Table 1: Revision History

Date	Developer(s)	Change
Oct 1^{th}	All	Initial division of labour
Oct 3^{rd}	All	Rough draft complete
Oct 5^{th}	All	Revision and proof-reading

Software Requirements Specification for SE 4G06 An AI-based Approach to Designing Board Games

Team #6, Board Gamers Ilao Michael, ilaom Bedi Hargun, bedih Dang Jeffrey, dangj12 Ada Jonah, karaatan Mai Tianzheng, mait6

October 6, 2022

Contents

	1.5	Organization of Document	$\frac{4}{4}$
		1.5.1 Terminology and Demittions	4
2		neral System Description	6
	2.1	System Context	6
	2.2	User Characteristics	7
	2.3 2.4	System Constraints	8
	2.4	Normal Operation & Undestred Event Handling	C
3	-	cific System Description	9
	3.1	Problem Description	9
	2.0	3.1.1 Goal Statements	9
	3.2	Assumptions	10
4	Req	uired Behaviour Overview	10
5	Fun	ctional Requirements	11
	5.1	AI Agent's Functional Requirements	11
	5.2	Game Engine Functional Requirements	12
	5.3	Game Data Visualization Analysis Requirement	13
6	Nor	nfunctional Requirements	14
	6.1	Accuracy	14
	6.2	Usability	14
	6.3	Modularity	14
		Llowto bulatry	ーしっ
	6.4	Portability	15
	6.5	Performance	15
	6.5 6.6	Performance	15 15
	6.5 6.6 6.7	Performance	15 15 15
	6.5 6.6	Performance	15 15
	6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9	Performance	15 15 15 15
7	6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10	Performance	15 15 15 15 15 16
7	6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10	Performance Extensibility Look and Feel Security Cultural and Political	15 15 15 15 15

9	Traceability Matrices and Graphs	18
10	Impact Analysis	18
11	Values of Constants	18
12	Reflection Appendix	19
	12.1 Game mechanics and rules	19
	12.2 AI Agent	19
	12.3 Game Engine	19
	12.4 Data Visualization Analysis	20

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Document

The purpose of this document is to define the needed features and intended behaviors of using an AI-based approach to designing board games. Both the users and software developers use this document as an agreement to involve important information such as system specification, goals of implementation, project constraints, functional and non-functional requirements. This document help software developers understand what the game users need, minimize the cost of time and money, split work into multiple smaller pieces, and get project development started on the right path.

1.2 Scope of Requirements

The scope of our project is to create an AI-based, game simulation engine that can help identify pitfalls in a game's mechanics and imbalances in the game's scoring system. Our intended users are game developers who wish to use our application to develop a robust game. The AI engine, Game Engine, and the simulator will work together to create output for a decision tree visualization tool that will help the game developers identify various paths of decisions that led to specific end states.

We have initially limited our scope to only have our application work with 2 games - Tic-Tac-Toe, and Bellow Intent's Age Contrived game. As a secondary goal, we will work towards having our application be an open-source framework such that it is possible for any game developer to use our system.

1.3 Characteristics of Intended Reader

The intended readers of this document are Dr. Spencer Smith, Christopher Schankula (TA), Dr. Sebastien Mosser, and Dr. Vladimir Reinharz. This document is thus intended for an audience that has at minimum a high-level technical understanding of game development, artificial intelligence, game simulation, and interfacing between low-coupled systems. As a result, the reader is expected to know the basic terminologies either through their own research or from an undergraduate level course in all of the fields specified. This document will also be used by the developers of this project (members of Group 6), therefore, it is also intended as a guide for all future documents and milestones during the development of this project.

1.4 Stakeholders

Table 2: Stakeholders

Bellows Intent	Publisher of The Game "An Age Contrived"	Primary
Chris Matthew	Principal Author of "An Age Contrived" and The Game Designer	Primary
Dr. Sebastien Mosser	Supervisor	Secondary
Dr Vladimir Reinharz	Supervisor	Secondary
Group # 6	Developers	Tertiary
Players		Tertiary

1.5 Organization of Document

This SRS document is intended to be read in the order that the document is presented. The main sections are – scope, general system description, specific system description, functional and non-functional requirements, likely and unlikely changes, and traceability matrices.

