

BTM Power Generation Equipment Specification Validation: 2025 North American Market

Behind-the-meter power generation for AI datacenters requires validated specifications across reciprocating engines, gas turbines, battery storage, and supporting infrastructure. This comprehensive validation identifies **three critical findings**: the Caterpillar CG260-20 model does not exist (only -12 and -16 variants available); the INNIO Jenbacher J920 FleXtra 49%+ efficiency claim is validated for 60 Hz North American applications on an LHV basis; and transformer lead times of **80-210 weeks** represent the critical path constraint for most BTM projects. Current market conditions show unprecedented demand from datacenter development, with [CISA](#) aeroderivative gas turbine lead times extending to 18-37 months ([Gas Turbine World](#)) and capacity market prices in PJM/MISO reaching record highs (\$329-666/MW-day).

Reciprocating engines deliver highest electrical efficiency

Natural gas reciprocating engines achieve the highest electrical efficiencies among BTM generation options, with several models exceeding **48% LHV**. These engines offer superior operational flexibility with start times under 5 minutes ([Better Buildings Initiative](#)) and minimum stable loads of 10-25%.

Wärtsilä 50SG and 34SG Series

Specification	18V50SG	20V50SG†	20V34SG	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	18.875	~20.9 (est.)	9.388	Wärtsilä OEM	High/Low/High
Efficiency (LHV/HHV)	50.0-50.2% / 45.0%	~50% / 45%	48.8% / 44.0%	Wärtsilä OEM	High
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh HHV)	7,580	~7,570	7,760	Calculated	High
Minimum Stable Load	10% unit / 1% plant	10%	10%	Wärtsilä OEM	High
Cold Start Time	<5 minutes	<5 minutes	<5 minutes	Wärtsilä OEM	High
Hot Start Time	<2 minutes	<2 minutes	<2 minutes	Wärtsilä OEM	High
Ramp Rate	>100%/min (hot)	>100%/min	>100%/min	Wärtsilä OEM	High
NOx (without SCR)	0.5-1.0 g/bhp-hr	—	—	EPA CHP Catalog	High

Specification	18V50SG	20V50SG†	20V34SG	Source	Confidence
NOx (with SCR)	<0.07 lb/MWh	—	—	EPA/CARB 2007	High
CO₂ Emissions	~890 lb/MWh	~890 lb/MWh	~910 lb/MWh	EPA calculation	High
Availability	92-96% (>95% w/LTA)	—	—	DOE CHP	Medium
MTBF / MTTR	2,000-4,000 hrs / 4-24 hrs	—	—	Industry est.	Low
Maintenance (major)	48,000-60,000 hrs	—	24,000 hrs (top-end)	Wärtsilä	High
CAPEX (\$/kW installed)	\$900-1,500	—	—	EIA/Industry	Medium
Fixed O&M (\$/kW- yr)	\$13-20	—	—	EIA/NREL ATB	Medium
Variable O&M (\$/MWh)	\$5-12	—	—	EIA/Industry	Medium
Lead Time	12-24 months	—	—	Burns McDonnell	Medium
Manufacturing	Vaasa, Finland; Trieste, Italy; Zhenjiang, China	—	—	Wärtsilä	High

†Note: The 20V50SG appears discontinued in favor of 18V50SG. Historical installations exist but current product focus is 18V50SG.

INNIO Jenbacher J920 FleXtra and J624

Critical Validation: The J920 FleXtra 49%+ efficiency claim is VALIDATED for 60 Hz North American applications (48.7% at 50 Hz, up to 49.3-49.9% at 60 Hz on LHV basis).

