## Underflow Scaling and Smoothing in EM

The following explanations refer to the mixture of histograms lecture. The notations are defined for documents but are general and suitable to any sequence of events.

- $y_t$  the  $t^{th}$  document
- $\bullet$   $n_t$  the length of document t
- $\bullet$  N number of documents
- ullet  $n_{t_k}$  the frequency of word k in document t
- $\bullet$  |V| vocabulary size

הרצאה 7 מדברת על המודל עירוב היסטוגרמות

הרצאה 8 הנוסחאות נפתחות ומאוד ברורות

הרצאה 9 מדברת על EM

Two steps in EM algorithm

E step:

מחלק את המסמכים לקלאסטרים

$$w_{t_i} = P(x_t = i|y_t) = \frac{\alpha_i \Pi_k P_{i_k}^{n_{t_k}}}{\sum_j \alpha_j \Pi_k P_{j_k}^{n_{t_k}}}$$
(1)

<u>M step:</u> מחשב פרמטרים מחדש בהינתן

החלוקה

פריור - מה ההסתברות שמסמך יהיה שייך לקלאסטר י

$$\alpha_i = P(C_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} w_{t_i}$$
 (2)

זה בחזקת

n\_t\_k

ההסתברות למילה k בקלאסטר

$$P_{i_k} = P(w_k|C_i) = \frac{\sum_t w_{t_i} n_{t_k}}{\sum_t w_{t_i} n_t}$$
(3)

## Underflow in the E step

 $P_{i_k}$  are small fractions and therefore  $\Pi_k P_{i_k}^{n_{t_k}}$  is numerically not stable (underflow). We will solve this by scaling the values that we are computing (scaling means shifting the range of values we compute to make them numerically stable). Define  $z_i$  to be the log of the numerator of  $w_{t_i}$ :

דד\_איי ברמת מסמך עבור קלאסטר מסוים 
$$z_i = \ln(lpha_i\Pi_k P_{i_k}^{n_{t_k}}) = \lnlpha_i + \sum_k n_{t_k} \ln P_{i_k}$$

Note: we need to insure that all parameters' values are greater than zero, otherwise the ln will not be defined (this is handled in the smoothing section).  $z_i$  is numerically stable and now Equation (1) can be written as:

$$w_{t_i} = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}$$

Now we have various  $e^{z_i}$  which are unstable. To solve this we define:

$$m = \max_{i} z_i$$

המקסימום מבין כל הקלאסטרים פר מסמך

and now, we multiply the numerator and denominator by  $e^{-m}$  and then approximate  $w_{t_i}$  as follows:

$$w_{t_i} = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}} = \frac{e^{z_i - m}}{\sum_j e^{z_j - m}} = \begin{cases} 0 & z_i - m < -k \\ \frac{e^{z_i - m}}{\sum_{\{j: z_j - m \ge - k\}} e^{z_j - m}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Where k is a parameter, chosen as described below. In the numerator, for very small values of  $e^{z_j-m}$ , we round the probability for cluster i given document t to zero and therefore we set  $w_{t_i} = 0$ . In the denominator we ignore values of  $e^{z_j-m}$  that are smaller than  $e^{-k}$ , since these values stands in  $e^{-k}$  proportion to the maximal factor in the sum.

We choose k such that  $e^{-k}$  will not be underflow. A reasonable value for k is k = 10. Now everything is stable since  $0 \ge z_i - m \ge -10$  and therefore  $1 \ge e^{z_i - m} \ge e^{-10} \approx 0.000045$  which we can still handle.

## Smoothing in the M step

- 1. We use Equation (2) to calculate  $\alpha_i$ . If for some j we will get  $\alpha_j = 0$  then in the next E step  $w_{t_j}$  will be zero and then  $\ln \alpha_i$  is not defined and we cannot calculate  $z_j$ . To avoid this we set a threshold  $\varepsilon$  and if  $\alpha_j$  becomes less than it we can do one of two:
  - fix  $\alpha_i$  to  $\varepsilon$ .
  - throw away the cluster j, since no document seems to fall into it, and reduce the number of clusters.

Either way, after applying this fix we need to make sure that  $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} = 1$ . This can be done by re-computing for all j the normalized value of  $\alpha_{j}$  as follows:  $\alpha'_{j} = \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}}$ 

2. The same problem may occur in Equation (3). The solution here is to smooth  $P_{i_k}$ . We can use Lidstone smoothing for instance:

$$P_{i_k} = \frac{\sum_t (w_{t_i} n_{t_k}) + \lambda}{\sum_t (w_{t_i} n_t) + |V| \lambda}$$

$$(5)$$

## Underflow in calculating the Likelihood

After each round of E and M steps we should calculate the Likelihood and verify that it has increased from the previous round. This is a great debugging tool, if in some round we find that the Likelihood decrease - it means that we have a bug in our implementation or that we are smoothing too aggressively. In the previous sections  $z_i$  was defined in the scope of a specific document t. In computing the likelihood of all documents we need to define  $z_i^t$  as the  $z_i$  of document t (and  $m^t$  in the same manner).

$$L = P(y_1 \dots y_N) = \Pi_t \left( \sum_i \alpha_i \Pi_k P_{i_k}^{n_{t_k}} \right) = \Pi_t \left( \sum_i e^{\ln(\alpha_i \Pi_k P_{i_k}^{n_{t_k}})} \right)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\ln L = \sum_t \ln(\sum_i e^{z_i^t})$$
(6)

We may encounter underflow in  $\ln(\sum_i e^{z_i})$ . To avoid this we implement the same solution as in Equation (4):

$$\ln(\sum_i e^{z_i}) = \ln(e^m \sum_i e^{z_i-m}) \approx m + \ln(\sum_{\{i: z_i-m \geq -k\}} e^{z_i-m})$$

$$\ln L \approx \sum_{t} (m^t + \ln(\sum_{\{i: z_i - m^t \ge -k\}} e^{z_i^t - m^t})) \tag{7}$$

and this is numerically stable.