1.5.1 Terminology and Definitions

This section is expressed in words, not with equations. It provides the meaning of the different words and phrases used in the domain of the problem. The terminology is used to introduce concepts from the world outside of the mathematical model The terminology provides a real-world connection to give the mathematical model meaning.

This subsection provides a list of terms that are used in the subsequent sections and their meaning, with the purpose of reducing ambiguity and making it easier to correctly understand the requirements:

- AI Agent: Refers to the subsystem that has an AI model trained to play the game at hand acting as one of the players of the game.
- Game Engine: Refers to the subsystem that is an abstract representation of the actual game as software.
- Data Visualization: Refers to the subsystem that visualizes Game Engine and AI Agent logs.

- Game State: Refers to the state of the game, which could include player attributes, player score, and game layout. All attributes and characteristics that change throughout a game simulation are stored here.
- Observation Space: Refers to the state of the game that is observable to a Game Agent. (Not all information is available to the Game Agents and can vary from agent to agent)
- Action Space: Refers to the set of moves an AI Agent can take in a particular Game Engine.
- Game Designer: The game designer is the person who is creating the game by coming up with the rules and writing a scenario for the game. The game designer will be the end user of the system to balance the game and improve the design of the game.

2 General System Description

This section provides general information about the system. It identifies the interfaces between the system and its environment, describes the user characteristics and lists the system constraints.

2.1 System Context

Figure 1 shows the system architecture and how the different agents and components interact with their main inputs and outputs. Exact information is abstracted away as the architecture should allow for "swappable" modules. Meaning if different Game Engines and different AI Agents can be interchanged and the functionality of the system will stay the same, with different outcomes and performance. In this system user input is not given and the AI Agents act as "users" that interact with the Game Engine giving commands and receiving data to make those decisions. There are two outputs from the Game Engine, first the new game state from a given move and then a log of the actions and state. This log is then read by a data visualizer that the real end user can view.




Figure 1: System Context

Inside the Game Engine, there will be a finite state machine that controls the main game loop. The finite state automata will be comprised of variables $\langle Q, \sum, \delta, q_0, F \rangle$

- Q The set of states, for many systems will be almost infinite as there are many different states the game can get to. Tic-Tac-Toe for example has only 23 unique winning states, while a game like chess has almost infinitely many,
- \bullet The alphabet is a list of all possible moves from one state to another.

- $\delta:(Q,\sum)\to Q$ The transition function that will take the current state and a possible move and output the new updated state.
- q_0 The starting state, for Tic-Tac-Toe would be an empty board, for Monopoly would be an empty board, and all players with their starting money.
- $F \subset Q$ The set of final states, this will be all the winning end game states for a player.

To simplify the set of states, only three will be used: start, finish and in progress. These will be denoted as q_0, q_1, q_2 respectively.

Non – winning valid move is played




Figure 2: Finite State Machine for Game Loop

The game will continue until a winning move is played and loops after each non-winning move, and all non-valid moves are rejected. Based on the game non-valid moves could result in the game being forfeited and lost, for this simple example, the Game Engine will reject the AI Agent's move and wait for a valid one.

User Responsibilities:

• The Users will observe the data visualizer of game simulations.

System Responsibilities:

- AI Agent: Receive input of a game state and output a decision.
- Available Actions: List all available moves to the AI Agents (Not an entity itself and is a part of the Game Engine)
- Game Engine: Receives inputs of decisions made by the AI Agents and updates its game state accordingly. Outputs a log file of the state and actions and the updated state back to the Game Agents.

2.2 User Characteristics

The intended users of the system will be AI research professors and game designers. These users will be observing the same output of the system, but analyzing it in a different way. The AI research professors will be using the output to analyze how different kinds of AI play a specific Game Engine. Meaning these users should have a deep understanding of AI,

Neural Networks, Machine Learning, and other AI topics. The game designers will be using the output to check for any "over-powered" strategies or game-breaking rules, these users should have a deep understanding of the game rules and mechanics (For the specific Game Engine in use). For all users of the system should have an understanding of statistics up to Stats 3Y03 to read and understand the data output.

