

EMPIRICAL BAYES POISSON MEAN (EBPM)

ZIHAO WANG

1. Overview. Here we want to solve the Empirical Bayes Poisson Mean (EBPM) problem, a problem analogous to the Empirical Bayes Normal Mean problem. We derive the algorithms for solving EBPM problem with two prior families: mixture of gamma, and spike-and-slab prior. The algorithms are implemented in the R package: [ebpm](#)

2. Model. Suppose we have observations \mathbf{x} and scale \mathbf{s} , and we assume the following generating process.

$$\begin{aligned} x_i | \lambda_i &\sim \text{Pois}(s_i \lambda_i) \\ \lambda_i &\sim g(\cdot) \\ g &\in \mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to find \hat{g}, p where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g} &:= \operatorname{argmax}_g \ell(g) = \operatorname{argmax}_g \log p(\mathbf{x} | g, \mathbf{s}) \\ p &:= p(\boldsymbol{\lambda} | \mathbf{x}, \hat{g}, \mathbf{s}) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we can solve this type of problem, and use EBPM to denote the mapping:

$$EBPM(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = (p, \hat{g})$$

Next, I will explore different types of prior family \mathcal{G} . The naming for the algorithms would be "EBPM-{prior name}".

3. mixture of gamma. The prior is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= \sum_k \pi_k \text{Gamma}(\lambda; a_k, b_k) \\ &= \sum_k \pi_k \frac{b_k^{a_k}}{\Gamma(a_k)} \lambda^{a_k-1} e^{-b_k \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where a_k, b_k are known (in a grid) and mixture weights, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, are to be estimated. ($\sum_k \pi_k = 1, \pi_k \geq 0$).

3.1. Useful lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $x | \lambda \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$, and $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, then $x \sim \text{NB}(\cdot; \text{size} = a, \text{prob} = \frac{b}{1+b})$, where NB is parameterized the same way as R function "rnbinom".*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \int p(x | \lambda) p(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{\Gamma(x+1)} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} e^{-b\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(a)} \int e^{-(b+1)\lambda} \lambda^{x+a-1} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(x+a)}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(a)} \frac{b^a}{(b+1)^{x+a}} = \text{NB}(x; a, \frac{b}{b+1}) \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.2. If $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, then $s\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b/s)$

LEMMA 3.3. If $x|\lambda \sim \text{Pois}(s\lambda)$, $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, then $x \sim \text{NB}(\cdot; r = a, p = \frac{b}{b+s})$

LEMMA 3.4. If $x|\lambda \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, then $\lambda|x \sim \text{Gamma}(a+x, b+1)$

LEMMA 3.5. If $x|\lambda \sim \text{Pois}(s\lambda)$, $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, then

$$p(x, \lambda) = p(x|\lambda)p(\lambda) = \text{NB}(x; a, \frac{b}{b+s})\text{Gamma}(\lambda; a+x, b+s)$$

3.2. MLE.

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_i \log p(x_i|\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_i \log \sum_k p(z_i = k|\boldsymbol{\pi})p(x_i|z_i = k) = \sum_i \log \sum_k \pi_k p(x_i|z_i = k)$$

where $z_i = k$ indicates $\lambda_i \sim \text{Gamma}(a_k, b_k)$.

Now let's look at $p(x_i|z_i = k)$. Since $x_i|(z_i = k) \stackrel{d}{=} x_i|\lambda \sim \text{Pois}(s_i\lambda)$ with $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a_k, b_k)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $x_i \sim \text{NB}(r = a_k, p = \frac{b_k}{s_i+b_k})$. Therefore, we have

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_i \log \sum_k \pi_k L_{ik}$$

where

$$L_{ik} = \text{NB}(x_i; r = a_k, p = \frac{b_k}{s_i + b_k})$$

This problem can be solved efficiently by algorithms like `mixsqp`.

Note that we can set $a_k = 1$ for all k , which guarantees the prior mode to be 0. In this case, the prior is a mixture of exponential, and $L_{ik} = \frac{s_i^{x_i} b_k}{(b_k + s_i)^{x_i+1}}$

3.3. Posterior computation. By lemma 3.5, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda|x_i, \boldsymbol{\pi}) &\propto p(x_i|\lambda)g(\lambda; \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\ &\propto \sum_k \pi_k \text{NB}(x_i, a_k, \frac{b_k}{b_k + s_i})\text{Gamma}(\lambda; a_k + x_i, b_k + s_i) \\ &\propto \sum_k \pi_k L_{ik} \text{Gamma}(\lambda; a_k + x_i, b_k + s_i) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$p(\lambda|x_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) = \sum_k \tilde{\Pi}_{ik} \text{Gamma}(\lambda; a_k + x_i, b_k + s_i)$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}_{ik} \propto L_{ik} \hat{\pi}_k$, $\sum_k \tilde{\Pi}_{ik} = 1$.

Posterior mean: $E(\lambda) = \sum_k \tilde{\Pi}_{ik} \frac{x_i + a_k}{s_i + b_k}$.

Posterior log mean: $E(\log \lambda) = \sum_k \tilde{\Pi}_{ik} (\psi(a_k + x_i) - \log(b_k + s_i))$.

4. Spike-and-slab. The prior family is $\mathcal{G} = \{\pi_0 \delta(\cdot) + (1 - \pi_0) \text{Gamma}(a, b) : \pi \in [0, 1], a, b > 0\}$.

4.1. MLE.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x) &= \pi_0 p(x|\lambda = 0) + (1 - \pi_0) p(x|\lambda \neq 0) \\
&= \pi_0 1_{\{x=0\}} + (1 - \pi_0) NB(x; a, \frac{b}{b+s}) \\
&= \pi_0 c(a, b) + d(a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d(a, b) &= NB(x; a, \frac{b}{b+s}) \\
c(a, b) &= 1_{\{x=0\}} - d(a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$l(\pi_0, a, b) = \sum_i \log\{c_i(a, b)\pi_0 + d_i(a, b)\}$$

This can be solved by softwares like **nlm** in R.

4.2. Posterior computation.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda|x, \pi_0, a, b) &\propto p(x|\lambda)g(\lambda, \pi_0, a, b) \\
&= \pi_0 \cdot \delta(\lambda)p(x|\lambda) + (1 - \pi_0)Gamma(\lambda; a, b)p(x|\lambda) \\
&= \pi_0 1_{x=0} \delta(\lambda) + (1 - \pi_0) NB(x; a, \frac{b}{b+s}) Gamma(\lambda; a+x, b+s)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$p(\lambda|x, \pi_0, a, b) = \hat{\pi}_0 \delta(\lambda) + (1 - \hat{\pi}_0) Gamma(\lambda; a+x, b+s]$$

where

$$\hat{\pi}_0 = \frac{\pi_0 1_{x=0}}{\pi_0 1_{x=0} + (1 - \pi_0) NB(x; a, \frac{b}{b+s})}$$

Therefore, posterior mean is $(1 - \hat{\pi}_0) \frac{a+x}{b+s}$. The posterior log mean $E(\log(\lambda))$ is $-\infty$ if $x = 0$, and $\psi(a+x) - \log(b+s)$ if $x \neq 0$.