

I/O in Haskell

Prof. Susan Older

13 November 2019

Our Haskell Experience to Date: Pure Functions

We've seen **pure functions**:

- Behavior of a function depends *only* on its arguments
For example: `foo "abc"` will always return the same result.
- No observable side effects, such as using I/O devices, mutation to state, etc.

Benefit: Supports assurance: it's much easier to reason mathematically about behavior of programs.

Drawback: Limits functionality: some computations may require side effects.

The Challenge: How to accommodate computational side effects while preserving benefits of pure functions?

I/O in Haskell

IO types:

For each Haskell type `t`, there is a type `IO t` whose values are:

I/O actions (or programs) that yield a result of type `t`.

When an I/O action is executed, it does two things:

- 1 It (possibly) performs some input/output or other side effects.
- 2 It produces/yields a result.

- `IO Bool`: action that may do some I/O, and then yields a `Bool` value
- `IO String`: action that may do some I/O, then yields a `String` value
- `IO ()`: action that may do some I/O, and then yields a `()` value^a

^a `()` is the type with the single value `()` (i.e., a 0-ary tuple).

Some Simple I/O Actions for Output

- `putChar :: Char -> IO ()`
`putChar ch` is an I/O action that, when executed, writes `ch` to standard output
- `putStr :: String -> IO ()`
`putStr cs` is an I/O action that, when executed, writes `cs` to standard output
- `putStrLn :: String -> IO ()`
`putStrLn cs` is an I/O action that, when executed, writes `cs` (followed by newline) to standard output
- `print :: Show a => a -> IO ()`
`print x` is an I/O action that, when executed, displays `x` to standard output

Some More Simple I/O Actions

- `getChar :: IO Char`

`getChar` is an I/O action that, when executed, reads a character from standard input

- `getLine :: IO String`

`getLine` is an I/O action that, when executed, reads a line (of characters) from standard input

- `return :: a -> IO a`

`return x` is an I/O action that, when executed, performs no actual I/O but yields result `x`

Disclaimer:

Ghci will tell you that `return` has type `Monad m => a -> m a`.

I/O is an instance of a more general notion called a **monad**, but you don't need to know about monads to do I/O.

How to Sequence Actions

The bind operator `>>=`:

`(>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b`

Informally, executing `act >>= f` proceeds as follows:

- 1 Perform the action `act`, which yields a result (say, `r`)
- 2 Apply the function `f` to `r`, which returns an action (say, `act2`)
- 3 Perform the action `act2`

What happens when `doubleLine` is executed?

```
doubleLine :: IO ()
doubleLine = getLine >>= (\w -> putStrLn (w++w))
```

More Examples of Sequencing

- `getChar >>= putChar :: IO ()`
- `getLine >>= (print.length) :: IO ()`
- `getLine >>= (putStrLn.reverse) :: IO ()`
- `getLine >>= (return.reverse) :: IO String`

Recall: `.` is function composition:

`(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c`
`g . f = \x -> g (f x)`

- `print.length :: [a] -> IO ()`
- `putStrLn.reverse :: [Char] -> IO ()`
- `return.reverse :: [a] -> IO [a]`

Chaining: A Special Case of Sequencing

Suppose we define the following:

```
echo :: IO ()
echo = getChar >>= putChar
```

What is the type of `echo >>= echo`? **Type Error**

A fix:

`echo >>= (\ _ -> echo)` has type `IO ()`

Hence, Haskell's chain operator `>>` is syntactic sugar:

`(>>) :: IO a -> IO b -> IO b`
`act1 >> act2 = act1 >>= (\ _ -> act2)`

`echo >> echo` has type `IO ()`.

Chaining and `do` Notation

```
putNTimes :: Int -> String -> IO ()
putNTimes n str
  | n <= 1    = putStrLn str
  | otherwise = putStrLn str >> putStrLn "*****"
                                     >> putNTimes (n-1) str
```

An equivalent formulation, using `do` notation:

```
putNTimes :: Int -> String -> IO ()
putNTimes n str
  | n <= 1    = putStrLn str
  | otherwise = do putStrLn str
                  putStrLn "*****"
                  putNTimes (n-1) str
```

Let's Write a Few Programs

(This slide intentionally left blank.)

One More Example

```
getAndPut :: IO ()
getAndPut = do line <- getLine
              putStrLn line
```

What's going on here?

- 1 When `getLine` is executed, it yields a `String` value.
- 2 That value gets bound to (a newly scoped) variable `line`, which `putStrLn` can use.

An equivalent formulation, using `>>=` instead of `do`:

```
getAndPut :: IO ()
getAndPut = getLine >>= (\ line -> putStrLn line)
```

The `main` Program

The `main` program is an I/O action:

```
main :: IO ()
main = do putStr "Enter a string: "
          resp <- getLine
          putStrLn ("Your string was: " ++ resp)
          putStrLn "\n\nGoodbye!"
```

Two options for executing `main` (in file `io.hs`) outside of `Ghci`:

- 1 You can use `runhaskell` or `runghc`:

```
runhaskell io
```

- 2 Create an executable file and then run it:

```
ghc --make io
./io
```

Our Own Word-Count Program

Let's write a file `ourWC.hs`:

```
wordCount :: String -> (Int, Int, Int)
wordCount inp = (l,w,c)
  where
    l = length (lines inp)
    w = length (words inp)
    c = length inp

main :: IO ()
main = do inp <- getContents
         print (wordCount inp)
```

To try it out:

```
runhaskell ourWC < sampleFile
```

Some Built-In Control Structures

`sequence`

```
sequence :: [IO a] -> IO [a]
sequence_ :: [IO a] -> IO ()
```

Compare the following:

```
sequence (map print [1..10])
sequence_ (map print [1..10])

sequence (replicate 5 getChar)
sequence_ (replicate 5 getChar)
```

Putting it All Together

Let's write a program that does the following:

- 1 Prompts the user to enter an integer (say, `n`)
- 2 Reads `n` floating-point numbers
- 3 Yields the sum of those float-point numbers

The power of `sequence`:

```
sumN :: IO Float
sumN = do putStrLn "How many numbers do you want to sum? "
         n <- getInteger
         vals <- sequence [ getFloat | x <- [1..n] ]
         return (sum vals)
```