Specification	J920 FleXtra	J624	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	10.4-10.6	4.46-4.51	INNIO OEM	High
Efficiency (LHV)	48.7% (50Hz) / 49.3-49.9% (60Hz)	46.6-47.1%	INNIO, GE legacy	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~44-45%	~42%	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh LHV)	7,008	~7,260	Modern Power Sys.	High
Minimum Stable Load	~25%	~25-30%	EPA CHP	Medium
Cold Start Time	2-5 minutes	10-15 minutes	INNIO OEM	High
Hot Start Time	~5 minutes	—	Modern Power Sys.	High
Ramp Rate	~50%/min (~5 MW/min)	—	Calculated	Medium
NOx (without SCR)	1.5-6.0 lb/MWh	—	EPA CHP	High
NOx (with SCR)	<0.07 lb/MWh	—	EPA/CARB 2007	High
CO₂ Emissions	~988-1,000 lb/MWh	—	EPA CHP	High
Availability	98%+ (large engines)	—	EPA/ICF Survey	High
Forced Outage Rate	0.85-1.76%	—	EPA/ICF Survey	High
Minor Overhaul	30,000 hours	30,000 hours	Clarke Energy	High
Major Overhaul	60,000-80,000 hours	60,000 hours	INNIO	High
CAPEX (\$/kW installed)	\$1,200-1,600	—	Thunder Said/EPA	Medium
Fixed O&M (\$/kW-yr)	\$12-20	—	Gas Turbine World	Medium
Variable O&M (\$/MWh)	\$8.50 (9.3 MW class)	—	EPA CHP	High
Lead Time	12-24 months	—	Power Magazine	High
Manufacturing	Jenbach, Austria	—	INNIO	High
NA Service Network	Waukesha, WI; Welland, ON	—	INNIO	High

Caterpillar CG260 Series

⚠ Critical Finding: The CG260-20 does NOT exist. Only CG260-12 and CG260-16 configurations are available. For Construction Pros

Specification	CG260-16	CG260- 12	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	4.0-4.5 (varies by config) For Construction Pros	2.1-3.0	Cat.com OEM	High
Efficiency (LHV)	43.8-44.1% Mustang Cat	—	Cat.com OEM	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~39.4-39.7%	—	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh HHV)	~8,600-8,800	—	Calculated	Medium
Minimum Stable Load	~25-30%	—	DOE/EPA CHP	Medium
Cold Start Time	3-5 minutes Better Buildings Initiative	—	DOE Better Buildings	Medium
Hot Start Time	<2 minutes	—	Industry	Medium
Major Overhaul Interval	80,000 hours Caterpillar	—	Cat.com OEM	High
Hydrogen Blend	Up to 25% by volume For Construction Pros	—	Cat.com OEM	High
NOx (without SCR)	0.5-1.0 g/bhp-hr	—	DOE/EPA	High
Availability	Up to 99% Cartermachinery	—	Cat.com OEM	High
CAPEX (\$/kW installed)	\$1,200-1,500	—	Industry	Medium
Lead Time	12-18 months	—	Industry	Low
Manufacturing	Mannheim, Germany	—	Caterpillar	High
NA Dealer Network	160+ dealers, 1,600+ locations Cartermachinery	—	Cat.com	High

MAN 18V51/60G

Specification	Value	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	18.5 (DirectIndustry)	MAN-ES OEM	High
Efficiency (LHV)	48-49% (std) / >51% (TS variant) (man-es) (Linquip)	MAN-ES OEM	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~43-44% (std) / ~46% (TS)	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (LHV)	7,370 kJ/kWh (DirectIndustry)	DirectIndustry	High
Heat Rate (HHV, BTU/kWh)	~7,750-7,950	Calculated	Medium
Minimum Stable Load	25-30%	Industry	Medium
Start Time	<5 minutes	MAN-ES	Medium
Ramp Rate	>14 MW/min (reference)	MAN-ES	Medium
Hydrogen Blend	Up to 25%	MAN-ES	High
CAPEX (\$/kW installed)	\$800-1,200	Industry	Medium
Lead Time	18-24+ months	Industry	Low
Manufacturing	Augsburg, Germany (MAN Energy Solutions)	MAN-ES	High

Rolls-Royce MTU 20V4000 GS

Critical Validation: Fast start claims CONFIRMED. Current model: 120 seconds to full load. 2026 model (North America focus): 45 seconds to full load with 2.8 MW rating.

