

Sequential clinical trials – two-stage designs

Calculating optimal designs “by hand” is tedious but feasible, for small samples.
 # First, create a function that calculates error probabilities α and β , probabilities of
 # early termination PET, and the average sample number ASN.

```
> TwoStageDesign = function(n1,n,r1,r,p0,p1){
+ k = (r1+1):n1;
+ n2=n-n1;
+ alpha = sum( dbinom(k,n1,p0)*(1-pbinom(r-k,n2,p0)) );
+ beta = pbinom(r1,n1,p1) + sum( dbinom(k,n1,p1)*pbinom(r-k,n2,p1) );
+ PET0 = pbinom(r1,n1,p0)
+ PET1 = pbinom(r1,n1,p1)
+ ASN0 = n1 + (1-PET0)*n2
+ ASN1 = n1 + (1-PET1)*n2
+ return(data.frame(alpha,beta,PET0,PET1,ASN0,ASN1))}
```

For example:

```
> TwoStageDesign(5, 10, 3, 7, 0.5, 0.8)
      alpha      beta    PET0    PET1   ASN0   ASN1
1 0.04492187 0.3893093 0.8125 0.26272 5.9375 8.6864
```

Then, we'll search for the optimal design, minimizing $ASN0 = E_0(T)$ in nested loops.
 # If a new design yields a smaller $ASN0$, we update the design parameters.

```
> OptimalDesign=function(p0,p1,alpha,beta,Nmax){
+ BestComb = data.frame(n1=0,r1=0,r=0,ASN0=Nmax+1)
+ for (n1 in 1:Nmax){ for (r1 in 1:n1){ for (r in (r1+1):n){
+ Params = TwoStageDesign(n1,Nmax,r1,r,p0,p1)
+ if ( Params$alpha <= alpha & Params$beta <= beta & Params$ASN0 < BestComb$ASN0 ){
+ BestComb$n1 = n1; BestComb$r1=r1; BestComb$r=r; BestComb$ASN0=Params$ASN0;
+ }}}
+ if (BestComb$ASN0 <= Nmax){ return(BestComb) } else {return("The optimal design cannot
be found. Increase Nmax.")}}
```

For any α and β , this function calculates the optimal design or state that it is impossible.

For example:

```
> OptimalDesign(0.5,0.8,0.1,0.33,10)
      n1 r1 r   ASN0
```

```

1 6 3 7 7.375
> OptimalDesign(0.5,0.8,0.05,0.1,10)
[1] "The optimal design cannot be found. Increase Nmax."

```

**# R package “`clinfun`” (Clinical Trial Design and Data Analysis Functions) contains designs for
standard two-stage and group sequential clinical trials**

```

> install.packages("clinfun")
> library(clinfun)

```

**# Calculate parameters of a two-stage design for the Binomial one-sample testing of
$H_0: p = 0.25$ (unacceptable response rate) vs $H_A: p=0.40$ (acceptable response rate)
with the significance level 0.05 and power 0.90.**

```

> ph2simon(0.25, 0.40, 0.05, 0.10)

```

Simon 2-stage Phase II design

```

Unacceptable response rate: 0.25
Desirable response rate: 0.4
Error rates: alpha = 0.05 ; beta = 0.1

```

	r1	n1	r	n	EN(p0)	PET(p0)
Optimal	10	37	31	99	56.16	0.6909
Minimax	13	57	27	83	72.11	0.4190

Warning message:

```

In print.ph2simon(x) : Optimal sample size too close to nmax.
Try increasing nmax (current value = 100)

```

**# By default, $N_{\max} = 100$. We can set the maximum sample size, but notice that it may be
insufficient to attain the required significance level and power.**

```

> ph2simon(0.25, 0.40, 0.05, 0.10, nmax=90)

```

Simon 2-stage Phase II design

```

Unacceptable response rate: 0.25
Desirable response rate: 0.4
Error rates: alpha = 0.05 ; beta = 0.1

```

	r1	n1	r	n	EN(p0)	PET(p0)
--	----	----	---	---	--------	---------

```
Optimal  9 37 28 87  59.48  0.5503
Minimax 13 57 27 83  72.11  0.4190
```

Warning message:

```
In print.ph2simon(x) :  Optimal sample size too close to nmax.
  Try increasing nmax (current value = 90)
```

So, for Nmax = 90, solution can still be found. But not for Nmax = 50...

```
> ph2simon(0.25, 0.40, 0.05, 0.10, nmax=50)
```

```
Error in ph2simon(0.25, 0.4, 0.05, 0.1, nmax = 50) :
```

```
No feasible solution found.
```

```
  Increase maximum sample size.  Current nmax value = 50.
```