

# From Educational Programming to Professional Programming

Group DPT906E15 - Room X.X.XX

4 February - 1 June

_____	_____
Date	Jais Morten Brohus Christiansen
_____	_____
Date	Henrik Vinther Geertsen
_____	_____
Date	Svetomir Kurtev
_____	_____
Date	Tommy Aagaard Christensen





AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT REPORT

**Department of Computer Science  
Computer Science**

Selma Lagerlöfs Vej 300

Telephone 99 40 99 40

Telefax 99 40 97 98

<http://cs.aau.dk>

**Title:**

Whist on Mobile Devices

**Project period:**

4 February - 1 June

**Project group:**

DPT906E15

**Participants:**

Jais Morten Brohus Christiansen

Henrik Vinther Geertsen

Svetomir Kurtev

Tommy Aagaard Christensen

**Abstract:**



**Supervisor:**

Bent Thomsen

**Pages:** 11

**Appendices:** 0

**Copies:** 2

**Finished:** 1 June 2015

*The content of this report is publicly available, publication with source reference is only allowed with authors' permission.*





# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>Problem Analysis</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Error-Prone Areas for Novices</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Syntax and Semantics . . . . .	3
2.2	Programming Paradigms . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Programming Languages and Tools</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Visual-based Programming Languages . . . . .	5
3.1.1	Visual Programming . . . . .	5
3.1.2	Scratch . . . . .	6
3.2	Text Based Programming Languages . . . . .	6
3.2.1	Text Based Educational Programming Languages . . . . .	6
3.2.2	General Purpose Programming Languages . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Teaching Programming</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>10</b>



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

TODO: This was initially a chapter in the report, but as it came to be somewhat a presentation of the motivation for the project, we moved it to the introduction. It is not finished as it is, but the general idea is there. More to come! Traditionally programming have been seen as an engineering discipline. As a result programming education has been targeted towards college or university students and often had a focus on teaching the student to use professional programming languages like Java. The goal here is to create competent software engineers with the ability to work with powerful tools on complicated software.

However in recent years programming is starting to be seen as an essential skill for living in our modern digital society. This has lead to many countries making programming a mandatory subject in primary schools. In this setting the education is more focused on giving children an idea of what it is like to work on software and to teach more generic skills like problem solving and collaborative communication. This leads to this education usually being given on visual educational languages like Scratch for their intuitiveness and simplicity.

Of course this means that the two educations differ in what they teach. First the difference in programming languages between being taught an educational language and a professional language. While the educational language has the advantage of being intuitive, it does not have the expressiveness and robustness for large projects that professional languages have. Second the computer science education needs to cover a lot of topics to give a sufficient understanding to work professionally with software, where the kids education leaves out a lot of the topics, though which ones may vary between teachers. Using the list of topics from "What do Teachers Teach in Introductory Programming?"[bottom link] as a reference, we can for example say that topics like algorithm design and debugging are likely to be taught in the kids education. Meanwhile topics like algorithm efficiency, pointers and object oriented programming are usually left out.

Kids now being taught programming in primary school is great for the general digital literacy of people, but the education can not teach everything necessary to do professional programming.



## **Part I**

# **Problem Analysis**

## Chapter 2

# Error-Prone Areas for Novices

For a person new to programming, different constructs and concepts can be so confusing that this person might give up without much effort. This area is of great interest to many studies, as it can help future generations in learning programming with ease. But to find solutions, the difficulties that can arise when learning programming and the concepts that follow must be known.

This chapter focuses on the different aspects of learning programming, which can be difficult to grasp for novices. The different aspects and concepts are found by previous studies as well as subjective speculation.

### 2.1 Syntax and Semantics

As known, a programming language is based on the syntactical rules and the semantic relations. These concepts can be hard to grasp at first, and can be even harder to understand in relation and when used in a practical solution.

One of the most error prone areas for novice programmers is the basic syntax [1]. This consists of brackets, semicolons, commas, and other such symbols, symbolizing control for the program. This problem might relate to an even greater problem in understanding the strict control that is needed when writing code in general. When writing code, even the smallest mistake or forgotten symbol leads to a compiler error. This error margin isn't seen very often in other lines of work, and might discourage the novices from keep trying.

Understanding what a line of code does in itself might be hard for some new programmers. Understanding the connection of the whole program, and what the single line does for the result is even harder. The semantics can lead to confusion, as the program grows bigger. Some times, the novice programmer is even discouraged from even trying, as the connection between the code and what it results in is not clear.

## 2.2 Programming Paradigms

Different paradigms each have their different difficulties. Many programmers first touch programming through an imperative or procedural approach. Others start out with an object oriented programming language.

Procedural programming has its values in its very straight forward and easily trackable nature. On the other hand, it is hard to see the connection to real world problem solutions, as the very strict text-based structure doesn't resemble these much. Nevertheless, certain tools are used today for teaching, such as Scratch (have we described these yet?), which makes procedural programming a valid learning approach.

Object oriented programming (OOP) has its values in representing real world problems, and how a solution can be modelled. As OOP is mostly based on classes, the static description of an object, and objects, the dynamic model of a real world phenomenon, the concepts of the paradigm can be easily grasped. Of course, this fact demands a teaching method suitable for the novice programmers being taught. On the other hand, OOP is often in relation to procedural programming seen as not being something else, but the same, only with OO features [1]. This leads to a problem of both understanding the very basic concepts of programming, such as control structures (loops and selections), and understanding the OO approach.

