## **6.1** Establish Lemma 6.1.

**Solution.** It holds that:

$$[A^{n_o}]_{\ell,k} = \sum_{\{j_1,\dots,j_{n_o-1}\}\in\mathcal{P}^{n_o}_{\ell,k}} a_{\ell j_1} a_{j_1 j_2} \cdots a_{j_{n_o-1} k}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell,k}^{n_o}$  denotes the set of agents  $j_1,\ldots,j_{n_o-1}$  on the a path of length  $n_o$  from agent  $\ell$  to agents k. For a connected graph, we know that for any pair  $\ell,k$ , there exists some  $n_o^{\ell,k}$ , such that at least one path of non-zero weights exists. In light of the fact that all weights are non-negative, this ensures that  $[A^{n_o^{\ell,k}}]_{\ell,k} > 0$ . In general, however, this power  $n_o^{\ell,k}$  may be different for different pairs of agents. We now aim to show that if there exists at least one agent with a self-loop, then there exists a common  $n_o$ , such that  $[A^{n_o}]_{\ell,k} > 0$  for all  $\ell,k$ . We make the argument by construction. Let us denote by  $\ell^s$  the agent with the self-loop. Since the graph is connected, we can always construct a path from agent  $\ell$  to  $\ell$  by taking a detour first from  $\ell$  to  $\ell^s$ , and then from  $\ell^s$  to k. Let us denote the length of this path hy  $n_o^{\ell k,s}$ . Let  $n_o^s = \max_{k,\ell} n_o^{\ell k,s}$ . We can then take a path from any node  $\ell$  to any other node k of length exactly  $n_o^s$  by taking an appropriate number of self-loops at node  $\ell^s$ . It then follows that:

$$[A^{n_o^s}]_{\ell,k} > 0$$

## **6.2** Establish (6.7).

Solution. We have:

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{i \to \infty} A^i = \left(V_{\epsilon} J V_{\epsilon}^{-1}\right)^i \\ &= V_{\epsilon} \left(\lim_{i \to \infty} J^i\right) V_{\epsilon}^{-1} \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{cc} p & V_R \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lim_{i \to \infty} J^i_{\epsilon} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ V_L^{\mathsf{T}} \end{array}\right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{cc} p & V_R \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ V_L^{\mathsf{T}} \end{array}\right] \\ &= p \mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{split}$$

© 2023. All rights reserved. This draft cannot be copied or distributed in print or electronically without the written consent of the authors S. Vlaski and A. H. Sayed.

**6.3** Show that the Laplacian and Metropolis rules in Table 6.1 are doubly-stochastic.

**Solution.** We begin with the Laplacian. First, for symmetry, we have:

$$A^{\mathsf{T}} = (I - \beta L)^{\mathsf{T}} = I - \beta L^{\mathsf{T}} = I - \beta L = A$$

For stochasticity:

$$A\mathbb{1} = (I - \beta L^{\mathsf{T}}) \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} - \beta L \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$$

For the Metropolis rule, symmetry follows directly from:

$$a_{\ell k} = \frac{1}{\max\{n_k, n_\ell\}} = \frac{1}{\max\{n_\ell, n_k\}} = a_{k\ell}$$

For stochasticity, note that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K a_{\ell k} = a_{kk} + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_k \backslash k} a_{\ell k} = 1 - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_k \backslash k} a_{\ell k} + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_k \backslash k} a_{\ell k} = 1$$

## **7.1** Establish relation (7.46).

**Solution.** We can reformulate:

$$\eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{k}} c_{\ell k} \| w_{k} - w_{\ell} \|^{2} 
= \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l>k} c_{\ell k} \left( \| w_{k} \|^{2} - 2w_{\ell}^{\mathsf{T}} w_{k} + \| w_{\ell} \|^{2} \right) 
= \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} c_{\ell k} \left( \| w_{\ell} \|^{2} - w_{\ell}^{\mathsf{T}} w_{k} \right) 
= \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} c_{\ell k} \| w_{\ell} \|^{2} - \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} c_{\ell k} w_{\ell}^{\mathsf{T}} w_{k} 
= \eta \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_{\ell k} \right) \| w_{\ell} \|^{2} - \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} c_{\ell k} w_{\ell}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) w_{k} 
= \eta w^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \operatorname{diag} \left\{ C \mathbf{1} \right\} \otimes I_{M} \right) w - \eta w^{\mathsf{T}} \left( C \otimes I_{M} \right) w 
= \eta w^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \operatorname{diag} \left\{ C \mathbf{1} \right\} - C \right) \otimes I_{M} \right) w 
= \eta w^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{L} w \tag{7.1}$$

7.2 We saw at several points throughout this chapter that the mixing rate of an matrix A, quantified through its second-largest singular value  $\sigma_2(A)$  plays a key role in quantifying the rate at which averages can be computed. In this problem, we will verify Theorem 7.1 in code. To this end, generate a random collection of signals  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^K$  using a statistical model of your choice. For the graph, we generate Erdos-Renyi graphs with varying edge probability  $p_{\text{edge}}$ . Any pair of agents  $\ell$  and k is linked with probability  $p_{\text{edge}}$ , independently of all other edges. Construct the adjacency matrix A following the Metropolis rule of Chapter 6. For different choices of  $p_{\text{edge}}$ , compute the associated mixing rate  $\sigma_2(A)$ . Subsequently implement the static consensus algorithm (7.16), plot the evolution of  $\sum_{k=1}^K \|\overline{g} - w_{k,i}\|^2$  and discuss the relationship between edge probablities  $p_{\text{edge}}$ ,  $\sigma_2(A)$  and the rate of convergence.

<sup>© 2023.</sup> All rights reserved. This draft cannot be copied or distributed in print or electronically without the written consent of the authors S. Vlaski and A. H. Sayed.

**Solution.** The solution is provided as a Jupyter notebook in the separate file  $Problem_7_2.ipynb$ .