SOLUTIONS TO HW6

ZIXUAN CHEN X313572 zixuanchen@ucsb.edu

July 2024

Solution To Question 1

Write the 6 terms of the sequence that is described by each of the recurrence relations below: (a) $f_1 = 0, f_2 = 2, and f_n = 5f_{n-1} - 2f_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 3$

•
$$f_1 = 0$$

•
$$f_2 = 2$$

•
$$f_3 = 5f_2 - 2f_1 = 10 - 0 = 10$$

•
$$f_4 = 5f_3 - 2f_2 = 5 * 10 - 2 * 2 = 46$$

•
$$f_5 = 5 * 46 - 2 * 10 = 210$$

•
$$f_6 = 5 * 210 - 2 * 46 = 958$$

(b) $g_1 = 2$ and $g_2 = 1$. The rest of the terms are given by the formula $g_n = ng_{n-1} + g_{n-2}$

•
$$g_1 = 2$$

•
$$g_2 = 1$$

•
$$g_3 = 3 * 1 + 2 = 5$$

•
$$g_4 = 4 * 5 + 1 = 21$$

•
$$g_5 = 5 * 21 + 5 = 110$$

•
$$g_6 = 6 * 110 + 21 = 681$$

$$a_0 = a_1 = 2$$

 $a_n = a_{n-1}^2 a_{n-2}, \quad n \ge 2$

Prove using strong induction:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \left(a_n \leq 2^{3^n} \right)$$

Base Case:

For n = 0, n = 1:

$$a_0 = 2 \le 2^{3^0} = 2^1 = 2$$

$$a_1 = 2 \le 2^{3^1} = 2^3 = 8$$

Inductive Steps:

Assume for $k \leq n$, we have $a_k \leq 2^{3^k}$, we need to show that $a_{n+1} \leq 2^{3^{n+1}}$

From definition, we know:

$$a_{n+1} = a_n^2 a_{n-1}$$

From the assumption we have:

$$a_n^2 a_{n-1} \le 2^{3^n} \cdot 2^{3^{n-1}} = 2^{3^n + 3^{n-1}}$$

Now we have

$$a_{n+1} \le 2^{3^n + 3^{n-1}}$$

So if we prove that $2^{3^n+3^{n-1}} \le 2^{3^{n+1}}$, we can prove the conclusion. As function 2^x is an increasing function, we only need to prove:

$$3^n + 3^{n-1} \le 3^{n+1}$$

$$3^n + \frac{1}{3}3^n \le 3^n + 2 \cdot 3^n$$

Obviously, the inequality holds true. Now, combining the two inequalities:

$$2^{3^n + 3^{n-1}} \le 2^{3^{n+1}}$$

$$a_{n+1} \le 2^{3^n + 3^{n-1}}$$

We have:

$$a_{n+1} \le 2^{3^{n+1}}$$

Therefore, the conclusion is proved.

Write a recursive algorithm to compute the maximum of a sequence of numbers. Then, use induction to prove that your algorithm outputs the correct value for every non-empty input sequence.

Algorithm: Compute the maximum of a sequence of numbers

```
procedure max(seq)
if length(seq) == 1 then
    return seq[0]

now_max = seq[0]
sub_max = max(seq[1:])
if now_max > sub_max then
    return now_max
else
return sub_max
```

Proof:

Base Case: For a sequence of length 1, the only element is trivially the largest element.

Inductive Step: Assume that for a sequence seq of length n, the algorithm correctly finds the maximum element m. That is, $\max(\text{seq}) = m$.

Now consider a sequence of length n + 1, seq' = (x, seq).

According to the algorithm, the maximum of seq' is computed as:

$$\max(\text{seq'}) = \max(x, \max(\text{seq}))$$

By the induction hypothesis, we know $\max(\text{seq}) = m$.

Then, there are two cases to consider:

- 1. If x < m, then $\max(x, m) = m$, so $\max(\text{seq'}) = m$, which means m is the maximum element in seq'.
- 2. If $x \ge m$, then $\max(x, m) = x$, so $\max(\text{seq'}) = x$, which means x is the maximum element in seq'.

In both cases, the algorithm correctly finds the maximum element in seq'.

