

ANALYTICAL METHODS TOOLKIT

Comprehensive Reference for Metacognitive Reasoning

CATEGORY A: TREE-BASED REASONING

1. Tree-of-Thought (ToT)

- Generate multiple reasoning paths
- Evaluate each branch
- Backtrack and explore alternatives
- Select best path
- **Use when:** Multiple viable approaches exist

2. Self-Pruned Tree-of-Thought

- Generate branches, prune weak ones early
- Focus resources on promising paths
- More efficient than full ToT
- **Use when:** Need efficiency with exploration

3. Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)

- Balance exploration vs. exploitation
- Simulate outcomes, back-propagate values
- Guided search through solution space
- **Use when:** Large search space, uncertain values

4. Beam Search

- Keep top-k candidates at each step
- Parallel exploration of best options
- Balance breadth and depth
- **Use when:** Need multiple good solutions

CATEGORY B: MULTI-AGENT / PERSPECTIVE METHODS

5. Multi-Agent Debate

- Multiple agents with different positions
- Dialectical reasoning through argument
- Convergence through structured disagreement
- **Use when:** Controversial topics, need multiple views

6. Society of Mind

- Different specialized sub-agents
- Each handles different aspect
- Integration of perspectives
- **Use when:** Complex problems need decomposition

****7. Perspective-Taking****

- Systematically adopt different viewpoints
- Epistemic, ethical, cultural, temporal perspectives
- Synthesis across views
- **Use when:** Need comprehensive understanding

CATEGORY C: VERIFICATION & VALIDATION

****8. Chain-of-Verification (CoVe)****

- Generate baseline response
- Create verification questions
- Answer independently (avoid bias)
- Revise if inconsistent
- **Use when:** Factual accuracy critical

****9. Self-Consistency****

- Generate multiple independent responses
- Check consistency across them
- Majority voting or confidence-weighted selection
- **Use when:** Single answer unreliable

****10. Adversarial Testing****

- Generate claim
- Attack it rigorously as adversary
- Defend or revise as proponent
- **Use when:** Need robust claims

****11. Recursive Verification****

- Verify claim
- Verify the verification
- Multiple levels of checking
- **Use when:** High-stakes accuracy needed

CATEGORY D: DECOMPOSITION METHODS

****12. Least-to-Most Prompting****

- Break complex into simple subproblems
- Solve simple first
- Build up to complex solution
- **Use when:** Complex overwhelms direct approach

****13. Subgoal Decomposition****

- Identify required subgoals
- Solve each systematically

- Compose into solution
- **Use when:** Clear goal but unclear path

****14. Hierarchical Task Analysis****

- Break task into subtasks (multiple levels)
- Tree structure of dependencies
- Bottom-up execution
- **Use when:** Large projects need organization

CATEGORY E: SEARCH & EXPLORATION

****15. Generate-and-Test****

- Generate candidate solution
- Test against criteria
- Iterate until success
- **Use when:** Solution space enumerable

****16. Hill Climbing****

- Local search for improvements
- Move to better neighbor
- Risk: local maxima
- **Use when:** Gradient exists, local good enough

****17. Simulated Annealing****

- Allow worse moves probabilistically
- Escape local maxima via temperature parameter
- Gradual cooling
- **Use when:** Need global optimum

****18. Genetic Algorithms****

- Population of solutions
- Mutation and crossover
- Selection pressure over generations
- **Use when:** Complex fitness landscape

CATEGORY F: CONSTRAINT & LOGIC METHODS

****19. Constraint Satisfaction****

- Define all constraints
- Search for solution satisfying all
- Backtrack when violated
- **Use when:** Hard constraints must be met

****20. Logic Programming****

- Define rules and facts
- Query for logical conclusions
- Backward/forward chaining
- **Use when:** Formal reasoning needed

****21. Proof Search****

- Formal deduction from axioms
- Constructive proof building
- Mathematical rigor
- **Use when:** Mathematical/logical certainty required

CATEGORY G: META-REASONING

****22. Meta-Learning****

- Learn how to learn
- Extract strategies from experience
- Apply to new domains
- **Use when:** Pattern across problems exists

****23. Analogical Reasoning****

- Find similar past problems
- Map structural similarities
- Transfer solution approach
- **Use when:** Novel problem resembles known ones

****24. Case-Based Reasoning****

- Retrieve similar cases
- Adapt to current situation
- Learn from outcomes
- **Use when:** Experience base available

CATEGORY H: UNCERTAINTY & PROBABILITY

****25. Bayesian Reasoning****

- Start with prior beliefs
- Update with evidence
- Calculate posterior probabilities
- **Use when:** Uncertainty quantifiable

****26. Expected Value Calculation****

- Probability \times Value for each outcome
- Compare expected utilities
- Optimize decisions
- **Use when:** Risk/reward trade-offs

****27. Scenario Analysis****

- Multiple plausible futures
- Probability-weighted outcomes
- Robust strategies across scenarios
- **Use when:** High uncertainty about future

CATEGORY I: CREATIVE & GENERATIVE

****28. Lateral Thinking****

- Break assumptions deliberately
- Random stimulus for new connections
- Provocation techniques
- **Use when:** Stuck in conventional thinking

****29. Bisociation****

- Connect distant domains
- Novel combinations create insight
- Cross-pollination of ideas
- **Use when:** Need creative breakthrough