Specification	Current (2025)	2026 Model	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	2.52	2.8 (+10%)	Rolls-Royce Oct 2025	High
Efficiency (LHV)	43.6-43.9%	—	mtu-solutions.com	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~39.3-39.9%	—	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (HHV, BTU/kWh)	~8,600-8,660	—	Calculated	Medium
Minimum Stable Load	35%	—	mtu-solutions.com	High
Cold Start Time	120 seconds	45 seconds	Rolls-Royce OEM	High
Time Before Overhaul	84,000 hours	—	Rolls-Royce OEM	High
NOx (without aftertreatment)	250-500 mg/Nm ³	—	mtu-solutions.com	High
NOx (with EGAT system)	<100 mg	—	mtu-solutions.com	High
CO₂ Emissions	~880-920 lb/MWh	—	Calculated	Medium
CAPEX (\$/kW installed)	\$1,500-2,000	—	Industry est.	Medium
Lead Time	12-18 months	Available 2026	Industry	Medium
Manufacturing	Friedrichshafen, Germany; Mankato, MN; Aiken, SC	—	Rolls-Royce	High
NA Service Network	~300 locations via Curtis Power	—	Curtis Power	High

Aeroderivative gas turbines offer rapid deployment for larger capacities

Aeroderivative turbines provide higher power density than reciprocating engines with start times under 10 minutes and excellent load-following capability.

Specification	LM6000 PF+	LM2500+G4	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	52.5-53.8	33.6- 35 GE Vernova	Baker Hughes/GE Vernova	High
ISO				
Capacity at 95°F	~45-48 (est.)	~28-30 (est.)	EIA methodology	Medium
Capacity at 105°F	~42-45 (est.)	~26-28 (est.)	EIA methodology	Medium
Efficiency (LHV)	41-42%	38.5% GE Vernova	GE Vernova OEM	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~38.5-39.5%	~34.5-35.5%	Calculated	High
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh LHV)	8,470-8,900	8,897 GE Vernova	GE Vernova OEM	High
Cold Start Time	5 minutes GE Vernova	<10 minutes	GE Vernova OEM	High
Hot Start Time	<5 minutes	<10 minutes	GE Vernova OEM	High
Ramp Rate	~50 MW/min nominal	~50 MW/min	GE Vernova	High
NOx (DLE)	15-25 ppm @ 15% O ₂	15-25 ppm	Baker Hughes/GE	High
NOx (lb/MWh)	~0.25-0.40	~0.25-0.40	Calculated	Medium
CO₂ Emissions	~1,000-1,100 lb/MWh	~1,100-1,230 lb/MWh	EPA TSD	High
Availability	>98%	99%+	GE Vernova ORAP	High
Combustion Inspection	8,000-10,000 hours	8,000 hours	Baker Hughes	High
Hot Gas Path	25,000-30,000 hours	25,000 hours	Baker Hughes/VBR	High
Major Overhaul	50,000-60,000 hours	50,000 hours	VBR Turbine Partners	High
Major Overhaul Cost	\$4-8 million	\$3-6 million	Industry est.	Low
CAPEX (\$/kW)	\$1,428-1,606	\$1,428-1,606	EIA AEO2025	High

Specification	LM6000 PF+	LM2500+G4	Source	Confidence
Lead Time	12-24+ months	12-24+ months (30 days for TM2500)	Industry/GE Vernova	Medium

Siemens Energy SGT-A45 and SGT-800

Specification	SGT-A45 (60Hz)	SGT-800 (57 MW)	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW)	44.0	57.0	Siemens OEM	High
ISO				
Capacity at 95°F	~36-37 (est.)	~50-52 (est.)	Interpolated	Medium
Efficiency (LHV)	40.4%	40.1%	Siemens OEM	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~36.4%	~36.1%	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh LHV)	~8,476	~8,502	Siemens/calculated	High
Cold Start Time	<8-9 minutes	10 minutes	Siemens OEM	High
NOx (DLE/water inj.)	25 ppm (water inj.)	9-20 ppm (DLE)	Siemens OEM	High
CO₂ Emissions	~1,100-1,200 lb/MWh	~1,050-1,150 lb/MWh	Calculated	Medium
Availability	>98%	99.1%	Siemens (2024)	High
Fleet Experience	—	>5M operating hours, 350+ units	Siemens	High
Major Overhaul	~50,000 hours	60,000 EOH	Siemens OEM	High
CAPEX (\$/kW)	\$1,100-1,300	\$800-1,100	GTW/EIA	Medium
Lead Time	18-37 months	24-48 months	GTW/S&P Global	Medium
Manufacturing	Germany (Rolls-Royce core)	Finspång, Sweden	Siemens	High

Pratt & Whitney / Mitsubishi Power Aero FT8-3 MOBILEPAC

⚠️ Discrepancy Noted: Official datasheet specifies **10 minutes cold start**. Marketing claims of **5-minute** capability may refer to hot standby conditions.