Thus, by induction, the algorithm correctly finds the maximum element in any non-empty input sequence.

$$P(n): \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{2} = n(n+1)(2n+1)/6$$

(a) Verify P(3), express P(k), P(k+1)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} j^2 = 1 + 4 + 9 = 14$$
$$\frac{3 * 4 * 7}{6} = 14$$

Therefore, P(3) is true.

$$P(k): \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^2 = k(k+1)(2k+1)/6$$

$$P(k+1): \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j^2 = (k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)/6$$

(b) What is the basis step for an inductive proof of $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+(P(n))$

$$P(1): \sum_{j=1}^{1} j^2 = 1^2 = 1 = \frac{1(1+1)(2+1)}{6}$$

(c) What would be the inductive hypothesis? What must be proven in the inductive step?

Assume that P(k) is true for some $k \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} j^2 = k(k+1)(2k+1)/6$$

And we need to prove P(k+1) is true:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j^2 = (k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)/6$$

(d) Complete inductive proof

Now we have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} j^2 = k(k+1)(2k+1)/6$$

4

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^2 + (k+1)^2$$

We need to prove that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} j^2 = (k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)/6$$

Combining these equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j^2 = k(k+1)(2k+1)/6 + (k+1)^2$$

Now we want to prove:

$$k(k+1)(2k+1)/6 + (k+1)^2 = (k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)/6$$

Multiply 6 to both sides:

$$k(k+1)(2k+1) + 6(k+1)^2 = (k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)$$

Dividing both side by k + 1:

$$k(2k+1) + 6(k+1) = (k+2)(2k+3)$$

Expanding both sides:

$$2k^{2} + k + 6k + 6 = 2k^{2} + 3k + 4k + 6$$
$$2k^{2} + 7k + 6 = 2k^{2} + 7k + 6$$

Obviously, the equation holds true.

Therefore, the assertion is true.

Prove $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq n_0} \ (n^2 < 2^n)$ with lemma: $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq n_0} \ (1 + 2n < n^2)$.

Proof:

First, we choose the witness n_0 to be 5.

Base Case: n = 5. Obviously, $n^2 = 25 < 2^n = 32$

Inductive Steps:

Assume that: for some $k \ge 1$, $k^2 < 2^k$.

We need to prove: $(k+1)^2 < 2^{k+1}$.

Expand both sides:

$$k^2 + 2k + 1 < 2^k + 2^k$$

As $k^2 < 2^k$, we only need to prove:

$$2k+1<2^k$$

Combining the lemma:

$$1 + 2k < k^2$$

and assumption

$$k^2 < 2^k$$

we have:

$$2k+1<2^k$$

Therefore, the statement is proved by induction with witness $n_0 = 5$.

Can the statement you proved above be used to prove or disprove the following statement?

$$n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq n_0} \ (2^n < n^2)$$

In Question 5, we proved:

$$n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq n_0} \left(n^2 < 2^n \right)$$

We denote the two statements as A and B respectively.

Assume that A is true. So we can choose a witness n_0 as a.

And we also choose a witness n_0 for B as b.

So now we have:

$$\forall n \geq a, 2^n < n^2$$

$$\forall n > b, n^2 < 2^n$$

Therefore, we can always choose a number $x = max\{a, b\}$ and we will have:

$$2^x < x^2 \wedge x^2 < 2^x$$

which is obviously false.

Therefore, the statement is false.

Solution To Question 7

Base Case: For n = 0,

$$StringSet(0) = \{\lambda\}$$

The only string of length 0 is the empty string.

Inductive Steps:

Assume that the algorithm correctly computes the set of binary strings of length k.

For n = k + 1:

$$StringSet(k+1) = \{0x, 1x \mid x \in StringSet(k)\}\$$

By the induction hypothesis, StringSet(k) correctly computes all binary strings of length k. The concatenation of 0 and 1 to each of these strings correctly generates all binary strings of length k+1.

Therefore, by induction, the algorithm correctly computes the set of all binary strings of length n.

First, the function is well-defined because if we choose an arbitrary 4-bit 1/0 string abcd, it will be mapped to bcd, which is in the codomain because it's a 3-bit 1/0 string.

The function is **not one-to-one** because it will map both 0111 and 1111 to 111.

The function is **onto**.

Choose an arbitrary 3-bit 1/0 string x in the codomain. And we can find both 1x and 0x are in the domain and they're mapped to x.