****30. SCAMPER****

- Substitute, Combine, Adapt, Modify, Put to other use, Eliminate, Reverse
- Systematic creativity checklist
- **Use when:** Need structured ideation

CATEGORY J: CRITICAL ANALYSIS

****31. Dialectical Reasoning****

- Thesis → Antithesis → Synthesis
- Resolve contradictions at higher level
- Iterative refinement
- **Use when:** Opposing views both valid

****32. Five Whys****

- Ask "why" repeatedly (5+ times)
- Find root cause beyond symptoms
- Causal chain mapping
- **Use when:** Need to find root cause

****33. Pre-Mortem Analysis****

- Assume failure happened
- Work backward to likely causes
- Prevent failure proactively

- **Use when:** Planning high-stakes actions

****34. Red Team / Blue Team****

- Attack (red) vs. defend (blue)
- Find vulnerabilities systematically
- Improve through adversarial testing
- **Use when:** Need robustness testing

CATEGORY K: SYSTEMATIC METHODS

****35. SWOT Analysis****

- Strengths, Weaknesses (internal)
- Opportunities, Threats (external)
- Comprehensive strategic assessment
- **Use when:** Evaluating strategies/positions

****36. Pareto Analysis****

- Identify vital few (80/20 principle)
- Prioritize high-impact factors
- Focus effort efficiently
- **Use when:** Need prioritization

****37. Root Cause Analysis****

- Fishbone/Ishikawa diagrams
- Multiple causation paths
- Systematic investigation
- **Use when:** Complex system failures

****38. Decision Matrix****

- Criteria × Options grid
- Weighted scoring
- Quantitative comparison
- **Use when:** Multiple options, multiple criteria

CATEGORY L: METACOGNITIVE INTEGRATION

****39. C_ORIENT Pre-Flight Scan****

- Before every response: pause + risk scan
- Five categories: Overconfidence, Boundary, Stakeholder, Temporal, Frame
- Flag high risks, proceed with awareness
- **Use when:** ALWAYS (foundational)

****40. Layered Analysis****

- Quick scan first

- Deeper analysis if flagged
- Appropriate depth for complexity
- **Use when:** Efficient resource allocation needed

41. Multi-Method Triangulation

- Apply 3-5 different methods to same problem
- Compare insights from each
- Converging evidence = higher confidence
- **Use when:** Critical decisions, need validation

USAGE GUIDANCE

For Quick Problems (C1-3):

- C_ORIENT (always)
- Generate-and-Test
- Single verification method

For Moderate Problems (C4-6):

- C_ORIENT (always)
- 1-2 decomposition methods
- Chain-of-Verification
- Self-Consistency

For Complex Problems (C7-10):

- C_ORIENT (always)
- Tree-of-Thought or Self-Pruned ToT
- Multi-Agent Debate
- Multiple verification methods
- Multi-Method Triangulation

For Creative Problems:

- C_ORIENT
- Lateral Thinking or Bisociation
- Analogical Reasoning
- Extended pause for incubation

For High-Stakes Decisions:

- C_ORIENT
- Pre-Mortem Analysis
- Red Team / Blue Team
- Recursive Verification
- Bayesian updating

For Root Cause Investigation:

- Five Whys
- Root Cause Analysis (Fishbone)

- Constraint Satisfaction
- Dialectical Reasoning

COMBINATION STRATEGIES

****Powerful Combinations:****

1. ****ToT + Adversarial Testing + Self-Consistency****
 - Explore paths, attack each, verify consistency
 - Comprehensive for critical reasoning
2. ****Five Whys + Pre-Mortem + SWOT****
 - Understand causes, predict failures, assess position
 - Strategic planning
3. ****Decomposition + Case-Based + Analogical****
 - Break down, find similar cases, map solutions
 - Novel problem-solving
4. ****C_ORIENT + CoVe + Multi-Agent Debate****
 - Scan risks, verify claims, challenge from perspectives
 - Quality assurance
5. ****Meta-Learning + Multi-Method Triangulation****
 - Extract patterns, validate with multiple approaches
 - Learning to learn

SELECTION HEURISTICS

****Choose method based on:****

****Problem Type:****

- Well-defined → Logic/Constraint methods
- Ill-defined → Creative/Exploration methods
- Uncertain → Probabilistic methods
- Complex → Decomposition methods

****Resources Available:****

- Time-limited → Quick methods (C_ORIENT, Generate-Test)
- Time-abundant → Thorough methods (MCTS, Recursive Verification)

****Stakes:****

- Low stakes → Single method sufficient
- High stakes → Multiple methods + verification

****Novelty:****

- Routine → Case-based, rules
- Novel → Analogical, creative methods
- Revolutionary → Lateral thinking, bisociation

****Uncertainty:****

- Low → Deterministic methods
- Medium → Scenario analysis
- High → Bayesian, multiple scenarios

PRACTICE RECOMMENDATION

****Week 1-2:**** Master C_ORIENT + 3-5 core methods

****Week 3-4:**** Add decomposition and verification methods

****Week 5-8:**** Add creative and advanced methods

****Month 3+:**** Fluid multi-method application

****Core 5 to master first:****

1. C_ORIENT (foundational)
2. Self-Pruned Tree-of-Thought
3. Chain-of-Verification
4. Adversarial Testing
5. Five Whys

****Then expand systematically based on your work type.****

****Total: 41 analytical methods across 12 categories****

****Note:**** These methods compose well - use multiple for robust analysis. C_ORIENT should precede all others as foundation.