

	Excellent (100%)	Good (75%)	Average (50%)	Poor (25%)	Nonexistent (0%)
Introduction & Project Overview (2 points)	Discuss the importance of and motivation behind the project, the organization of the report, and are detailed enough for someone not familiar with RISC-V.	Discuss the importance of and motivation behind the project, the organization of the report, but one of these things is less clear or not well articulated.	Are completely missing either motivation of the project or organization of the report.	Give an introduction that lacks the important points discussed ←.	Either the introduction or project overview do not exist and there is no initial overview for the project in the paper.
Milestones (6 points)	Discuss every checkpoint including a detailed description of the design and how they tested it. Include charts and diagrams when necessary.	Discuss every checkpoint including a general description of the design and how they tested it. Include few or no diagrams when necessary.	Discuss all checkpoints at a high level, no testing, no diagrams. Implementation is not clear to the reader.	Discuss only some checkpoints at a high level.	The report does not discuss any of the checkpoints in the project.
Advanced Design Features (6 points)	Discuss and describe all advanced designs, describe design trade-offs, provide performance analysis, note speedup of each feature, comment on workloads the feature would help & those it may handle poorly.	Discuss all advanced designs in detail, but may be missing one of the desired discussions from ←.	Mention all advanced designs, discuss some combination of trade offs, performance analysis, and workloads (one or more may be lacking).	Mention all advanced designs at a high level without much analysis.	The report does not discuss any of the advanced design features the students implemented.
Conclusion (2 points)	Succinctly give a summary of design objectives and achievements. Summarize any novel aspects of the work. Has well written final line to report	Summarizes most if not all of the design objectives and achievements, but lacks one of these elements or a well written final line.	Give a short summary that lacks one of the elements from ←.	Gives an extremely brief summary of the project that lacks any of the elements from ←	There is no conclusion in the report that summarizes what the student's design objectives or achievements..
Writing & Style (4 points)	Professional report adhering to proper grammar, punctuation, paragraphs, uses figures when necessary, etc.	Some grammar mistakes, but maintain overall good formatting, professional language, and use figures when necessary.	Some grammar and formatting mistakes making the report more confusing but still understandable. Overall, needing improvement.	Poor formatting, many grammar mistakes which make the report difficult to understand, and lacks overall structure.	The report contains no words.

