Isogenies of Oriented Elliptic Curves

Doron L Grossman-Naples (he/she/they)

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

August 23rd, 2025

Some Preliminary Notes

Conventions/Terminology

- Stack = étale sheaf of ∞-groupoids on CAlg_R for some $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring R
- DM-stack = spectral Deligne-Mumford stack, not necessarily connective
- Formal DM-stack = formal filtered colimit of DM-stacks along closed immersions; called "honest" if actual DM-stack
- Isogeny = strict abelian variety map which is finite, flat, and locally almost of finite presentation

Some Preliminary Notes

Conventions/Terminology

- Stack = étale sheaf of ∞-groupoids on CAlg_R for some $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring R
- DM-stack = spectral Deligne-Mumford stack, not necessarily connective
- Formal DM-stack = formal filtered colimit of DM-stacks along closed immersions; called "honest" if actual DM-stack
- Isogeny = strict abelian variety map which is finite, flat, and locally almost of finite presentation

Related Work

Xuecai Ma and Yifei Zhu have a paper in the works ([MZ25]) which approaches this from a different perspective, defining level structures in terms of classical divisors. It isn't clear whether this is equivalent to my definition. A draft can be found on Professor Zhu's website.

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \big\downarrow \text{ét} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \arrow[d, "\text{ét}"] \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{tikzcd}\right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$ "moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{ét}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$ "moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Over $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and the reduction map exist in the category of algebraic stacks, but

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \big\downarrow \text{ét} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$ "moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Over $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and the reduction map exist in the category of algebraic stacks, but

- $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ may not be Deligne-Mumford (e.g. $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$)

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \big\downarrow \scriptstyle{\text{ét}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\text{ell}} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$ "moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Over $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and the reduction map exist in the category of algebraic stacks, but

- $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ may not be Deligne-Mumford (e.g. $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$)
- The reduction map is never étale (unless we invert the level)

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{ét}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$"moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Over $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and the reduction map exist in the category of algebraic stacks, but

- $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ may not be Deligne-Mumford (e.g. $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$)
- The reduction map is never étale (unless we invert the level)

So we can't use the usual methods to lift to spectral AG.

Background: Classical $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}$

Over $\mathbb{C}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \\ \Big\downarrow \text{ét} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Congruence subgroups } \Gamma \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) =$"moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ-structure" (i.e. isogeny with prescribed kernel)

Over $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and the reduction map exist in the category of algebraic stacks, but

- $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ may not be Deligne-Mumford (e.g. $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$)
- The reduction map is never étale (unless we invert the level)

So we can't use the usual methods to lift to spectral AG.

Solution: Work with moduli interpretation directly!

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \left\{ i : E \to E' \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E, E' \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{or}}(A), \\ i \text{ isogeny.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \left\{ i : E \to E' \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E, E' \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(A), \\ i \text{ isogeny.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

(The isogenies are not required to preserve the orientation.)

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \left\{ i : E \to E' \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E, E' \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(A), \\ i \text{ isogeny.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

(The isogenies are not required to preserve the orientation.)

Then $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Isog}$.

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \left\{ i : E \to E' \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E, E' \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(A), \\ i \text{ isogeny.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

(The isogenies are not required to preserve the orientation.)

Then $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Isog}$.

Main Theorem (GN)

Isog is a formal DM-stack.

The Moduli of Isogenies

Definition

The *moduli stack of isogenies* over R is the functor $\mathrm{Isog} : \mathrm{CAlg}_R \to \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \left\{ i : E \to E' \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E, E' \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{or}}(A), \\ i \text{ isogeny.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

(The isogenies are not required to preserve the orientation.)

Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{or}}(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Isog}$.

Main Theorem (GN)

Isog is a formal DM-stack.

Warning

It is not known whether Isog is an honest DM-stack.

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$E \xrightarrow{i} E'$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$K \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{i} E'$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$\begin{tikzcd} K^\circ \arrow[d] & & \\ K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r, "i"] & E' \\ K^{\text{ét}} & & \end{tikzcd}$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^\circ \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & K^\circ \arrow[d] & \\
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "c"] & E' \\
K^{\text{ét}} \arrow[r] & E/K^\circ &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^\circ \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & K^\circ \arrow[d] & \\
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "c"] & E' \\
K^{\text{ét}} \arrow[r] & E/K^\circ \arrow[r, "e"] & \widetilde{E'}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Factorization Theorem (GN)

There is an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathscr{C}onn, \acute{\mathscr{E}}t)$ on $\mathrm{Ell}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{Isog}}$ such that

- $\mathscr{C}onn$ is the class of connected isogenies, and
- $\acute{\mathscr{E}}t$ is the class of étale isogenies.

This factorization system is natural with respect to change of base.

Proof.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
K^\circ \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & K^\circ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "c"] & E' \arrow[d, equal] \\
K^{\text{ét}} \arrow[r] & E/K^\circ \arrow[r, "e"] & \widetilde{E'}
\end{tikzcd}
$$

□

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, classical version ([KM85])

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of classical elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[d] & & \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\ R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Def}_{A}^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\ \mathrm{Def}_{R}^{\widetilde{R}}(B) \end{tikzcd}$$

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{Def}_A^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

f factors through R

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{Def}_A^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\ R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{Def}_A^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(B) \end{tikzcd}$$

f factors through $R \Rightarrow R$ is retract of A

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{Def}_A^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

f factors through $R \Rightarrow R$ is retract of A

$\Rightarrow B \otimes_A L_{A/R}$ vanishes

Digression: Why are the components isogenies?

