

## Power in modular math

mod 4

$$0 \equiv 4 \equiv 8$$

$$1 \equiv 5 \equiv 9$$

$$2 \equiv 6 \equiv 10$$

$$3 \equiv 7 \equiv 11$$

$$-1 \equiv 4-1 = 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$-2 \equiv 2$$

$$-3 \equiv 1$$

$$3^0 = 1$$

$$3^2 = 3^2 \cdot 3^0 = 9 \equiv 1$$

$$3^1 = 3$$

$$3^2 = 9 \equiv 1$$

$$3^3 \equiv 3 \cdot 1 = 3$$

In this case we have a cycle in it, but it not necessarily exists for any different number

Some crypto algorithms take advantage of the randomness on the modular number

The  $O$  of finding these patterns is  $O(N-1)$  which  $N$  is the number we use in mod operation

Order  $\rightarrow$  the first power  $r$  that ends a cycle (might not exist) ( $x^r = 1 \pmod{N}$ )

Order finding problem  $\rightarrow$  take  $N$  and  $x$ , return the order  
 $\hookrightarrow$  classically  $O(N)$ , quantum  $O(\log N)$  using QFT

## Shor's algorithm

- ↳ takes  $N = pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are primes
- ↳ returns  $p$  and  $q$

- 1: choose an  $x$  value that  $2 \leq x \leq N$
- 2: find the order  $n$ . if it's odd, go back to the 1st step
- 3: check if  $x^{(n/2)} + 1 = 0$ . if it's true go back to the 1st, else you have  $(x^{n/2} - 1)(x^{n/2} + 1) = kN$

↳ this statement can form

$$b = x^{n/2}$$

$$b^2 = x^n = 1 \pmod{N}$$

$$b^2 = 1 \pmod{N}$$

$$b^2 - 1 = 0 \pmod{N}$$

$$(b+1)(b-1) = 0 \pmod{N}$$

$$(x^{n/2} + 1)(x^{n/2} - 1) = 0 \pmod{N}$$

this can be true or we must have either

$$(x^{n/2} + 1) = 0 \quad \text{or}$$
$$(x^{n/2} - 1) = 0 \quad \text{or}$$
$$(x^{n/2} + 1)(x^{n/2} - 1) = kN \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$$

the last we want

but  $(x^{n/2} - 1) = 0 \pmod{N}$  can't be true, because:

$$n/2 < n \quad \text{and} \quad x^n = 1$$

so  $x^{n/2} \neq 1$ , so  $(x^{n/2} - 1) \neq 0$

however for  $(x^{n/2} + 1) = 0 \pmod{N}$  we have 25% of chance to in fact have it

this way, we can say that  
 $N = pq \rightarrow KN = Kpq$

we could also breakdown  $K$  as the following  
 $K = k_1 k_2$   
 $KN = (k_1 p)(k_2 q)$

$$(x^{n_1} - 1)(x^{n_2} + 1) = (k_1 p)(k_2 q)$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n_1} - 1 &= k_1 p \\ x^{n_2} + 1 &= k_2 q \end{aligned}$$

after that, compute the  $\text{GCD}(x^{n_1} - 1, N)$   
 $= \text{GCD}(k_1 p, pq)$   
 $= p$

and  $\text{GCD}(x^{n_2} + 1, N)$   
 $= \text{GCD}(k_2 q, pq)$   
 $= q$

→ There's some improvement for this algorithm, already,  
this one is the first version

→ Quantum part (QPE)

$L = \lceil \log_2 N \rceil$  qubits are going to be used for  $U_n |\psi\rangle$

the basis vectors are in:  $\{0, \dots, N-1\}$

define the operator as:

$$U_{x|y} = |xy \bmod N\rangle \rightarrow y \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$$

$$U_x|y\rangle = |y\rangle \rightarrow y \in \{N, \dots, 2^L-1\}$$

$U_x$  has  $n$  eigenvectors

$$S = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$$

$$|U_S\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-i \frac{2\pi k S}{n}} |x^k \bmod N\rangle$$

$$U_x |U_S\rangle = e^{i \frac{2\pi S}{n}} |U_S\rangle \quad \xrightarrow{\quad} \quad \phi_S = S/n$$

↳ can't construct it directly since we don't know  $n$

what we can do is computing:

$$|S\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{S=0}^{n-1} |U_S\rangle = |1 \bmod N\rangle_{L_{\text{qubits}}} = |00 \dots 01\rangle_L$$

$|0\rangle \xrightarrow{t} \xrightarrow{L} \boxed{\text{QPE}} \rightarrow |x\rangle$ 
 ↳ before the measurement we'll have all the eigenvectors as:
 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{S=0}^{n-1} |\hat{\phi}_S\rangle_t |U_S\rangle_L$$

and after measuring all the first digits,  
we have  $\hat{\phi}_S$

$\hat{\phi}_S$  is an approximation of  $\phi_S$

$$\hat{\phi}_S \approx \frac{S}{n}$$

as  $\frac{S}{n}$  is a rational number, we can rewrite this  
as a continued fraction

$$\text{ex: } \frac{9}{65} = 0 + \frac{1}{25/4} = 0 + \frac{1}{2 + 7/4} = 0 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{4/7}}$$

$$= 0 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + 2/7}} = \boxed{0} + \frac{1}{\boxed{2} + \frac{1}{\boxed{1} + \frac{1}{\boxed{3} + \frac{1}{\boxed{2}}}}$$

this get  $\rightarrow [0, 2, 1, 3, 2]$

this form can be used to find close by rational  
numbers, just dropping the last digit

$$0 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}}} \rightarrow \frac{4}{15} \approx \frac{9}{65}$$

← converges of the continued  
fraction

and continue that way

is for that, we can use  $\hat{\phi}_S$  and find its  
continued fraction, then compute each convergent fraction (check  
and finally check  $x = 1$  mod  $N$  for each  $n$  to  $\frac{S}{n}$   
found in the convergents