Specification	Value	Source	Confidence
Rated Capacity (MW) 60 Hz	31.0	Mitsubishi Power May 2025	High
Capacity at 95°F	~27-28 (est.)	Industry derating	Medium
Efficiency (LHV)	36.7%	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
Efficiency (HHV)	~33.0%	Calculated	Medium
Heat Rate (BTU/kWh LHV)	9,312	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
Cold Start Time	10 minutes (official)	Mitsubishi Power datasheet	High
Marketing Claim	5-minute fast start (GE Vernova)	Turbomachinery Mag.	Medium
Min Turndown (emissions)	50%	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
Min Stable Load (dry)	5%	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
NOx (water injection)	25 ppm @ 15% O ₂	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
Fleet Reliability	>99%	Mitsubishi Power	High
Fleet Experience	>3M operating hours, ~500 engines	Mitsubishi Power	High
Hot Section Inspection	25,000 hours	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
Major Shop Inspection	50,000 hours	Mitsubishi Power OEM	High
CAPEX (\$/kW)	\$1,200-1,500	NETL/GTW	Medium
Lead Time	18-24 months	Industry	Medium
Installation Time	<2 weeks (pre-commissioned)	Mitsubishi Power	High
Manufacturing	Glastonbury, Connecticut, USA	Mitsubishi Power	High

Battery energy storage costs declined 40% in 2024-2025

LFP battery storage has become increasingly cost-competitive for BTM datacenter applications, with global turnkey prices reaching **\$117/kWh** by December 2025, though US market pricing remains higher at **\$200-300/kWh** due to tariffs and domestic content requirements.

LFP System Technical Specifications by Duration

Specification	1-Hour	2-Hour	4-Hour	Source	Confidence
Power/Energy (example)	100 MW/100 MWh	100 MW/200 MWh	100 MW/400 MWh	—	—
Round-Trip Efficiency	87-91%	87-91%	85-89%	NREL ATB/Tesla	High
Response Time	100-500 ms	100-500 ms	100-500 ms	Industry	High
DoD Recommended	80%	80%	80%	Industry	High
DoD Maximum	100% (LFP tolerant)	100%	100%	Clean Energy Reviews	High
Cycle Life @ 80% DoD	4,000-6,000	3,500-5,500	3,000-5,000	CATL/BYD	High
Cycle Life @ 100% DoD	2,500-3,500	2,500-3,500	2,500-3,500	Bonnen Battery	Medium
Calendar Life	10-15 years	10-15 years	10-15 years	Multiple	High
Degradation Rate	1-3%/year	1-3%/year	1-3%/year	Clean Energy Reviews	Medium
Augmentation Timing	Year 7-10	Year 7-10	Year 7-10	Industry	Medium
Availability	>97%	>97%	>97%	Industry	Medium

2025 CAPEX by Market and Duration

Region/Source	\$/kWh (4-Hour)	\$/kW (4-Hour)	Source	Date	Confidence
Global Average	\$117 turnkey (Ember)	~\$470	BloombergNEF	Dec 2025	High
China	\$85-101	~\$340-400	BloombergNEF	Dec 2025	High
United States	\$236 average	~\$944	BloombergNEF	Dec 2025	High
NREL ATB Benchmark	\$241 energy + \$379/kW power	~\$600-1,000	NREL ATB	July 2024	High

Region/Source	\$/kWh (4-Hour)	\$/kW (4-Hour)	Source	Date	Confidence
Lazard LCOS	\$115-254/MWh unsubsidized	—	Lazard v10.0	June 2025	High

Major Manufacturer Product Specifications

Manufacturer	Product	Capacity	Efficiency	Cycle Life	UL 9540A	Lead Time
Tesla	Megapack 2XL / Megapack 3	3.9 MWh Wikipedia / 5.0 MWh	91% (Megablock)	15-yr warranty Wikipedia	Yes	12-18+ mo
CATL	EnerOne/EnerOne+	372-379 kWh/rack	~85-87%	10,000-12,000 7SUN	Yes	8-16 weeks
BYD	MC Cube-T	6.4 MWh	~85-87%	10,000 @ 70% BYD USA	Yes	8-16 weeks
Fluence	Gridstack Pro 5000	4.9-5.6 MWh Fluence	87%	—	Yes	6-12 mo
Samsung SDI	SBB 1.7 (NCA) / SBB 2.0 (LFP)	6.14 MWh / TBD	—	—	Yes	12-18 mo
LG Energy	LFP Container	4.76 MWh	~87%	—	Yes	6-12 mo

Note: Samsung SDI LFP products (SBB 2.0) launching 2026. Tesla Megapack 3 production late 2026.