It is discussed widely what approach is the most efficient teaching method (**TODO: need some refs here**). For instance, some say it is necessary to learn the concepts of OOP before learning to code, and some say the basic constructs are necessary before learning about different paradigms and advanced structures. The procedural approach is being taught in elementary school in different languages (**TODO: assumption, need refs**). In OOP, the question is often what teaching methods are used to make students understand the concepts of the paradigm. Studies have shown a better effect when teaching about the concepts before actually coding [2], which can be seen as an "object-first approach".

## Chapter 3

# Programming Languages and Tools

TODO: Introduction to chapter goes here

### 3.1 Visual-based Programming Languages

With the growing distribution of computers and mobile devices (such as laptops, smart phones, tablets etc.), the need of programming languages and tools to operate on these machines becomes more and more relevant. Furthermore, programming can be hard for novice programmers, if there is given no tools or other kinds of help. Traditionally, most programming languages are categorized as text-based because of the way the program logic is written, by making use of a syntax, specific to every language. Therefore, it is often difficult to learn and use a programming language since it requires one to familiarize oneself with the syntax and available constructs first in order to use the language effectively and that takes skill many people lack.

#### 3.1.1 Visual Programming

In order to address these difficulties, for the past 25 years, research has been done on the so called “Visual Programming” or “Graphical Programming”, and dozens of visual-based programming languages have been created. This approach, reserved and used in the past primarily for systems design, allows the use of spatial representations in two or more dimensions in the form of blocks and different structures and shapes. Compared to text-based programming where lines of code are used, graphical programming replaces these with visual objects, essentially replacing the textual representation of language components with a graphical one, more suitable for visual learners and intuitive for people with no prior knowledge in programming. The main aim of visual programming languages (VPL) and environments, as stated by Koitz and Slany [3], is “diminishing the syntactical burden and enabling a focus on the semantic aspects of coding.” VPL try to facilitate end-user programming, both kids and adult novices in programming, empowering the creation of new programs, not just their consumption, effectively minimising the

distance between the cognitive and computational model.

Currently, there is a wide variety of visual programming languages with varying popularity such as Alice, Greenfoot, Tynker, Scratch, Raptor and many more.

### **3.1.2 Scratch**

Scratch is a visual-based programming environment which allows users to create visually-rich, interactive projects. Since its inception in 2003, the main goal of its creators has been to address the needs and interests of young people (primarily ages 8 to 16) and make for them a soft introduction to the world of programming. Publicly released in 2007, the project has grown in size and scope, with a dedicated site hosting all its 11 million projects and with a user base of 8 million. [1] Given its targeted audience, one of the main design goals of Scratch is the focus on self-directed learning and exploration through tinkering with the different constructs of the language and environment. Given this fact and the steady increase of its popularity have prompted hundreds of schools and educational organizations to adopt and integrate it into their curriculum [2].

## **3.2 Text Based Programming Languages**

Text based programming languages can be split into two categories; one is the text based educational programming languages for novices, and the other are the general purpose programming languages. Even though general purpose programming languages can be used to teach programming to novices, the two categories are distinguished in where the focus of the design has been. This chapter will explore the programming languages in both of these categories.

### **3.2.1 Text Based Educational Programming Languages**

One of the first programming languages that added constructs for learning programming was LOGO. It did not have the constructs from the start, but after 12 seventh-grade students<sup>1</sup> worked with LOGO for a year (1968-1969), Seymour Papert, one of the developers of LOGO, proposed the Turtle as a programming domain that could be interesting to people at all ages. He proposed it since the demonstration had confirmed that LOGO was a learnable programming language for novices, but he wanted the demonstration extended to lower grades, ultimately preschool children. Constructs for Turtles was then added to LOGO and has since been widely adopted in other programming languages such as SmallTalk and Pascal, and more recently Scratch.

A Turtle can be a visual element on a screen or a physical robot. In Scratch, the Turtle can be any sprite chosen by the user. In LOGO the Turtle is controlled by a set of commands which are:

---

<sup>1</sup>From Muzzy Junior High School in Lexington, Massachusetts.

- FOWARD X, moves the Turtle X number of Turtle steps in a straight line
- RIGHT X, turns the Turtle X number of degrees in a clockwise direction
- LEFT X, turns the Turtle X number of degrees in a counter clockwise direction
- PENDOWN, makes the Turtle draw
- PENUP, makes the Turtle stop drawing

These commands make up the essence of Turtle programming and is also present in the other languages which has implemented Turtle programming. Some languages has expanded on these commands e.g. in Scratch, one can change the color, size and shade of the pen.

TODO: What is turtle programming supposed to teach?

TODO: Other languages

TODO: GRAIL

TODO: transition to visual programming

### 3.2.2 General Purpose Programming Languages

TODO: Why is it the goal to learn these languages?

TODO: Why can't we stick with the educational ones?

TODO: Why don't we begin with these?

TODO: Tools for learning e.g. BlueJ

## **Chapter 4**

# **Teaching Programming**

TODO: Chapter about the problems in teaching programming - analysis and discussion

## **Part II**

# **Bibliography**



# Bibliography

- [1] A. R. Sandy Garner, Patricia Haden, “My program is correct but it doesn’t run: A preliminary investigation of novice programmers’ problems,” *ACE ’05 Proceedings of the 7th Australasian conference on Computing*, vol. 42, 2005. 2.1, 2.2
- [2] S. Xinogalos, “Object-oriented design and programming: An investigation of novices’ conceptions on objects and classes,” *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2015. 2.2