2.3 System Constraints

The main constraint of the system is it must be developed in Python as AI libraries are easiest to implement and highly supported, the Game Engine and all other components must be implemented in Python for simple compatibility and integration.

2.4 Normal Operation & Undesired Event Handling

The system will have a main loop where all the operations will take place after the initialization until the win condition is reached, then the system will exit the loop and end the current game to provide data visualization. This initialization, main loop, and win condition can be modeled and think as a finite state machine. The process is explained in detail in Section 2.1 and visualized in Figure 2. In the event of an unexpected outcome, since the games to be simulated are extremely complex and each move changes the state of the game significantly, the simulation will start over instead of any error handling. This decision is justified by the fact that each simulation should take less than 5 minutes and no undesired event will have a harmful effect on the system. Thus, the cost of restarting is cheaper than any extensive undesired event handling since we would have to handle thousands of different scenarios if we were to decide to do undesired event handling rather than restarting that particular simulation.

3 Specific System Description

This section first presents the problem description, which gives a high-level view of the problem to be solved. This is followed by definitions and assumptions.

3.1 Problem Description

Designing a game is not an easy task. Especially, if the game is not a software that can be updated with correction and balancing patches later on but a physical board game where an incorrect game design can result in significant financial and reputation costs. In complex games, there are multiple players, dozens of variables and actions that can be taken, and millions of possible scenarios that each decision and variable can cause. A good game should be a balanced one and should not contain any definitive way to win the game every single time to make it more competitive and fun. However, it is also humanly not possible to go through each scenario and balance all the variables to make sure there are no loopholes in the game. Our project is trying to create a process where game designers can see most of the scenarios and balance the game before even publishing by utilizing the power of computers.

3.1.1 Goal Statements

Given the inputs, the goal statements are:

- Game Simulation: The system must simulate good quality board games containing rules and strategies with no serious consequences of mistakes.
- AI Learning: The system must implement artificial intelligent players to play thousands of games efficiently to detect patterns and learn winning strategies.
- Complex Problem Solving: The AI game players of the system must be able to analyze complex decision paths. Each different AI game player should have a different decision-making process.
- Quality Data: The system must output readable and correct data to track and save decisions, game states, and patterns throughout the simulations, as well as compare simulations against each other to find winning strategies.
- Data Visualization Analysis: The system must provide a variety of charts to visualize the data for users.
- Live User Interface: The system should have a live user interface where users can view the game states in real-time and the actions that AI game players are making.

3.2 Assumptions

- A1: The game to be analyzed will have a clear reward function that AI Agent can optimize its learning towards.
- A2: The game to be analyzed will have a clear win condition where the game ends. Rationale: It shouldn't be an open-ended, infinite game where the goal is to just increase your score but rather there should be a specific condition(s) that players are playing towards to win and end the game. Otherwise, AI agents won't be able to find the optimal actions to win the game but rather keep outputting sub-optimal decisions which will have little to no value for game design improvements.
- A3: The game to be analyzed will have concrete rules that a computer can represent and enforce.

Rationale: If the game cannot be simulated digitally, then AI Agent cannot be trained on the game and the system wouldn't be able to generate any meaningful data to aid the game design process.

4 Required Behaviour Overview

Our project consists of three main subsystems— Game Engine, AI Agent, and a data visualizer. These subsystems will work together to help game developers find imbalances in the game mechanics as well as find strategies that result in higher-than-expected winning percentages.

AI Agent – An AI model trained to play the game at hand acting as one of the players of the game to give insights into the possible paths to be taken. It would analyze all possible paths that a player can take at any point in the game. It would take as input the game state information (current state and available moves for the player) and output the next move that the player will take.

Game Engine – Abstract representation of the actual game as software. Contains all the game rules, observation space (state of the game), and action space (possible moves and commands that a player can choose from). It is also responsible for validating input from the AI Agent and outputting the game state with the moves available for the player.

Data Visualizer - Read the Game Engine and AI Agent log, and provide diverse graphic representations of data and information of moves to game state transitions. It will take the output from both the AI Agent and Game Engine to provide a way for the user to decipher imbalances in the game.