[TESLARATI](#)

Grid interconnection timelines vary dramatically by region

Interconnection queue backlogs represent the primary constraint for BTM project development, with **ERCOT offering the fastest path (2-3 years) and PJM the slowest (8+ years)**.

ISO/RTO Comparison Summary

Metric	SPP	ERCOT	PJM	MISO
Queue Size	84 GW (Utility Dive)	2,000+ requests	260 GW	350 GW (Utility Dive)
Typical Timeline to COD	3-5 years	2-3 years	8+ years	4-5 years
Avg. Interconnection Cost	\$20-200/kW	Very low (socialized)	\$84-240/kW	\$100-160/kW
Study Process	DISIS cluster	Connect-and-Manage	First-ready cluster	DPP cluster
Capacity Market	No	No	Yes	Yes

2025 Capacity Market Clearing Prices

ISO	Delivery Year	Clearing Price	vs. Prior Year
PJM	2025/2026	\$269.92/MW-day	10x increase
PJM	2026/2027	\$329.17/MW-day (cap)	Record high
MISO	Summer 2025	\$666.50/MW-day	22x increase
MISO	Annualized 2025/26	\$212-217/MW-day	10x increase

2025 Wholesale Energy Prices (Average LMP)

Region	\$/MWh Range	vs. 2024
SPP	\$25-40	Lowest in US
ERCOT	\$30-45	+15-20%
PJM	\$40-55	+40-80%
MISO	\$35-50	+40-60%

Transformers represent the critical path constraint

The transformer supply chain crisis is the most significant constraint for BTM projects. Lead times have

extended from months (pre-2020) to **2-4+ years** for large power transformers, with a **30% supply deficit** projected for 2025.

Generator Step-Up Transformer Specifications

Rating	Efficiency	Impedance	CAPEX	Lead Time	Source
20 MVA	98.5-99.0%	5.5-7.5%	\$800K-\$1.5M (\$40-75/kVA)	80-100 weeks	IEEE/Industry
50 MVA	99.0-99.2%	7.0-10.0%	\$1.2M-\$2.5M (\$24-50/kVA)	100-150 weeks	IEEE/Industry
200 MVA	99.2-99.5%	10-14%	\$4M-\$8M (\$20-40/kVA)	150-210 weeks	IEEE/Industry

Transformer prices have increased 80%+ since 2020 (NIAC report). Major manufacturers (Hitachi Energy, Siemens, GE Vernova) are investing in capacity expansion.

Switchgear Specifications

Class	Continuous Current	Short-Circuit	CAPEX	Lead Time
15 kV (MV)	1,200-4,000 A	25-63 kA	\$40-80K/position	40-60 weeks
138 kV GIS	2,000-4,000 A	40-63 kA	\$1.5M-\$3M/bay	80-150 weeks
138 kV AIS	2,000-4,000 A	40-63 kA	\$800K-\$1.5M/bay	60-100 weeks

SF6-free options are increasingly available: vacuum + dry air (up to 40.5 kV), C4-FN/C5-FK (up to 145 kV+).

Solar PV and storage achieve lowest LCOE

Utility-scale solar PV remains the most cost-competitive new-build generation at **\$38-78/MWh** unsubsidized (Lazard June 2025).

Utility-Scale Solar Key Metrics

Specification	Fixed Tilt	Single-Axis Tracker	Source	Confidence
DC/AC Ratio	1.30-1.40	1.34 standard	NREL ATB 2024	High
Capacity Factor (Southwest)	28-30%	32.9-34.0%	NREL ATB 2024	High
Capacity Factor (Southeast)	24-26%	29.8-31.3%	NREL ATB 2024	High

