Supplementary material to "Goodness of fit tests in spatial autoregressive stochastic frontier models"

Ming-Yu Deng¹, Yue Fu², Levent Kutlu³, and Mingxi Wang^{*4}

¹School of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu 233030, China

²Academy of Global Innovation and Governance, University of International Business and Economics, Beijing 100029, China

 ³Department of Economics, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, TX, USA
 ⁴School of International Trade and Economics, University of International Business and Economics, Beijing 100029, China

November 30, 2024

This material provides

- 1. the comparison of finite sample performance of our proposed trigonometry tests and the classic Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) and Cramér-von Mises (CvM) counterparts;
- 2. the corresponding analysis.

Experimental comparison

The experimental design is entirely the same as that in "Section 5 Monte Carlo simulations" of the original paper. Here, Table 1 and Table 2 report, respectively, the comparison of empirical sizes and powers for " $H_0^1: u_{ni} \sim H^+(0, \sigma_{uo}^2)$ ". Similarly, Table 3 and Table 4 are for " $H_0^2: u_{ni} \sim Exp(\gamma_{uo})$ ".

 $^{^*}$ Corresponding author: mxwang@uibe.edu.cn

Table 1: Comparison of empirical sizes for $H_0^1: u_{ni} \sim N^+(0, \sigma_{uo}^2)$.

nominal size	$\frac{\operatorname{Var}[u_{ni}]}{\operatorname{Var}[v_{ni}]}$	n	10^{2}	20^{2}	30^{2}	40^{2}	50^{2}	60^{2}
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.012	0.006	0.006
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.003	0.012	0.005	0.011	0.009	0.013
	0.5	KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.016	0.012	0.014	0.007	0.011	0.008
1%	1.0	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.009	0.011	0.010	0.006	0.012	0.014
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.017	0.008	0.014	0.012	0.006	0.008
	1.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.007	0.016	0.007	0.014	0.015	0.013
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.053	0.050	0.040	0.047	0.038	0.033
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.053	0.050	0.040	0.047	0.038	0.033
	0.5	KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.067	0.060	0.043	0.047	0.053	0.031
5%		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.067	0.060	0.043	0.047	0.053	0.031
		KS	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.079	0.047	0.060	0.046	0.043	0.054
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.079	0.047	0.060	0.046	0.043	0.054
		KS	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	0.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.096	0.110	0.080	0.093	0.085	0.078
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.072	0.094	0.089	0.098	0.095	0.114
		KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
10%		CvM	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.111	0.102	0.094	0.092	0.114	0.062
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.072	0.101	0.115	0.100	0.116	0.111
		KS	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
	1.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.130	0.084	0.110	0.107	0.087	0.104
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.125	0.116	0.113	0.100	0.088	0.117
		KS	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001

Table 2: Comparison of empirical powers for $H_0^1: u_{ni} \sim N^+(0, \sigma_{uo}^2)$.

nominal size	$\frac{\operatorname{Var}[u_{ni}]}{\operatorname{Var}[v_{ni}]}$	n	10^{2}	20^{2}	30^{2}	40^{2}	50^{2}	60^{2}
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.016	0.009	0.041	0.133	0.262	0.513
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.010	0.007	0.015	0.060	0.108	0.197
	0.5	KS	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.009	0.014
		CvM	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.009
1%	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.049	0.099	0.289	0.631	0.902	0.965
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.012	0.018	0.104	0.290	0.595	0.810
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.014	0.090	0.276	0.480	0.664
		CvM	0.000	0.003	0.071	0.200	0.434	0.598
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.080	0.259	0.545	0.891	0.991	1.000
	1.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.009	0.028	0.140	0.377	0.746	0.920
	1.5	KS	0.000	0.013	0.189	0.478	0.667	0.784
		CvM	0.000	0.003	0.140	0.406	0.639	0.780
	0.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.063	0.069	0.164	0.366	0.578	0.773
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.043	0.058	0.120	0.252	0.376	0.580
		KS	0.000	0.014	0.021	0.026	0.040	0.075
		CvM	0.000	0.008	0.014	0.017	0.026	0.052
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.133	0.267	0.565	0.846	0.978	1.000
5%		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.052	0.132	0.343	0.654	0.860	0.985
		KS	0.000	0.061	0.245	0.443	0.700	0.805
		CvM	0.000	0.052	0.203	0.427	0.683	0.806
	1.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.160	0.474	0.816	0.975	1.000	1.000
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.060	0.122	0.395	0.712	0.940	0.987
		KS	0.001	0.076	0.384	0.607	0.707	0.876
		CvM	0.000	0.045	0.343	0.590	0.713	0.871
	0.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.120	0.141	0.287	0.490	0.710	0.853
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.100	0.138	0.229	0.405	0.526	0.723
10%		KS	0.002	0.018	0.026	0.051	0.090	0.142
		CvM	0.001	0.012	0.028	0.044	0.068	0.133
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.190	0.392	0.711	0.927	0.985	1.000
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.102	0.239	0.508	0.806	0.930	0.980
		KS	0.003	0.087	0.314	0.559	0.791	0.931
		CvM	0.001	0.075	0.306	0.542	0.801	0.940
	1.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.244	0.586	0.897	0.989	1.000	1.000
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.107	0.229	0.566	0.848	0.977	1.000
		KS	0.003	0.126	0.452	0.639	0.773	0.776
		CvM	0.002	0.104	0.428	0.647	0.767	0.776