Elliptic Rigidity Theorem, spectral version (GN)

Zariski-locally on the base, every morphism of strict elliptic curves is either 0 or an isogeny.

Proof sketch.

Main idea: 0 map deforms uniquely through square-zero extensions $\Rightarrow$through Postnikov tower.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left] & \widetilde{A} \arrow[d] & \widetilde{B} \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & B
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, very near start, "\lrcorner"] & \mathrm{Def}_A^{\widetilde{A}}(B) \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(B)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \text{ factors through } R &\Rightarrow R \text{ is retract of } A \\
&\Rightarrow B \otimes_A L_{A/R} \text{ vanishes} \\
&\Rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_R^{\widetilde{R}}(f) = 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Corollary

We have a pullback of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Isog} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}} \arrow[d, "s"] \\ \mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}} \arrow[r, "t"] & \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Connected-Étale Factorization

Corollary

We have a pullback of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Isog} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}} \arrow[d, "s"] \\ \mathrm{Isog}^{\text{conn}} \arrow[r, "t"] & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{or}}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Need to show that $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}, \mathrm{Isog}^{\text{conn}}$ are formal DM-stacks.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$ is a DM-stack.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$ is a DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$ is a DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

1. [KM85]: $\{(E, K) \mid E$ elliptic curve, $K \subset E$ finite$\} \to (\mathcal{M}_{\text{ell}}^{\text{or}})^{\heartsuit}$ relative scheme.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$ is a DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

1. [KM85]: $\{(E, K) \mid E \text{ elliptic curve}, K \subset E \text{ finite}\} \to (\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{or}}_{\mathrm{ell}})^{\heartsuit}$ relative scheme.
2. $\{(E, K) \mid E \text{ elliptic curve}, K \subset E \text{ finite étale}\}$ open substack.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\text{ét}}$ is a DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

1. [KM85]: $\{(E, K) \mid E \text{ elliptic curve}, K \subset E \text{ finite}\} \to (\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{or}})^{\heartsuit}$ relative scheme.
2. $\{(E, K) \mid E \text{ elliptic curve}, K \subset E \text{ finite étale}\}$ open substack.
3. Leverage étaleness and use ([Lur18c], Theorem 18.1.0.2) to lift from classical to spectral. $\square$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

$$\{E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conn}} E'\}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

$$\{E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conn}} E'\}$$
$$\updownarrow$$
$$\{K \subset E \text{ closed, proper, connected}\}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

$$\{E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conn}} E'\}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\{K \subset E \text{ closed, proper, connected}\}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\{K \subset \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q \text{ honest subgroup}\}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch.

$$\{E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conn}} E'\}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\{K \subset E \text{ closed, proper, connected}\}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\{K \subset \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q \text{ honest subgroup}\}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{or}} \times \mathrm{QuilIsog}$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{QuilIsog} \arrow[d] \\ \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \end{tikzcd}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathrm{QuilIsog} \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r, "K"] & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \end{tikzcd}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{OrDat}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{Q}_{R}/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{QuilIsog} \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r, "K"] & \mathrm{Sub}^{h}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{Q}_{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{OrDat}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^Q_R/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{QuilIsog} \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r, "K"] & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^Q_R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

[Lur18b]: $\mathrm{OrDat}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^Q_R/K)$ is an affine DM-stack.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{OrDat}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \mathrm{QuilIsog} \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r, "K"] & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q)
\end{tikzcd}$$

[Lur18b]: $\mathrm{OrDat}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q/K)$ is an affine DM-stack.
$\Rightarrow$ Enough to show that $\mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q)$ is formal DM.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

We have a retract:

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

We have a retract:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hilb}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[rr, bend right, "\text{generated subgroup}"'] & & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[ll, bend right, "\text{underlying stack}"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

We have a retract:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hilb}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[rr, bend right, "\text{generated subgroup}"'] & & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[ll, bend right, "\text{underlying stack}"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

[Lur04]: Hilb is DM.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

We have a retract:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hilb}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[rr, bend right, "\text{generated subgroup}"'] & & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[ll, bend right, "\text{underlying stack}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

[Lur04]: Hilb is DM.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^h$ is formal DM.

Identifying $\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$

Theorem (GN)

$\mathrm{Isog}^{\mathrm{conn}}$ is a formal DM-stack.

Proof sketch (ctd).

We have a retract:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hilb}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[rr, bend right, "\text{generated subgroup}"'] & & \mathrm{Sub}^h(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_R^Q) \arrow[ll, bend right, "\text{underlying stack}"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

[Lur04]: Hilb is DM.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^h$ is formal DM.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Sub}^h$ is formal DM. $\square$

Thank you!

References

[KM85] Nicholas M. Katz and Barry Mazur. *Arithmetic Moduli of Elliptic Curves*. 108. Princeton University Press, 1985.

[Lur04] Jacob Lurie. "Derived Algebraic Geometry". PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2004. URL: http://oastats.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/30144.

[Lur18a] Jacob Lurie. *Elliptic Cohomology*. 2018. URL: https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-I.pdf. Pre-published.

[Lur18b] Jacob Lurie. *Elliptic Cohomology II: Orientations*. Apr. 2018. URL: https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf. Pre-published.

[Lur18c] Jacob Lurie. *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*. 2018. URL: https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf.

[MZ25] Xuecai Ma and Yifei Zhu. *Spectral Moduli Problems for Level Structures and an Integral Jacquet-Langlands Dual of Morava E-theory*. 2025. URL: https://yifeizhu.github.io/sagreal.pdf. Pre-published.