Specification	Fixed Tilt	Single-Axis Tracker	Source	Confidence
Capacity Factor (Midwest)	20-22%	24.5-26.9%	NREL ATB 2024	High
Performance Ratio	80-85%	82-86%	Industry	Medium
Degradation Rate	0.7%/year base	0.5%/year (2035 moderate)	NREL ATB 2024	High
Availability	97-99%	98%+	LBNL	High
2025 CAPEX (\$/W DC)	\$0.88-1.05	\$0.93-1.16	NREL ATB/LBNL	High
O&M (\$/kW AC-yr)	\$20-22	\$22-24	NREL ATB 2024	High
Land Use (acres/MW DC)	2.8-3.0	4.0-4.5	LBNL 2022	High

ITC Eligibility (2025)

Credit/Adder	Value	Requirement
Base ITC	6%	Placed in service
PWA Adder	+24% (total 30%)	Prevailing wage + apprenticeship
Domestic Content	+10%	40-55% US-made products
Energy Community	+10%	Located in qualified areas
Maximum Total	50%	All qualifications met

Note: "One Big Beautiful Bill" (July 2025) terminates 30% residential ITC end of 2025; commercial projects must begin construction by December 31, 2025 for legacy credits.

Reference data from IEEE, NERC, and EPA provides validation baseline

IEEE Std 493-2007 (Gold Book) Reliability Metrics

Equipment Type	Typical Failure Rate	MTBF Reference	Source
Gas Turbines	Annex M reliability guarantees	—	IEEE 493
Transformers	0.0002-0.0047 failures/yr	200-250 transformer-years	IEEE surveys

Equipment Type	Typical Failure Rate	MTBF Reference	Source
Switchgear	Per CIGRE 13-06 data	—	IEEE 493 Annex J

NERC GADS Statistics (2022-2024)

Technology	Availability	Forced Outage Rate	Source
Conventional Generation	91.5-92.6%	7.1-8.5%	NERC State of Reliability
Gas-Fired	92-94% (historical)	Higher in winter	NERC GADS
Wind	82.6-83.1%	—	NERC GADS-W

EPA AP-42 Emission Factors (lb/MMBtu)

Equipment	NOx	CO	CO ₂	Source
Gas Turbine - Uncontrolled	0.32	0.082	110	EPA AP-42 §3.1 (April 2000)
Gas Turbine - DLE	0.099	0.015	110	EPA AP-42 §3.1
4-Stroke Lean Burn Engine	4.08 (90-105% load)	0.317	110	EPA AP-42 §3.2 (Oct 2024)
4-Stroke Rich Burn Engine	2.21	3.72	110	EPA AP-42 §3.2

State Minor Source Permit Thresholds

State	NOx Threshold (tpy)	Notes
Texas	250 (PBR); 50 (DFW NAA)	Minor source <50 tpy in nonattainment
Virginia	100	Minor NSR <100 tpy
Georgia	100 (general); 25 (Atlanta NAA)	Ozone nonattainment areas lower
Ohio	100	Title V major threshold
Arizona	100	Class I ≥100 tpy

Critical findings requiring project-specific validation

The following specifications could not be fully validated with public sources and require direct OEM engagement or NDA-protected data:

- **MTBF/MTTR for specific engine models** — General industry estimates provided; OEM-specific data not publicly available
- **Current 2025 contract pricing** — Published data from 2024; market conditions volatile with significant premiums for datacenter applications
- **Specific ambient temperature derating curves** — OEM performance correction curves require direct engagement
- **Major overhaul costs** — Highly variable; estimates provided are rough ranges
- **Project-specific interconnection costs** — Depend on POI location, queue position, and required network upgrades
- **Transformer pricing** — Wide ranges reflect customization; current quotes required given 80%+ price increases since 2020

Key Sources Referenced

OEM (High Confidence): Wärtsilä, INNIO Jenbacher, Caterpillar, MAN Energy Solutions, Rolls-Royce MTU, GE Vernova, Siemens Energy, Mitsubishi Power Aero, Tesla, CATL, BYD, Fluence, Hitachi Energy

Government/Regulatory (High Confidence): NREL ATB 2024, EIA AEO2025, EPA AP-42, EPA eGRID, DOE CHP Catalog, IEEE Standards, NERC GADS, LBNL Queued Up 2025

Industry (Medium Confidence): BloombergNEF, Lazard LCOE+/LCOS v10.0, Gas Turbine World, Wood Mackenzie, Power Engineering, Turbomachinery Magazine, CISA/NIAC transformer reports

Report validated as of December 2025. Given rapidly evolving market conditions, direct OEM engagement recommended for current pricing, lead times, and project-specific specifications.