5 Functional Requirements

5.1 AI Agent's Functional Requirements

FR1	AI Agent should take the state as input.
Rationale	AI Agent will not store the state information. Rather it will get it from the Game Engine as an input.
Priority	High
FR2	AI Agent can be swapped with another AI Agent or a human player.
Rationale	The project aims to provide a tool for all game designers to test their games. Every game will require a different AI model trained specifically on that game. Thus, AI Agent needs to be modular enough to swap with other AI Agents.
Priority	Medium
FR3	AI Agent should be observable.
Rationale	The decisions of the AI Agent should be recorded so that it can be reviewed by the game designer to guide the design of the board game.
Priority	High
FR4	AI Agent should only output an action that is in the action space provided by the Game Engine.
Rationale	By only selecting the actions in the action space provided by the Game Engine, errors will be reduced and the game can actually progress.
Priority	High
FR5	AI Agent shall not see the state information that a human player cannot see.
Rationale	In multiplayer games, there will be information specific to the user (e.g. hidden cards) which the AI Agent should not see to have the same level of visibility as a human player would have.
Priority	High

FR6	AI Agent shall always respond with an action.
Rationale	Even if there is no optimal move, AI Agent should output an action so that the game can progress.
Priority	High

5.2 Game Engine Functional Requirements

FR7	The Game Engine must validate the input from the AI Agent	
Rationale	One of the core functionality of our system is the interaction between the AI Agent and the Game Engine. The Game Engine must be responsible for validating the input (state) from the AI engine, to ensure the action taken is a valid action.	
Priority	High	
FR8	The Game Engine must have an end/winning state to end the game.	
Rationale	A Game Engine's main role is to transition from the start state to the end state using the player's moves. The Game Engine must validate if a player(s) has reached the end state at any point of the gameplay.	
Priority	High	
FR9	The Game Engine must output the current state of the game, if not in the end state.	
Rationale	As the AI Agent will be using the Game Engine's output to perform different moves, it is essential for the Game Engine to provide the current state of the game in order for the AI to make appropriate decisions.	
Priority	High	
FR10	The Game Engine must follow all the playing and business rules of the game	
Rationale	It is necessary for the Game Engine to implement all the game rules such that it can validate moves and transition to the end state.	
Priority	High	

FR11	The Game Engine must supply a list of game moves that an AI Agent can take.
Rationale	It is necessary for the AI Agent to know what moves it can take. This move list does not ensure all moves are legal, only that they can be executed.
Priority	High

5.3 Game Data Visualization Analysis Requirement

FR12	The game system must generate a variety of data visualization charts
Rationale	The functionality of data visualization charts is to provide different graphic representations of data and information such as pie charts, bar graphs, and line charts to the users. It can efficiently show insights that may be missed in traditional reports and translates the data into a visual context that the users can understand easily.
Priority	High
FR13	The game system must read data from the Game Engine and AI Agent logs.
Rationale	The functionality of reading data from the Game Engine and AI Agent allows the system to proceed with deeper data analysis.
Priority	High
FR14	The game system must allow users to select different data points
Rationale	It is necessary for users to select a variety of data points such as win percentages of different first moves taken, game state changes in different decision-making processes, and actions having the highest win rates.
Priority	High
FR15	The game system compare data between different Game Engine and AI Agent logs.
Rationale	The functionality of data comparison evaluates and compares selected data points. For example, it can compare multiple AI players' winning paths and output the most optimal option.
Priority	Low

6 Nonfunctional Requirements

This section will describe the non-functional requirements which will be the qualities the system has and why they are important and how they can be verified.

6.1 Accuracy

NFR1:	The system, specifically the AI Agent should get more and more accurate after each simulation in choosing winning moves. After (Learning Benchmark) 1000 simulations an AI Agent should be able to win against its previous version.
Rationale	Since some of the AI Agent's will be using reinforcement learning they must get more intelligent at playing the game after a duration of simulations.

6.2 Usability

NFR2:	The system should be understood and navigable by the technical stakeholders (Dr .Mosser, Dr .Reinharz and Game Designers) after a (Understanding Benchmark) 30-minute explanation from the developers
Rationale	Usability of the system is not of high importance for the general public. The main users will be AI Research Professors and Game Designers, these two users will only be interacting with one part of the system, that is the data visualizer. Users familiar with data visualization software should have an easy time navigating and understanding the data.

