Sources of prejudice

- Stereotypes: beliefs about the personal attributes of a group of people
 - French is the best lover
 - women is weak
 - men are strong in sports
- Prejudice: negative prejudgment of a group and its individual members
 - women are not allowed to vote
 - landlord does not rent homosexual person
- Discrimination: unjustifiable negative behaviour towards a group or its members
 - rent not over 20s
 - be fired when you are pregnant

Explicit vs Implicit prejudice

- explicit attitudes self report
 - explicit prejudice less common than before
- implicit Association Test (IAT)
 - implicit Attitudes may linger after explicit attitudes change (through education, new habits)

Ingroup Bias

- people in the same group give more money to their group even though they never met their group member
- Tendency to favour one's own group
- Minimal group paradigm
 - evaluating abstract art

- estimating dots
- flipping a coin
- Ingroup member will attributes their own achievement of the group and the benefits of this aim and ethos
- Realistic group conflict theory
 - prejudice arises when groups compete for scarce resources
- the more strongly we attach to the group, the more our identity attached to the group identity, the more react prejudicially to out-group members
- Ethnocentric individuals

Sources of prejudice

- ingroup bias with minimal groups paradigm
- rationalizing status quo
- illusory correlation
- Biased interpretation of information same behaviour might be good or bad
- self-fulling prophecies and stereotype threat

Social sources of Prejudice

- social inequalities:
 - system justification theory: rationalizing the status quo using stereotypes that endorse inequality
 - * vitim derogation believing in victim causing their own plight
 - · e.g. stereotyping poor as lazy as untelligent
 - * vitim enhancement beliving that benefits and burdens are fairly dispersed across social groups
 - · e.g. stereotyping poor as happy and rich as miserable
 - · trait need to be unrelated to the outcome

- more likely to occur under 'system threatened' conditions

• System threat and stereotypes

- lower in low threat and higher in high threat for both laziness and sociability
- high threat people who rate higher laziness in under victim derogation also rate higher in victim enhancement sociability

socialization

- children's implicit racial attitudes reflect their parents explicit prejudice
- Authoritarian personality excessive concern with power and status + inflexible thinking pattern(just yes or no) + no tolerance for ambiguity
 - * submissive to those with power over them
 - * aggressive or punitive to those of 'lower status'
- conformity once established, people conform to prejudice thoughts/ideas

Cognitive sources of prejudice

- Categorizing people into groups simplifies our environment
- can make quick decision if we know attributes of group members
- (believe what we want to believe) More likely to rely on stereotypes when
 - pressed for time
 - preoccupied
 - tired
 - emotionally aroused
- outgroup homogeneity
 - perception of out-group members as more similar to one another than are in-group members
 - * "they are alike, we are divers"
 - * results in in-group bias

- * greater familiarity with our own social group, the more we see its diversity
- own-race bias: more accurately recognize faces of own race
- illusory correlation
 - perception of a relationship were none exists, or perception of a stronger relationship than actually exists
 - joint occurrence of two distinctive events attracts more attention and causes faulty impressions
 - * e.g. it always rains on the weekend/ librarians are quite/ professors are absent-minded
 - illusory correlation may be one reason individuals form stereotypes
 - * white americans overestimate the arrest rate of african americans
 - · african american = minority
 - · arrest = distinctive event

Motivational sources of prejudice

- need for self-regard/self-esteem
 - personal identity -> personal achievements -> high self-esteem
 - social identity -> favoritism toward ingroups/derogation of outgroups -> higher self-esteem
- just-world phenomenon
 - they get what they deserve
- the scapegoat theory
 - frustration(挫败) leads to aggression and hostility
 - but when cause of frustration is intimidating or vague, we redirect our hostility
 - competition as a source of frustration
 - * causes win or lose environment
 - * rattlers and eagles camping study

* realistic group conflict theory

Motivation to avoid appearing prejudiced

- white ps interacted with a white or black confederate
- with black partner they were
 - less likely to mention race
 - performed more poorly
 - appeared more cold an distracted
 - * especially if they were motivated to avoid appearing prejudiced

