

CS205 Homework #6 Solutions

Problem 1

- Let \mathbf{A} be a symmetric and positive definite $n \times n$ matrix. If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ prove that the operation $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . That is, show that the following properties are satisfied
 - $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$
 - $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$
 - $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$
 - $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$
- Which of those properties, if any, fail to hold when \mathbf{A} is not positive definite? Which fail to hold if it is not symmetric?

Solution

- $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$
 - $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = (\alpha \mathbf{u})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \alpha (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}) = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$
 - $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$ by symmetry
 - $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ by positive definiteness and equality holds trivially when $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- Property (3) holds if and only if \mathbf{A} is symmetric. Property (4) holds if and only if \mathbf{A} is positive definite (by definition)

Problem 2

- Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ be an \mathbf{A} -orthogonal set of vectors, that is $\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Show that if \mathbf{A} is symmetric and positive definite, then $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ are linearly independent. Does this hold when \mathbf{A} is symmetric but not positive definite?
- Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ be n linearly independent vectors of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbf{A} a $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix. Show that we can use the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to create a *full* \mathbf{A} -orthogonal set of n vectors. That is, subtracting from \mathbf{x}_i its \mathbf{A} -overlap with $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ will never create a zero vector.

Solution

1. Suppose there is some \mathbf{x}_k that is the linear combination of other guys i.e.:

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_{y_1} + \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}_{y_2} + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{x}_{y_k}$$

If we multiply from the left by $\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A}$ we get:

$$\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k = \alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{y_1} + \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{y_2} + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{y_k} = 0 + 0 + \cdots + 0 = 0$$

If \mathbf{A} is positive definite then $\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k > 0$ giving a contradiction. Note that symmetry alone is not sufficient as if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ then every vector is \mathbf{A} -orthogonal to every other vector.

2. The Gram-Schmidt algorithm for \mathbf{A} -orthogonalization of a set of vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j}{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j \cdot \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j$$

(With optional rescaling of the resulting vectors so that their \mathbf{A} -norm is equal to 1). We can see that each of the $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$'s is just a linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i$, using induction. Indeed, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1$ is just equal to \mathbf{x}_1 and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ results from \mathbf{x}_i after the subtraction of some *scalar* multiples of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1}$. But each of them is just a linear combination of \mathbf{x}_j 's with $j < i$ (using the inductive hypothesis). Therefore, in each step of the algorithm, the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j}{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j \cdot \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ and therefore linearly independent of \mathbf{x}_i . Therefore, none of the $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$'s thus created can ever be equal to zero.

Problem 3

Let \mathbf{A} be a $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix. Consider the steepest descent method for the minimization of the function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$$

1. Let \mathbf{x}_{\min} be the value that minimizes $f(\mathbf{x})$. Show that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{\min}) = c - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

2. If \mathbf{x}_k is the k -th iterate, show that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k$$

3. Show that

$$\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_k\mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_k} \right) \mathbf{r}_k$$

4. Show that

$$[f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] = [f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] \left(1 - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k^T\mathbf{r}_k)^2}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_k)(\mathbf{r}_k^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k)} \right)$$

5. Show that

$$[f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] \leq [f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}}{\sigma_{\max}} \right)$$

where $\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}$ are the minimum and maximum singular values of \mathbf{A} , respectively.

6. What does the result of (5) imply for the convergence speed of steepest descent?

[Note: Even if you fail to prove one of (1)-(6) you may still use it to answer a subsequent question]

Solution

1. Recall that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$ so $\mathbf{x}_{\min} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$. Substituting,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_{\min}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b})^T\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b}^T(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}) + c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} + c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} + c \\ &= c - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

2. Recall that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$. Now proceed as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_k^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{x}_k + c - c + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_k^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_k^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b})^T\mathbf{x}_k - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T\mathbf{x}_k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k
\end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \\
&= \mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \frac{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \\
&= \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \right) \mathbf{r}_k
\end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{k+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \right)^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \right) \mathbf{r}_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)^2} \right) \mathbf{r}_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_k (\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k) \mathbf{r}_k^T}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)^2} \right) \mathbf{r}_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{r}_k^T}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \right) \mathbf{r}_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k)^2}{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k \left(1 - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k)^2}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k)} \right) \\
&= (f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})) \left(1 - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k)^2}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

5. In the review session we proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k &\leq \sigma_{\max}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k \\
\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k &\leq \sigma_{\max}^{\mathbf{A}^{-1}} \mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}^{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k) \leq \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}} (\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)^2$$

or

$$\frac{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k)^2}{(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k)(\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_k)} \geq \frac{\sigma_{\min}}{\sigma_{\max}}$$

Thus, using (4)

$$[f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] \leq [f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{\min})] \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}}{\sigma_{\max}}\right)$$

6. This result shows that the speed of convergence is associated with the condition number of \mathbf{A} . With a perfectly conditioned matrix (which has to be a multiple of the identity, if it is symmetric) steepest descent will converge in 1 step. In a matrix with a condition number equal to κ , in each step of steepest descent, the distance of the current function value from the minimum value will shrink by a factor of $1 - 1/\kappa$.