6.3 Modularity

NFR3:	The system should be modular enough to where additional AI Agents can be implemented in two days of development time. A Game Engine can be implemented in 7 days of development time.
Rationale	Modularity is a very important quality the system needs to have. All the components need to be easily swappable, that is AI Agents should be able to be changed with other implementations of AI, with minimal effort and the structure should support different Game Engines being integrated.

6.4 Portability

NFR4:	The system should run on macOS Monterey and newer, Windows10 and newer, and on Aliance Canada's Computer Cluster. The simulation of the Game Engine with the AI Agent's must be able to run on each OS for them to be verified as working.
Rationale	These operating systems will be used by the developers and the Aliance Canada's Computer Cluster will be used in case additional computing power is needed.

6.5 Performance

NFR5:	The system should complete a single simulation in under (Speed Benchmark) 5 minutes.
Rationale	If a simulation is taking too long it will slow down the learning of the AI Agents as well as take up resources from the developers' computers.

6.6 Extensibility

NFR6:	The system should be able to be extended to include additional rules and mechanics in 2 days of development time.
Rationale	With more complex games and games still in development rules and mechanics will be added iteratively rather than all at once.

6.7 Look and Feel

NFR7:	The system specifically the Data Visualizer should clearly indicate data using different colours, lines and shapes. Should appeal to more than 75% (Look Feel Benchmark) of game designers
Rationale	With a clear and clean look the data will be easier to visualize.

6.8 Security

N/A

6.9 Cultural and Political

N/A

6.10 Legal

N/A

7 Phase-In Plan

All requirements with *High* priority must be implemented prior to the Revision 0 demonstration (February 6-17, 2023) as they represent the core functionality of our system.

All requirements with *Medium* priority will be implemented before the Revision 1 demonstration (March 20-31, 2023).

All requirements with *Low* priority will be added after all *High* and *Medium* requirements are implemented, time permitting.

8 Likelihood of Changes for Functional Requirements

Requirement	Likelihood of	Rationale	Ways to					
	Change		Change					
FR1	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR2	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR3	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR4	Very Unlikely	Must produce valid actions	N/A					
		within the space or the system						
		could loop indefinitely						
FR5	Very Unlikely	AI Agent should not have an	N/A					
		advantage over a human player						
FR6	Very Unlikely	Must produce an action or the	N/A					
		system cannot continue func-						
		tion						
FR7	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR8	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR9	Very Unlikely	Important to visualize the state	N/A					
		game for data analysis and al-						
		lows for a human player to un-						
		derstand the state of game						
FR10	Very Unlikely	Core implementation aspect	N/A					
FR11	Very Unlikely	Allows for the AI Agent to gen-	N/A					
		erate a valid action to prevent						
		invalid actions						
FR12	Unlikely	May produce only a specific vi-	Produce only spe-					
		sualization data charts	cific data visual-					
			ization charts					
FR13	Very Unlikely	Required for the stakeholder to	N/A					
		understand the data						
FR14	Unlikely	Subject to time constraints, is	Requirement is re-					
		not required in the minimal vi-	moved and func-					
		able product but highly valued	tion is not im-					
		for the stakeholder	plemented in final					
	7.17		product					
FR15	Likely	Subject to time constraints, is	Requirement is re-					
		not required in the minimal vi-	moved and func-					
		able product	tion is not im-					
			plemented in final					
			product					

9 Traceability Matrices and Graphs

The table below shows the traceability matrix in how functional requirements depend on each other as well as depend on non-functional requirements and assumptions.

