

**Exercise 1.**  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , setting  $N = \lceil \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rceil$ , for  $n > m > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(m+1)x}{(m+1)((m+1) + \sin(m+1)x)} + \cdots + \frac{\sin nx}{n(n + \sin nx)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m+1 + \sin(m+1)x} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n + \sin nx} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{m+1} < \frac{1}{N+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lceil \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rceil + 1} \leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 2.**  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , setting  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{19}\}$ , for  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ , we have

$$|x^2 + 2x + 4| \leq |x|^2 + 2|x| + 4 \leq 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 4 = 19$$

So

$$|x^3 - 8| = |x - 2||x^2 + 2x + 4| < 19\delta \leq 19 \frac{\epsilon}{19} = \epsilon,$$

as desired.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We need to prove that  $f$  is divergent at  $x_0$ . We can select  $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  so that both of them converge to  $x_0$ . Then we know  $f(a_n) \rightarrow 1$  while  $f(b_n) \rightarrow 0$ . By Henie's theorem, the limit does not exist.

In the previous exercise we have secretly applied the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . More generally, we have

**Proposition 1.**  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a < q < b$  and  $a < r < b$ .

We will regard this proposition as an axiom because it involves the establishment of  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 4.** (1) From  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = +\infty$  we have

$$\forall G > 0, \exists X > 0, \forall x > X, f(x) > G \quad (1)$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ , for the previous  $X > 0$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall n > N$ ,  $x_n > X$ . Hence by (1),  $f(x_n) > G$ . It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = +\infty$ .

For the other side, we argue this by contradiction. Assume

$$\exists G > 0, \forall X > 0, \exists x > X, f(x) \leq G$$

Letting  $X = 1$ ,  $\exists x_1 > 1$ , so that  $f(x_1) \leq G$ ;

Letting  $X = 2$ ,  $\exists x_2 > 2$ , so that  $f(x_2) \leq G$ ;

...

Letting  $X = n$ ,  $\exists x_n > n$ , so that  $f(x_n) \leq G$ ;

...

Hence we have obtained a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying  $x_n > n$ , from which we can deduce that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ , and  $f(x_n) \leq G$ , which implies the boundedness of  $\{f(x_n)\}$ , a contradiction.

(2) We only need to discuss the sufficient side. Assume again that

$$\exists G > 0, \forall X > 0, \exists x > X, f(x) \leq G$$

Letting  $X = 1$ ,  $\exists x_1 > 1$ , so that  $f(x_1) \leq G$ ;

Letting  $X = \max\{2, x_1\}$ ,  $\exists x_2 > 2$  and  $x_2 > x_1$ , so that  $f(x_2) \leq G$ ;

...

Letting  $X = \max\{n, x_{n-1}\}$ ,  $\exists x_n > n$  and  $x_n > x_{n-1}$ , so that  $f(x_n) \leq G$ ;

...

Hence we have obtained a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying  $x_n > n$ , from which we can deduce that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ ,  $x_n > x_{n-1}$ , so that  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing, and  $f(x_n) \leq G$ , which implies the boundedness of  $\{f(x_n)\}$ , a contradiction.