

Well, some find it funny: Exploring alternative methods of combining Humicroedit annotator scores

Dorijan Cirkveni

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
Unska 3, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
autor1@xxx.hr, {autor2, autor3}@zz.com

Abstract

Humor is an inherently subjective matter, as can be seen in the Humicroedit dataset’s annotator grades which diverge greatly for higher averages, which this paper suggests might require an annotation analysis method different than those traditionally used on high-agreement datasets. In order to achieve a more evenly distributed labeling system, this paper uses a weighted mean and a variety of methods to determine the ideal set of weights, and then compares performance on dataset with the regular mean.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has been making major strides recently both in terms of development and practical usage, especially with the advent of LLMs such as ChatGPT as well as stable diffusion models such as Midjourney. However, certain tasks still remain outside its grasp.

One such task is the detection, analysis, and generation of humor, a task that continues to elude the methods used. And unlike humor recognition (Khodak et al., 2017; Davidov et al., 2010; Barbieri and Saggion, 2014; Reyes et al., 2012; Cattle and Ma, 2018; Bertero and Fung, 2016; Yang et al., 2015), humor generation using artificial intelligence has proven especially tasking. One obstacle in this line of research is the scarcity of public datasets, and even greater scarcity - if not outright absence - of topic-appropriate public datasets.

One large problem in this field of study is bias, because humor is as subjective as it is complex which makes it significantly challenging to tackle from a natural language analysis/synthesis standpoint.

There are two common approaches to this problem in terms of reducing domain size and therefore annotator bias, one being to focus on a specific domain (TODO: insert citations) and the other being to focus on a specific

The underlying paper of this paper, “*President Vows to Cut Taxes Hair*”: Dataset and Analysis of Creative Text Editing for Humorous Headlines (Hossain et al., 2019), provides one dataset that is an example of the latter.

2. Related Work

In scientific papers, this section usually (but not necessarily) briefly describes the related research and what makes the presented approach different from it.

3. The direct underlying paper

3.1. •

4. Figures and tables

4.1. Figures

Here is an example on how to include figures in the paper. Figures are included in \LaTeX code immediately *after* the text in which these figures are referenced. Allow \LaTeX to




Figure 1: As we can see on this chart, a disproportionate amount of headline edits has been written on the topic of Donald J. Trump, a notable and controversial media personality and then-incumbent (45th) president of the United States of America.

place the figure where it believes is best (usually on top of the page or at the position where you would not place the figure). Figures are referenced as follows: “Figure 1 shows ...”. Use tilde (~) to prevent separation between the word “Figure” and its enumeration.

4.2. Tables

There are two types of tables: narrow tables that fit into one column and a wide table that spreads over both columns.

4.2.1. Narrow tables

Table 1 is an example of a narrow table. Do not use vertical lines in tables – vertical tables have no effect and they make tables visually less attractive. We recommend using *booktabs* package for nicer tables.

4.3. Wide tables

Table 2 is an example of a wide table that spreads across both columns. The same can be done for wide figures that

Table 1: This is the caption of the table. Table captions should be placed *above* the table.

Heading1	Heading2
One	First row text
Two	Second row text
Three	Third row text
	Fourth row text

should spread across the whole width of the page.

5. Math expressions and formulas

Math expressions and formulas that appear within the sentence should be written inside the so-called *inline* math environment: $2 + 3$, $\sqrt{16}$, $h(x) = 1(\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_0 > 0)$. Larger expressions and formulas (e.g., equations) should be written in the so-called *displayed* math environment:

$$b_k^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \operatorname{argmin}_j \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_j\|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Math expressions which you reference in the text should be written inside the *equation* environment:

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K b_k^{(i)} \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_k\|^2 \quad (1)$$

Now you can reference equation (1). If the paragraph continues right after the formula

$$f(x) = x^2 + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

like this one does, use the command *noindent* after the equation to remove the indentation of the row.

Multi-letter words in the math environment should be written inside the command *mathit*, otherwise L^AT_EX will insert spacing between the letters to denote the multiplication of values denoted by symbols. For example, compare *Consistent*(h, \mathcal{D}) and *Consistent*(h, \mathcal{D}).

If you need a math symbol, but you don't know the corresponding L^AT_EX command that generates it, try *Detexify*.¹

6. Referencing literature

References to other publications should be written in brackets with the last name of the first author and the year of publication, e.g., (?). Multiple references are written in sequence, one after another, separated by semicolon and without whitespaces in between, e.g., (?: ?; ?). References are typically written at the end of the sentence and necessarily before the sentence punctuation.

If the publication is authored by more than one author, only the name of the first author is written, after which abbreviation *et al.*, meaning *et alia*, i.e., and others is written as in (?). If the publication is authored by only two authors, then the last names of both authors are written (?).

If the name of the author is incorporated into the text of the sentence, it should not be in the brackets (only the year should be there). E.g., “(?) suggested that ...”. The difference is whether you reference the publication or the author who wrote it.

The list of all literature references is given alphabetically at the end of the paper. The form of the reference depends on the type of the bibliographic unit: conference papers, (?), books (?), journal articles (?), doctoral dissertations (?), and book chapters (?).

All of this is automatically produced when using BibTeX. Insert all the BibTeX entries into the file `tar2023.bib`, and then reference them via their symbolic names.

7. Conclusion

Conclusion is the last enumerated section of the paper. It should not exceed half of a column and is typically split into 2–3 paragraphs. No new information should be presented in the conclusion; this section only summarizes and concludes the paper.

Acknowledgements

If suitable, you can include the *Acknowledgements* section before inserting the literature references in order to thank those who helped you in any way to deliver the paper, but are not co-authors of the paper.

¹<http://detexify.kirelabs.org/>

Table 2: Wide-table caption

Heading1	Heading2	Heading3
A	A very long text, longer that the width of a single column	128
B	A very long text, longer that the width of a single column	3123
C	A very long text, longer that the width of a single column	−32