ITEM	FR1	FR2	FR3	FR4	FR5	FR6	FR7	FR8	FR9	FR10	FR11	FR12	FR13	FR14	FR15	NFR1	NFR2	NFR3	NFR4	NFR5	NFR6	NFR7	A1	A2	A3
FR1									X							X		X		X	X				
FR2					X		X				X		X		X	X		X		X	X				
FR3													X		X										
FR4											X					X		X		X	X				X
FR5		X																							
FR6							X			X						X				X			X		
FR7		X				X																			X
FR8																X				X			X	X	
FR9	X																			X					
FR10						X																			X
FR11		X		X												X									
FR12																	X					X			
FR13		X	X																						
FR14																	X					X			
FR15		X	X														X					X			
NFR1	X	X		X		X		X			X														
NFR2			X									X		X	X										
NFR3	X	X		X																					
NFR4																									
NFR5		X		X		X		X	X																
NFR6	X	X		X																					
NFR7												X		X	X										
A1						X		X																	
A2								X	X																
A3				X			X			X															

10 Impact Analysis

This system will impact society as a stakeholder through the means of cultural factors as it is dealing with managing the quality of entertainment or specifically, the quality of board games. Completing this system will provide board game designers and game designers as a whole with a different and quicker means of balancing their turn-based games with AI. Being able to simulate many games in parallel to find unbalanced strategies in their games will allow them to produce these higher quality games for society to enjoy. In terms of health, safety, and other factors, this system will have a minimal impact.

11 Values of Constants

• Learning Benchmark: 1000 simulations

• Understanding Benchmark: 30 minutes

• Speed Benchmark: 5 minutes

• Look and Feel Benchmark: 75%

12 Reflection Appendix

12.1 Game mechanics and rules

Assigned Team Members: All

All team members will be required to thoroughly understand the game rules for all the games we will be working on. This knowledge and expertise will be acquired through playing the game, reading game rule books, and any online resources that explain the rules of the games.

Every team member will be using all the discussed methods to gain the expertise in this domain as it is easy for everyone to understand the game rules by playing the game and then reading the game rule books to further enhance their knowledge.

12.2 AI Agent

Assigned Team Members: Jeffrey and Jonah

Learning how to develop and implement an AI Agent will require the knowledge and learning of specific AI APIs and libraries. The skills acquired from learning how these APIs and libraries work will allow the team to create feasible enough agents to generate viable moves for the Game Engine to accept. The team members assigned for developing the AI Agents will begin with reinforcement learning libraries, Gym, PettingZoo, and SIMPLE, as a starting point to see if any viable AI Agents can be implemented with them and see if the team can further progress to other libraries. The team members were chosen for this topic for their previous experience in the machine learning course, COMPSCI 4ML3: Introduction to Machine Learning, and it should assist them in having to learn these libraries.

Approaches to learn these libraries will involve dealing with tutorials, working with the starter code, and reading through the documentation.

Jonah will use a combination of tutorials and documentation as he is able to understand better through tutorials and then he will be able to continue enforcing his knowledge further with the documentation given for the APIs and libraries.

Jeffrey will begin by working on the starter code and continue will changing parameters in the starter code to see what output results as a consequence. Additionally, the use of the documentation will help change the starter code successfully. The reason for choosing this approach is because he particularly enjoys implementing first and then debugging towards the desired result afterward.

12.3 Game Engine

Assigned Team Members: Michael and Hargun

Knowledge of Game Engine development and object-oriented programming is expected for this subsystem. The group members responsible for this subsystem will need to gain an understanding of how a Game Engine works and the level of abstraction it requires for it to be compatible with the other subsystems. The team members are also required to have experience with Python and have the thorough understanding principles of object-oriented programming. It is expected team members have a basic understanding of all libraries and frameworks that are used during the development of this subsystem.

Knowledge and expertise will be gained through online tutorials, online articles, documentations of libraries/frameworks that are used, and through our supervisors.

Hargun will be using online tutorials and documentation to gain expertise in this domain area as it he finds it easier to learn from practical examples and then apply it to the desired problem.

Michael will be using online articles, documentation and our supervisors' expertise to gain knowledge in this domain area. Michael likes to research thoroughly before trying to solve a problem which is why it is beneficial for him to use these methods.

12.4 Data Visualization Analysis

Assigned Team Members: *Tianzheng*

Data Visualization Analysis will require developers to understand users' expected data points, graphic representations, and what types of data need to compare. The group members are required to have sufficient knowledge of python data science libraries such as Matplotlib, Seaborn, NumPy, and pandas to create informative graphics and present accurate information to the users efficiently.

Tianzheng will be using online tutorials and documentation to gain expertise in data visualization and data analysis so as to find the most effective way to analyze the logs from the Game Engine and AI Agent.