

## Homework 4: April 29, 2021

Due: May 13, 2021

## Theory Questions

1. **(15 points) SGD with projection.** In the context of convex optimization, sometimes we would like to limit our solution to a convex set  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

for a convex function  $f$  and a convex set  $\mathcal{K}$ . In this scenario, each step in the gradient descent algorithm might result in a point outside  $\mathcal{K}$ . Therefore, we add an additional projection step. The projection operator finds the closest point in the set, i.e.:

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{y}) := \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

A modified iteration in the *gradient descent with projection* therefore consists of:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{t+1} &= \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \Pi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Suppose we want to minimize the hinge loss of linear classifiers:

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \max(0, 1 - y_i \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)$$

However, we want to find a solution with a bounded norm; i.e.  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq R\}$ . Modify the SGD algorithm to include a projection step. How do you calculate the projection?

- (b) Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a **general** convex set,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{x} = \Pi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{y})$ . Prove that for any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{K}$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|$ .
- (c) Prove that the convergence theorem for GD still holds.
2. **(15 points) SVM with multiple classes.** One limitation of the standard SVM is that it can only handle binary classification. Here is one extension to handle multiple classes. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and now let  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in [K]$ , where  $[K] = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ . We will find a separate classifier  $\mathbf{w}_j$  for each one of the classes  $j \in [K]$ , and we will focus on the case of no bias ( $b = 0$ ). Define the following loss function (known as the multiclass hinge-loss):

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i) = \max_{j \in [K]} (\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbb{1}(j \neq y_i))$$

Define the following multiclass SVM problem:

$$f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K) = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \in [K]} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$$

After learning all the  $\mathbf{w}_j, j \in [K]$ , classification of a new point  $\mathbf{x}$  is done by  $\arg \max_{j \in [K]} \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . The rationale of the loss function is that we want the "score" of the true label,  $\mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$ , to be larger by at least 1 than the "score" of each other label,  $\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$ . Therefore, we pay a loss if  $\mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \leq 1$ , for  $j \neq y_i$ .

- (a) **(8 points)** Show that when  $K = 2$ ,  $f$  reduces to the standard SVM with binary classification. That is, denote by  $\mathbf{w}_1^*(\beta), \mathbf{w}_2^*(\beta)$  the solution of the multiclass problem with  $K = 2$ , with a penalty  $\beta$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{w}^*(\beta')$  best solution of the standard SVM, with a penalty  $\beta'$ :

$$\frac{\beta'}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)$$

Show that for every  $\beta > 0$ , there is a  $\beta' > 0$ , so that the multiclass classifier defined by  $\mathbf{w}_1^*(\beta), \mathbf{w}_2^*(\beta)$  and the standard SVM classifier defined by  $\mathbf{w}^*(\beta')$  are the same. Show the correspondence  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ , and between  $\mathbf{w}_1^*(\beta), \mathbf{w}_2^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathbf{w}^*(\beta')$  (i.e., an equation showing the relationship between them).

(Hint: First show that the solution to the multiclass SVM satisfies  $\mathbf{w}_1^*(\beta) = -\mathbf{w}_2^*(\beta)$ . Use the fact that if  $\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2$  then  $\ell(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i) = \ell(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$ .)

- (b) **(7 points)** Assume  $\beta = 0$ . Consider the case where the data is linearly separable. Namely, there exists  $\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K^*$  such that  $y_i = \arg \max_y \mathbf{w}_y^* \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$ . Show that any minimizer of  $f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K)$  will have zero classification error.

3. **(15 points)  $\ell^2$  penalty.** Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w, b, \xi} \quad & \frac{1}{2} w^T w + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_i(w^T x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that a constraint of the form  $\xi_i \geq 0$  will not change the problem. meaning, Show that these non-negativity constraints can be removed. That is, show that the optimal value of the objective will be the same whether or not these constraints are present.
- (b) What is the Lagrangian of this problem?
- (c) Minimize the Lagrangian with respect to  $w, b, \xi$  by setting the derivative with respect to these variables to 0.
- (d) What is the dual problem?
4. **(15 points)** Fix some integer  $k$ . Consider the function  $K : [k]^2 \rightarrow [k]$  defined by,

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \min\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'\}.$$

Does  $K$  is a kernel? If so, find a mapping  $\phi$  such that  $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \phi(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x}')$ .

5. **(15 points) Separability using polynomial kernel.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and let integer  $q \geq n$ . Show that when using a polynomial kernel,  $K(x, x') = (1 + xx')^q$ , hard SVM achieves zero training error. Use the following fact: Given distinct values  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , the Vandermonde matrix defined by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1^1 & \dots & \alpha_1^q \\ 1 & \alpha_2^1 & \dots & \alpha_2^q \\ \vdots & & & \\ 1 & \alpha_n^1 & \dots & \alpha_n^q \end{pmatrix},$$

is of rank  $n$ . (Hint: use the lemma from slide 18 in recitation 6).

## Programming Assignment

### Submission guidelines:

- Download the supplied files from Moodle. Written solutions, plots and any other non-code parts should be included in the written solution submission.
- Your code should be written in Python 3.
- Your code submission should include the file `svm.py`.

1. **(25 points) SVM.** In this exercise, we will explore different kernels for SVM and the relation between the parameters of the optimization problem. We will use an existing implementation of SVM: the SVC class from `sklearn.svm`. This class solves the soft-margin SVM problem. You can assume that the data we will use is separable by a linear separator (i.e. that we could formalize this problem as a hard-margin SVM). In the file `skeleton_svm.py` you will find two implemented methods:

- `get_points` - returns training and validation sets of points in 2D, and their labels.
- `create_plot` - receives a set of points, their labels and a trained SVM model, and creates a plot of the points and the separating line of the model. The plot is created in the background using `matplotlib`. To show it simply run `plt.show()` after calling this method.

In the following questions, you will be asked to implement the other methods in this file, while using `get_points` and `create_plot` that were implemented for you.

- (a) **(5 points)** Implement the method `train_three_kernels` that uses the training data to train 3 kernel SVM models - linear, quadratic and RBF. For all models, set the penalty constant  $C$  to 1000.
  - How are the 3 separating lines different from each other? You may support your answer with plots of the decision boundary.
  - How many support vectors are there in each case?
- (b) **(10 points)** Implement the method `linear_accuracy_per_C` that trains a linear SVM model on the training set, while using cross-validation on the validation set to select the best penalty constant from  $C = 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, \dots, 10^5$ . Plot the accuracy of the resulting model on the training set and on the validation set, as a function of  $C$ .
  - What is the best  $C$  you found?
  - Explain the behavior of error as a function of  $C$ . Support your answer with plots of the decision boundary.
- (c) **(10 points)** Implement the method `rbf_accuracy_per_gamma` that trains an RBF SVM model on the training set, while using cross-validation on the validation set to select the best coefficient  $\gamma = 1/\sigma^2$ . Start your search on the log scale, e.g., perform a grid search  $\gamma = 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, \dots, 10^5$ , and increase the resolution until you are satisfied. Use  $C = 10$  as the penalty constant for this section. Plot the accuracy of the resulting separating line on the training set and on the validation set, as a function of  $\gamma$ .
  - What is the best  $\gamma$  you found?
  - How does  $\gamma$  affect the decision rule? Support your answer with plots of the decision boundary.