Table 3: Comparison of empirical sizes for $H_0^2: u_{ni} \sim Exp(\gamma_{uo})$.

nominal size	$\frac{\operatorname{Var}[u_{ni}]}{\operatorname{Var}[v_{ni}]}$	n	10^{2}	20^{2}	30^{2}	40^{2}	50^{2}	60^{2}
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.018	0.010	0.006	0.011	0.006	0.002
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.006	0.003	0.012	0.017	0.008	0.010
	0.5	KS	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.013	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.011	0.007
1%	1.0	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.015	0.015	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.009
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.015	0.011	0.010	0.006	0.005	0.012
	1.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.014	0.018	0.020	0.018	0.012	0.015
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.007	0.002	0.000
		CvM	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.049	0.054	0.044	0.036	0.045	0.036
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.043	0.057	0.041	0.053	0.046	0.054
	0.5	KS	0.005	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001
		CvM	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.052	0.044	0.044	0.038	0.049	0.044
5%		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.056	0.064	0.061	0.058	0.063	0.050
		KS	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.008	0.003	0.003
		CvM	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.001
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.061	0.045	0.036	0.057	0.053	0.048
	1 5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.060	0.064	0.058	0.073	0.059	0.065
	1.5	KS	0.001	0.007	0.014	0.010	0.003	0.009
		CvM	0.000	0.006	0.012	0.008	0.003	0.005
	0.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.132	0.104	0.092	0.112	0.090	0.098
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.102	0.104	0.116	0.111	0.088	0.087
		KS	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000
10%		CvM	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.116	0.116	0.096	0.094	0.084	0.115
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.137	0.131	0.091	0.118	0.113	0.098
		KS	0.006	0.010	0.006	0.009	0.010	0.014
		CvM	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.010	0.013
	1.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.110	0.089	0.093	0.074	0.107	0.097
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.110	0.098	0.132	0.123	0.103	0.101
		KS	0.009	0.019	0.018	0.023	0.016	0.014
		CvM	0.004	0.014	0.017	0.018	0.015	0.006

Table 4: Comparison of empirical powers for $H_0^2: u_{ni} \sim Exp(\gamma_{uo})$.

nominal size	$\frac{\operatorname{Var}[u_{ni}]}{\operatorname{Var}[v_{ni}]}$	n	10^{2}	20^{2}	30^{2}	40^{2}	50^{2}	60^{2}
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.029	0.030	0.045	0.069	0.090	0.153
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.012	0.016	0.023	0.037	0.070	0.090
	0.5	KS	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.037	0.075	0.151	0.275	0.417	0.575
1%	1.0	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.013	0.039	0.084	0.189	0.308	0.480
	1.0	KS	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.033	0.132	0.218	0.434	0.652	0.890
	1.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.026	0.058	0.136	0.289	0.465	0.685
	1.0	KS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006
		CvM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.108	0.118	0.133	0.195	0.240	0.283
	0.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.050	0.064	0.092	0.137	0.186	0.263
	0.5	KS	0.009	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		CvM	0.008	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.140	0.195	0.333	0.494	0.627	0.800
5%		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.071	0.122	0.235	0.377	0.545	0.675
		KS	0.002	0.008	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.014
		CvM	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.122	0.263	0.427	0.672	0.835	0.970
	1.5	$T_2^{\sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.077	0.155	0.347	0.509	0.705	0.860
	1.5	KS	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.007	0.037	0.128
		CvM	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.018	0.086
	0.5	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.164	0.193	0.202	0.268	0.350	0.397
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.098	0.120	0.173	0.245	0.298	0.390
		KS	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.003
10%		CvM	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.0	$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.214	0.294	0.444	0.620	0.740	0.880
		$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.136	0.205	0.334	0.497	0.647	0.735
		KS	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.020	0.040
		CvM	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.023
		$T_2^{\cos}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.198	0.371	0.546	0.780	0.920	0.980
	1.5	$T_2^{ m sin}(\omega_{ m opt})$	0.127	0.250	0.464	0.640	0.797	0.890
		KS	0.003	0.002	0.012	0.036	0.118	0.264
		CvM	0.003	0.000	0.004	0.017	0.091	0.255

Comparison results

- H_0^1 (Half normal) In terms of empirical sizes, Table 1 shows that the best cosine and sine tests (with the optimal parameter ω) respect the nominal size to a satisfactory degree, but the KS and CvM tests do not. In terms of empirical powers reported in Table 2, although the empirical powers of the KS and CvM tests gradually approach to 1 as n increases, the powers of both nonparametric are obviously smaller than those of our tests.
- H_0^2 (exponential) In terms of the empirical sizes in Table 3, the pattern is similar to that in Table 1 that (i) both nonparametric tests have some size distortions, and (ii) our tests are also robust.

In terms of the empirical powers, we can see from Table 4 that in all scenarios, the trigonometry tests also have the largest powers. Additionally, the powers of the KS and CvM tests can increase only when the nominal size is 10% and $\frac{\text{Var}[u_{ni}]}{\text{Var}[v_{ni}]} = 1.5$. Even so, the related speed is slow.

In summary, we conclude that

- i) our proposed trigonometry tests outperform the classic KS and CvM tests;
- ii) no matter how well the statistic performs in the goodness of fit test for (non-spatial) SF model, it still needs to verify the mathematical/statistical theory when such statistic is employed in spatial SF models, such as the SARSF model.