```
Homework #1 By Dane E. Parchment Jr. | 4925790 | Teammate: Luis Averhoff
// First three problems by Luis Averhoff the remaining by Dane Parchment
// 1.) Write an uncurried F# function cartesian (xs, ys) that takes as input two
lists xs and ys and returns a list of pairs that represents the Cartesian product
of xs and ys. // (The pairs in the Cartesian product may appear in any order.).
let rec cartesian = function
(xs, []) -> []
 ([], ys) -> []
 (x::xs, ys) -> (List.map(fun y -> x,y) ys) @ (cartesian(xs,ys))
// WRITEUP
// This problem was a relatively straightforward one.
// First we needed to figure what a cartesian product was, and once that was done
we needed to figure out how to do it recursively.
// A cartesian product is basically just the set that contains all the possible
ordered pairs for two sets.
// In order to implement this we decided to use a pattern matching function
approach rather than going for an accumulator, which in
// hindsight may have been easier to implement.
// We start by determining the three main cases that we will have:
// Case1: Any of the lists are empty, in which case we return an empty set, as
that is the cartesian product of a set to an empty one.
       First break out the first item of one of the lists, in our case the
leftmost list xs
       Next we iterate through the rightmost list, in our case ys, using the map
function
with the x that we removed earlier: (x, y)
       We then append this list of tuples into the new list created by
performing a recursive call on the now declining lists.
// BUGS
// None that I could think of
//2.) An F# list can be thought of as representing a set, where the order of the
elements in the list is irrelevant. Write an F# function powerset such that
powerset set //returns the set of all subsets of set.
let rec powerset = function
| []] <- []]
 (x::xs) -> let xss = powerset xs
List.map(fun xs -> x::xs) xss @ xss
// WRITEUP
```

```
// This second problem was intrisicely much easier to solve than the first one.
Like the first problem we needed to figure out
// A powerset is basically the set of all ordered pairs within a single set,
including the empty set.
// To implement something like that we first had to figure out the cases:
      Case 1: The set is empty, in which case we return a new list that contains
an empty list within it, much like the P(empty): {empty}
     Case 2: The set has elements in it, in which case:
          We first remove the head of the list.
         What we want to do is append the element to do is loop through the
original list and create new lists out of the head element, and
          the elements already found in the list. However, a problem arises
because if we are removing items from the list via ::, then the
         list will shrink as we try to create new lists out of it, and we would
eventually run out of elements before we could finish the
          powerset.
          So we came up with a trick to split the list into two parts, and
generate the powerset of both halfs, then append them together.
          This is accomplished by creating a new list xss that is equal to the
powerset of the remaining xs that is left over after removing
          the head in each iteration. At the end we just append the two lists
// I am not to sure how fast this solution is, you mentioned how tail recursion
could be faster, but I don't think we are using tail recursion
// here. So this may be slow for larger lists
//3.) The transpose of a matrix M is the matrix obtained by reflecting Mabout its
//Write an efficient F# function to compute the transpose of an m-by-nmatrix:
let rec transpose matrix = match matrix with
 row::rows -> // When the row is not empty
  match row with
   col::cols-> // When column is not empty
      let first = List.map List.head matrix // Get all the elements from all rows
in the list of lists
      let rest = transpose(list.map List.tail matrix) // Transpose the remaining
elements.
     first::rest
    _ -> [] // column empty
  _ -> [] // row empty
 // WRITEUP
```

```
// While not the most difficult this problem was probably one of the longest.
 / I will keep this one brief:
// First we create a recursive function that accepts a matrix. We then match this
matrix with the rows and columns that can be found in it
// and as long as a row and column exists we get all the elements within the row,
and then transpose the remaining items.
// Then we append the rows to the columns, which will give us the transpose when
done recursively.