Today's prejudice and discrimination

- a significant drop for if the black and the white should be separated in the same school and if people would move when the black move into their next door.
- 46% of the black would say that there is a lot discrimination go against African American, 42% of the black say that there is some discrimination
- 16% of white say that there is a lot discrimination while 41% reports that there are some discrimination go against African American
- Explicit reports suggest that people are less prejudice and discriminatory
- students sent to professor email to test their response
 - minority and women are 2.2 times likely to be ignored
- Racism
 - old-fashioned racism
 - * negative traits, opposing equal right to certain groups of individuals
 - * people tends to score low on skill measuring old-fashion racism
 - * e.g. the black people are generally not as smart as whites -> gives really low score like 1
 - modern Racism

- * tells denying the discrimination occurs, promote equality and tend to reject minority for other reasons
- * score high in: discrimination against blacks is no longer a problem in NA

- aversive Racism

- * people who score low in explicit prejudice, but high in implicit prejudice
- * endorses egalitarian values but discriminate in subtle ways
- * study
 - · review job application for 3 types of candidates(strong, weak, ambiguous qualification)
 - · job candidates were portrayed to be either white or black
 - · ps are individuals who are aversive to racism based on self-report measures
 - · results: when both ps are strong and weak, they have same percentage hiring rate
 - · when the measurement is ambiguous, then more white people are hired

• Sexism

- Hostile sexism (view women as not likeable but competent individual)
 - * most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them
 - * women seek to gain power by getting control over men
 - * most women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist
 - * hostile sexist beliefs predicted increased future gender inequality
 - * trying to control man by using faminism and sexual
- Benevolent Sexism (view women as likeable but not competent individual)
 - * women should be cherished and protected by men
 - * many women have a quality of purity that few men possess
 - * Benevolent sexism, while sounding positive

Automatic prejudice and stereotype threat

• Automatic prejudice

- shooter bias study
- computer game
 - * press one button to "shoot"
 - * press a different button to "not shoot"
 - * reaction time is lower in armed target than unarmed target
 - * ps shoot faster to black person compared with white person when they are armed
 - * ps takes long time to choose not to choose to shot the black person when they are unarmed
 - * ps makes more errors when the black is unarmed, while ps makes more error when the white is armed(i.e. they choose not to shoot to a white armed person)
 - * no matter ps is black or white, including police officers they showed the same effect
 - * when police officers prime a black man, they are more likely to look for a gun

• Biased interpretation of information

- ps listened to a college basketball game
- -1/2 thought ps players was black
- -1/2 thought same player was white
- DV: rate player's athletic ability and court smart
- results: black is more ability and white is more court smarts

• differential achievement

- lower standardized test scores and grade throughout k-12
- higher drop out rates among Blacks and Hispanics in high school
- Blacks and Hispanics half as likely to go to college, and twice as likely to drop out if they go

• Common Explanations

- groups differences in intelligences
- cultural differences in valuing academic achievement
- Poverty and under preparation for success
 - * poor schools
 - * poorly educated parents
- However, average achievement of minorities is less than average achievement of Caucasians even when
 - * they attend the same school
 - * they are matched on income level, valuing of education, parental education, etc

Stereotype Threat

- fear of confirming a negative stereotype in a situation where the stereotype is relevant, and thus confirmable
 - e.g. women are bad at math
- May produce anxiety and negative feelings that interfere with performance
- Awareness of negative stereotype (women and math achievement) -> attention to situation -> yes -> stereotype threat -> anxiety, distraction, suppression -> decrease study
- experiment
 - there are gender differences: men did much much better than women in the test
 - there are no gender differences: they are doing equally well
 - similar in golf-study, psych majors being compared to science majors, women in engineering
- Important: this is not an internalization of stereotypes
 - group members do not believe the stereotype
- stereotype threat is situational induced

Coping with stereotype threat

- disidentification: simple do not care
- role models
- interventions(介入, 调停)
 - values affirmation (different regions)
 - belonging in the university environment
 - reframing
 - * challenge vs threat
 - * reporting their race and do the challenging tasks and the improve their performance