistic fallacy: seductive error for those who work in the social sciences is sliding from a description of what is into a prescription of what ought to be.
 - no definition of right: people always do something does not indicate that is right and vice versa

- **Social psychology merely common sense**

- two criticisms:
 - * it is trivial because it documents the obvious
 - * it is dangerous because its findings could be used to manipulate people
- common sense usually is right after the fact
- hindsight bias: I-knew-it (后见之名)

- Research method:

- gravity is a theory; key drops is a fact
- Facts are agreed-upon statements that we observe
- Theories are ideas that summarize and explain facts.
- they also imply testable predictions, called hypotheses
 - * allow us to test the theory on which they are based
 - * predictions give direction to research
 - * the predictive feature of good theories can also make them practical
- operationalization: translate variables that are described at the theoretical level into the specific variables that we are going to observe.
 - * how science puts its theories to test
- good properties of a theory

- * It effectively summarizes many observations.
 - * It makes clear predictions
 - Confirm or modify the theory
 - Generate new exploration
 - Suggest practical applications
 - theories are getting replaced by newer, better ones
- Methods:
 - field research (everyday situations)
 - correlational research (asking whether two or more factors are naturally associated)
 - experimental research (manipulating some factor to see its effect on another)
 - longitudinal research: correlational research is extended over time
 - * Longitudinal research can begin to sort out cause and effect because we know that somethings happen before others.
 - Time-lagged correlations reveal the sequence of events
- survey research
 - by surveying representative samples of people.
 - random sample —one in which every person in the population being studied has an equal chance of inclusion
 - four majority biasing influences:
 - * unrepresentative samples
 - How closely the sample represents the population under study matters greatly
 - * the order of the questions
 - * Response bias and social desirability
 - Sometimes people don' t want to admit their true actions and beliefs either to the experimenter or sometimes even to themselves.

- prejudice often show very low levels of reported prejudice by the respondents.
 - social desirability: tendency for people to say what they want others to hear or what they want to believe about themselves
- * Wording of the questions
 - Knowledge of the issues, however, can sometimes interact with the wording of the question to influence responses
- observational research methods
 - where individuals are observed in natural settings, often without awareness, in order to provide the opportunity for objective analysis of behaviour
 - use sophisticated statistical analysis techniques to make inferences about cause and effect where a true experiment is not possible.
- Random assignment helps us infer cause and effect
- Random sampling helps us generalize to a population.
- Ethics of experiment
 - mundane realism: laboratory behaviour need not be literally the same as everyday behaviour
 - experimental realism: it should absorb and involve the participants
 - * sometimes requires deceiving people with a plausible cover story
 - demand characteristics: cues that seem to “demand” certain behaviour
 - * experimenters typically standardize their instructions or even use a computer to present them.