// Finally we return empty lists if the columns or rows are empty
//4. ) In this problem and the next, I ask you to analyze code, as discussed in
the last section of the Checklist. Suppose we wish to define // an F# function to
sort a list of integers into non-decreasing order. For example, we would want the
following behavior:
  let rec sort = function
 1 []
             -> []
  | [x]
             -> [x]
  | x1::x2::xs -> if x1 <= x2 then x1 :: sort (x2::xs)
                             else x2 :: sort (x1::xs)
// Answer and Writeup
// Let's evaluate the list by looking at all of the checklist items
// - The application seems to be returning the correct inputs at the specified
base cases. The only one that is debatable is
       whether or not the empty case should return an empty list, or fail. I do
believe the that the sort of an empty list is an empty
       list though, so I guess it would depend on the point of your program. In
some cases the empty case should fail, especially if
       the list is going to be used later in the program if it is assummed to
have been sorted correctly.
// 2. Make sure that each non-base case returns the correct answer, assuming that
each of its recursive calls returns the correct answer.
// - I believe the application will pass in this case as we check whether or
not x1 is less than or equal to x2 in order to determine
       who gets :: first. If it is greater than then x2 gets added first,
otherwise x1 will be the first element in the new list.
// 3. Make sure that each recursive call is on a smaller input.
    - This case will also pass because the list is constantly having an element
removed from it before it is sorted recursively again.
      even though one of the elements is readded to the list during the sort,
an element is still removed, so the list has n - 1 element
        per iteration.
// Conclusion: This function follows all the cases for checklist, so it passes!
//5. ) Here is an attempt to write mergesortin F#:
```

```
let rec merge = function
  | ([], ys) -> ys
  (xs, []) -> xs
  (x::xs, y::ys) \rightarrow if x < y then x :: merge (xs, y::ys)
                            else y :: merge (x::xs, ys)
 let rec split = function
  | [] -> ([], [])
  | [a] -> ([a], [])
  | a::b::cs -> let (M,N) = split cs
               (a::M, b::N)
 // let rec mergesort = function
 // merge (mergesort M, mergesort N)
// Answer and Writeup
// We assume that split and merge work as expected, however, we must check and
see if the mergesort follows the recursion checklist!
// 1. Make sure that each base case returns the correct answer.
// - For the two cases provided the mergesort is definitely returning the
correct answers. However, their seems to be a case missing, I am
      uncertain if I am supposed to take of points here for that, so I will
consider the program functionally incomplete, and as such does
// not pass the first checklist item.
// 2. Make sure that each non-base case returns the correct answer, assuming that
each of its recursive calls returns the correct answer.
// - The problem here is type inference, since we are missing a base case, our
mergesort returns a type of: a' list -> b' list, when it
// should be returning: a' list -> a' list, since it is the same list just
being sorted. So I will also consider this part of the
      checklist a failure as well.
// 3. Make sure that each recursive call is on a smaller input.
working with smaller inputs than the original size of the
// list provided, so this part passes.
 let rec mergesort = function
 | [] -> []
  [a] -> [a] //Fixed here
 L -> let (M, N) = split L
        merge (mergesort M, mergesort N)
```

```
// By providing the base case fix, above we keep the list as returning a' list ->
a' list, and as such fix the 2nd item in the checklist.
// Likewise this base case returns the proper answer, so the first checklist item
also passes, meaning that mergesort now passes the
// the checklist!
opposite conversion.
let curry f = (fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow f(x,y))
let uncurry f = (fun (x,y) \rightarrow f x y)
// WRITEUP
// This was probably the easiest part of the assignment (assumming we did this
correctly). Here we needed to create a function that curries
// and a function that uncurries. A curried function basically takes its input
which is a function that accepts a tuple of parameters. Into
// a function that accepts the same parameters as a chain of functions that each
accept one argument each! This is reflected in the type
// An uncurried function basically the complete opposite of a curried function,
it take the input that is a chain of functions and transforms
// them into a single function that accepts the same parameters as a tuple. This
is also reflected by its type:
            UNCURRY TYPE: a:('a -> 'b -> 'c) -> x:'a * y:'b -> 'c
// To program these I just made functions that took a function as a parameter and
then returned the same type reflected above, respectively of
// course.
// BUGS
```