Question 1a

Set the following translation parameters equal to 1 (all other translation parameters are 0): $t(\mathsf{aate}|\mathsf{ate})$, $t(\mathsf{athe}|\mathsf{the})$, $t(\mathsf{adog}|\mathsf{dog})$, $t(\mathsf{acat}|\mathsf{cat})$, $t(\mathsf{abanana}|\mathsf{banana})$

Set the following alignment parameters equal to 1 (all others are zero):

```
\begin{array}{l} q(3|1,3,3),\ q(2|2,3,3),\ q(1|3,3,3) \\ q(5|1,5,5),\ q(4|2,5,5),\ q(3|3,5,5),\ q(2|4,5,5),\ q(1|5,5,5) \end{array}
```

Question 1b

Set the following translation parameters equal to 1 (all other translation parameters are 0): $t(\mathsf{aate}|\mathsf{ate})$, $t(\mathsf{athe}|\mathsf{the})$, $t(\mathsf{adog}|\mathsf{dog})$, $t(\mathsf{acat}|\mathsf{cat})$, $t(\mathsf{abanana}|\mathsf{banana})$

Set the following alignment parameters equal to 1 (all others are zero):

```
q(1|1,3,3), q(2|2,3,3), q(3|3,3,3)
q(1|1,5,5), q(2|2,5,5), q(4|3,5,5), q(5|4,5,5), q(3|5,5,5)
```

Question 2a

If we set $f_1 = le$, then

$$\sum_{a_1=1}^{2} t(f_1|e_{a_1})q(a_1|1,l,m) = 0.9 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.3 = 0.69$$

If we set $f_1 = chien$, then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t(f_1|e_{a_1})q(a_1|1, l, m) = 0.1 \times 0.7 + 0.8 \times 0.3 = 0.31$$

If we set $f_2 = le$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2} t(f_2|e_{a_2})q(a_2|2, l, m) = 0.9 \times 0.4 + 0.2 \times 0.6 = 0.48$$

If we set $f_2 = chien$, then

 $a_2 = 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(f_2|e_{a_2})q(a_2|2, l, m) = 0.1 \times 0.4 + 0.8 \times 0.6 = 0.52$$

Hence we have the probabilities 0.69×0.48 for *le le*, 0.69×0.52 for *le chien*, 0.31×0.48 for *chien le*, 0.31×0.52 for *chien chien*.

Question 2b

$$p(A_1 = 1|e, f, m = 2) = \frac{t(f_1|e_1)q(1|1, 2, 2)}{\sum_{a=1}^{2} t(f_1|e_a)q(a|1, 2, 2)}$$
$$= \frac{0.9 \times 0.7}{0.9 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.3} = 0.913$$

Question 2c

If we define

$$g(a_1 \dots a_{m-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} t(f_j | e_{a_j}) q(a_j | j, l, m)$$
$$h(a_m) = t(f_m | e_{a_m}) q(a_m | m, l, m)$$

then

$$\sum_{a_1=0}^{l} \sum_{a_2=0}^{l} \dots \sum_{a_{m-1}=0}^{l} \sum_{a_m=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{m} t(f_j | e_{a_j}) q(a_j | j, l, m)$$

$$= \sum_{a_1=0}^{l} \sum_{a_2=0}^{l} \dots \sum_{a_{m-1}=0}^{l} \sum_{a_m=0}^{l} g(a_1 \dots a_{m-1}) \times h(a_m)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{a_1=0}^{l} \sum_{a_2=0}^{l} \dots \sum_{a_{m-1}=0}^{l} g(a_1 \dots a_{m-1}) \right) \times \left(\sum_{a_m=0}^{l} h(a_m) \right)$$

Repeating this process gives the required identity.

Question 2c (continued)

This identity is useful because if we want to calculate

$$p(f_1 \dots f_m | e_1 \dots e_l, m)$$

for some sentence $f_1 \dots f_m$, then under IBM Model 2,

$$p(f_1 \dots f_m | e_1 \dots e_l, m)$$

$$= \sum_{a_1=0}^l \sum_{a_2=0}^l \dots \sum_{a_{m-1}=0}^l \sum_{a_m=0}^l \prod_{j=1}^m t(f_j | e_{a_j}) q(a_j | j, l, m)$$

In this form, this requires a summation over $(l+1)^m$ possible values for the alignment variables $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_m$, taking $O((l+1)^m)$ time. The new expression takes $O((l+1)\times m)$ time, which is much more efficient.

Question 3

because $e_2 = dog$.

```
\begin{split} q(j|*) &= d(j|0,5,6) \text{ for } j \in \{1\dots5\} \\ q(k|j) &= d(k|j,5,6) \text{ for } j,k \in \{1\dots5\} \\ e(f|j) &= t(f|e_j) \text{ for } j \in \{1\dots5\} \end{split} For example, e(\text{le}|2) = t(\text{le}|\text{dog})
```