## IDMPF: An Intelligent Diabetes Mellitus Prediction Framework using Machine Learning

Table S1: Evaluation of Past Works on DT-based RF and SVM Classification Models for Diabetes Prediction.

Work	Dataset									a)
	# features	Features	# observations	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F-measure	ROC curve	AUC	Execution time
	RF									
[1]	8	Age, body mass index (BMI), diabetes pedigree function, diastolic blood pressure, number of times pregnant, plasma glucose concentration, triceps skin fold thickness and 2-Hour serum insulin	768	74.8%	0.656 + 0.791 0.744 A	0.59 <sup>+</sup> 0.834 <sup>-</sup> 0.749 <sup>A</sup>	0.621 <sup>+</sup> 0.812 <sup>-</sup> 0.745 <sup>A</sup>	×	*	×
[2]	11	Age, alcohol consumption, BMI, diastolic blood pressure, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, gender, smoking, systolic blood pressure, waist and weight	30122	85.09%	*	×	×	*	0.883	×
[3]	14	Age, breathe, fasting glucose, height, HDL, left diastolic pressure, left systolic pressure, low density lipoprotein (LDL), physique index, pulse rate, right diastolic pressure, right systolic pressure, waistline and weight	68994	80.84% 75.08%*	×	0.849 <sup>A</sup> 0.833 <sup>A</sup>	×	*	×	×
	8	Age, BMI, diabetes pedigree function, diastolic blood pressure, number of times pregnant, plasma glucose concentration, triceps skin fold thickness and 2-Hour serum insulin	392	76.04% 77.21%*	*	0.757 <sup>A</sup> 0.745 <sup>A</sup>	×	*	*	×
		SVM (Linear,								ı
[4]	14	Age, alcohol use, BMI, education, family history of diabetes, gender, height, household income, hypertension, physical activity, race and ethnicity, smoking, waist circumference and weight	6314	×	×	0.776	×	<b>✓</b>	0.824	×
_	SVM (*)									
[5]	13	Age, BMI, diastolic blood pressure, family history of diabetes, gender, height, history of aborted baby, history of gestational diabetes, history of high blood pressure,	2536	SVM - 81.19%	×	SVM - 0.830 <sup>A</sup>	×	*	×	×

[6]	9	history of pregnancy, history of use of drugs for high blood pressure, systolic blood pressure and weight  Age, BMI, diabetes pedigree function, diastolic blood pressure, number of times pregnant, plasma glucose concentration, triceps skin fold thickness and 2-Hour serum insulin  Gender, age, plasma glucose fasting, plasma glucose postprandial, serum urea, serum creatinine, serum sodium, serum potassium and HBAIC	768 545	SVM - 65.10% SVM - 65.04%	SVM - 0.424 A SVM - 0.499 A	SVM - 0.651 <sup>A</sup> SVM - 0.65 <sup>A</sup>	SVM - 0.513 <sup>A</sup> SVM - 0.52 <sup>A</sup>	×	SVM - 0.5 SVM - 0.497	×
			F and SV	M (Linear k	(ernel					
[8]	×	×	×	*	*	×	×	<b>√</b>	RF - 0.879 SVM - 0.841	×
			RF a	nd SVM (*)						
[9]	8	Age, BMI, diabetes pedigree function, diastolic blood pressure, number of times pregnant, plasma glucose concentration, triceps skin fold thickness and 2-Hour serum insulin	×	RF - 100% SVM - 77.73%	*	RF – 1.00 <sup>A</sup> SVM - 0.513 <sup>A</sup>	×	×	×	×
[10]	15	Age, blood glucose level, blood pressure, BMI, diabetes pedigree function, gender, HBAIC, insulin, plasma glucose fasting, plasma glucose postprandial, pregnancy, serum creatinine, serum potassium, serum sodium and skin thickness	2500	RF – 75.39% RF – 79%* SVM – 77.73% SVM – 80%*	*	RF - 0.332 <sup>A</sup> SVM - 0.570 <sup>A</sup>	×	<b>√</b>	*	×
	RF and SVM (Linear, Polynomial, RBF and Sigmoid kernels)									
This paper	11	Age, alcohol consumption, blood pressure, blurred vision, cholesterol, gender, heart disease, obesity, pregnancy, race and uric acid	65,839	Ý	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>

 $<sup>\</sup>times \rightarrow$  Not reported

## REFERENCES

- 1. Tamilvanan B, Bhaskaran VM (2017) An Experimental Study of Diabetes Disease Prediction System Using Classification Techniques. IOSR J Comput Eng 19:39–44. https://doi.org/10.9790/0661-1901043944
- 2. Nai-arun N, Moungmai R (2015) Comparison of Classifiers for the Risk of Diabetes Prediction. Procedia Comput Sci 69:132–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.10.014
- 3. Zou Q, Qu K, Luo Y, et al (2018) Predicting Diabetes Mellitus With Machine Learning Techniques. Front Genet 9:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00515
- 4. Yu W, Liu T, Valdez R, et al (2010) Application of support vector machine modeling for prediction of common diseases:

<sup>\*</sup>Value for diabetic class

Value for non-diabetic class

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup>Weighted average value of both diabetic and non-diabetic classes values

<sup>\*</sup>Computed for the dataset after feature selection

- the case of diabetes and pre-diabetes. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 10:. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-10-16
- 5. Heydari M, Teimouri M, Heshmati Z, Alavinia SM (2016) Comparison of various classification algorithms in the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in Iran. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries 36:167–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-015-0374-4
- 6. Sisodia D, Sisodia DS (2018) Prediction of diabetes using classification algorithms. Procedia Comput Sci 132:1578–1585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.122
- 7. Saravananathan K, Vekmurugan T (2016) Analyzing Diabetic Data using Classification Algorithms in Data Mining. Indian J Sci Technol 9:1–6
- 8. Khalilia M, Chakraborty S, Popescu M (2011) Predicting disease risks from highly imbalanced data using random forest. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 11:. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-11-51
- 9. Kandhasamy JP, Balamurali S (2015) Performance Analysis of Classifier Models to Predict Diabetes Mellitus. Procedia Comput Sci 47:45–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.03.182
- 10. Sneha N, Gangil T (2019) Analysis of diabetes mellitus for early prediction using optimal features selection. J Big Data 6: