

CallSharp: Automatic Input/Output Matching in .NET

Dmitri Nesteruk

@dnesteruk

The Problem

Given input and required output data, use “artificial intelligence” to determine the call, or chain of calls, that would lead to the output.

"abc" → "cba"

```
new string(input.Reverse().ToArray())
```

abc → ABC

“abc” is clearly a String

Strings are immutable (pure functions)

Look for any member function (property) that

- Is a member of String

- Has no arguments

- Returns a String

Very simple search

String-to-String Methods

Normalize

ToLower

ToLowerInvariant

ToUpper

ToUpperInvariant

ToString

Trim

TrimStart

TrimEnd

Functions that take no arguments

Functions where *all* arguments have default values

Functions that take a single argument of type params[]

abc \longrightarrow ABC

input.ToUpper()

input.ToUpperInvariant()

abc \longrightarrow 3

- A problem!
- What is “3”?
 - Definitely a string (*everything* is)
 - Parses as integral type...
 - Also a floating-point type
 - Even a TimeSpan!
- Let the user choose (assume Int32)
- Search for String member functions yielding Int32

abc \longrightarrow 3

input.Length

abc \longrightarrow false

- Output is either a bool or a String
 - Let's assume bool for the time being
- String has only one bool-returning function
 - `IsNormalized()` = true
- But String also has static functions, so...
- Search all static String-returning functions

abc → false

```
string.IsNullOrEmpty(input)
```

```
string.IsNullOrWhiteSpace(input)
```

$abc_.. \longrightarrow ABC$

Try all single static/non-static calls... no luck

Try a call chain

$\text{String} \xrightarrow{f()} \text{String} \xrightarrow{g()} \text{String}$

$T \rightarrow U \rightarrow T$

$T \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow T$ etc.

Also includes constructor calls

Complexity explosion

Beware of implicit conversions

abc... → ABC

```
string.Concat(input.Split()).ToUpper()  
string.Concat(input.Split()).ToUpperInvariant()  
input.ToUpper().Trim()  
input.ToUpper().TrimEnd()  
input.ToUpperInvariant().Trim()  
input.ToUpperInvariant().TrimEnd()  
input.Trim().ToUpper()  
input.Trim().ToUpperInvariant()  
input.TrimEnd().ToUpperInvariant()  
input.TrimEnd().ToUpper() // + lots more solutions
```

aaabbb \longrightarrow aaa

- We need to trim away 'b'
- 'b' is a substring of "aaabbb" (one of many)
- String can be decomposed into characters
 - These can be used on their own; or
 - Combinations lumped together to form strings
- Look for member/static functions which
 - Take a single object of some type that String can be decomposed into (string or char)
 - Take multiple parameters (ordinary or params[])

aaabbb → aaa

```
input.Trim('b')
```

```
input.TrimEnd('b')
```

cater \rightarrow cat

```
input.Trim('e','r')  
input.Trim('r','e')  
input.Trim('a','e','r')  
input.Trim('a','r','e')  
input.Trim('e','a','r')  
input.Trim('e','r','a')  
input.Trim('r','a','e')  
input.Trim('r','e','a')  
input.TrimEnd('e','r')  
input.TrimEnd('r','e')  
// 30+ more options
```

Commutativity
Sufficiency

aabbcc → aacc

```
input.Replace("aabb", "aa")  
input.Replace("bb", "")  
input.Replace("bbcc", "cc")
```

Search all possible
pairs of arguments
Middle option is
least redundant

a_b_c → abc

```
input.Replace(" ", string.Empty)  
input.Replace(" b ", "b")  
input.Replace("a b ", "ab")  
input.Replace(" b c", "bc")  
input.Replace("a b c", "abc")  
// at greater depth,  
string.Concat(input.Split())
```

Only the first option
is fundamentally
correct

Performance

Reflection

- `MethodInfo.Invoke()` is *very* expensive
- `Delegate.CreateDelegate()` only viable for static methods
- Most investigated types are core CLR ones

Complexity explosion (near-infinite in certain cases)

Summary

Exhaustive search for single non/static calls

Search for call chains

Argument combinations/permutations

Feature Improvements

Operator support (e.g., `op[]`)

Sequence handling (array/list/IEnumerable)

Regular expression inference (e.g., `Regex.Replace`)

Other programming languages 😊

Performance Improvements

Static reflection for known types (T4)

Better search (annealing etc.)

Emulated evaluation of complex searches (GPU?)

Distributed/cloud back-end

Summary

CallSharp is open-source

You can help!

<http://github.com/nesteruk/callsharp>

Skype: dmitri.nesteruk

@dnesteruk