

# Jermann (1998)

## Motivation

### Facts

- cyclical variations in security returns and risk premium
- asset returns are leading economic indicators

### Question:

What does my RBC model need to explain both:

- business cycles
- asset market facts

Underlying: Equity premium puzzle

Equity risk premium

= Equity returns

- Gov. Bonds returns

$\approx 5\%$  to  $8\%$  (historically)

Risk premium is a compensation for taking higher risk, but this value is not in line with feasible values of risk aversion.

Model: One-sector RBC model with

- capital adjustment costs
- consumption habit formation

Findings: We need both features to generate equity premium

Technology growth:

$$\frac{X_{t+1}}{X_t} = \gamma$$

Firm problem

$$E_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta^*)^s \Lambda_{t+s}}{\Lambda_t} \left( A_{t+s} F(K_{t+s}, X_{t+s} N_{t+s}) - W_{t+s} N_{t+s} - I_{t+s} \right)$$

subject

$$K_{t+1} = (1-\delta) K_t + \underbrace{\phi\left(\frac{I_t}{K_t}\right)}_{\text{cap. adjustment costs}} \cdot K_t$$

$\frac{(\beta^*)^s \Lambda_{t+s}}{\Lambda_t}$  : Stochastic Discount factor

$$\phi\left(\frac{I_t}{K_t}\right) = \frac{b}{1-a} \left(\frac{I_t}{K_t}\right)^{1-a} + c$$

$a, b, c$  parameters

Detrend

$$\frac{D_t}{X_t} = \frac{A_t \cdot K_t^\alpha}{X_t^\alpha} \frac{(X_t \cdot N_t)^{1-\alpha}}{X_t^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{W_t \cdot N_t}{X_t} - \frac{I_t}{X_t}$$

$$d_t = A_t \cdot k_t^\alpha \cdot N_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t \cdot N_t - 1_t$$

$$\frac{k_{t+1}}{x_t} \frac{x_{t+1}}{x_{t+1}} = (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{k_t}{x_t} + \left( \frac{b}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{I_t}{k_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} + c \right) \cdot \frac{k_t}{x_t}$$

$$\gamma \cdot k_{t+1} = (1-\delta) \cdot k_t + \left( \frac{b}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{i_t}{k_t} \right)^{1-\alpha} + c \right) \cdot k_t$$

$$L = E_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\beta^*)^s \cdot \frac{\lambda_{t+s}}{\lambda_t} \cdot d_{t+s} \\ - (\beta^*)^s \frac{\lambda_{t+s}}{\lambda_t} \cdot q_{t+s} \left( (1-\delta)k_t + \dots \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial k_{t+1}} = 0$$

$$\lambda_t \cdot q_t \cdot \gamma = (\beta^*) \cdot \lambda_{t+1} \left( \alpha \cdot A_{t+1} \cdot k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} \cdot N_t^{1-\alpha} \right. \\ \left. + q_{t+1} \left( 1-\delta + c + \frac{ab}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{i_{t+1}}{k_{t+1}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = (\beta^*) \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} \cdot \frac{1}{q_t \cdot \gamma} \left( \dots \right)$$

Pricing Equation:  $R_t^K$

Investment FOC:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial i_t} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = q \cdot b \left( \frac{i_t}{k_t} \right)^{-\alpha}$$

Labor FOC:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial N_t} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w_t = (1-\alpha) A_t \cdot k_t^\alpha \cdot N_t^{-\alpha}$$

Household:

$$U_t = \max E_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \left( \frac{C_{t+s} - h \cdot C_{t+s-1}}{1-\tau} \right)^{1-\tau}$$

$$(1-\tau) \cdot U_t = \beta^0 \left( \frac{C_t - h \cdot C_{t-1}}{X_t} \right)^{1-\tau} + \beta^1 \left( \frac{C_{t+1} - h \cdot C_t}{X_t} \right)^{1-\tau} + \beta^2 \left( \frac{C_{t+2} - h \cdot C_{t+1}}{X_t} \right)^{1-\tau} + \dots$$

$$= (\gamma^{1-\tau})^0 \left( c_t - \frac{h}{\gamma} C_{t-1} \right)^{1-\tau} + (\gamma^{1-\tau})^1 \left( c_{t+1} - \frac{h}{\gamma} \cdot C_t \right)^{1-\tau}$$

$$+ (y^{1-\tau})^2 \left( c_{t+2} - \frac{h}{\gamma} \cdot c_{t+1} \right)^{1-\tau}$$

+ ...

$$\max E_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left( \beta (y^{1-\tau}) \right)^s}_{\beta^*} \frac{\left( c_{t+s} - \frac{h}{\gamma} \cdot c_{t+s-1} \right)^{1-\tau}}{1-\tau}$$

subject to:

$$\underbrace{w_t \cdot N_t^s}_{\overline{x_t}} + a_t' \left( \underbrace{V_t^a}_{\overline{x_t}} + \underbrace{D_t^a}_{\overline{x_t}} \right) = \underbrace{c_t}_{\overline{x_t}} + a_{t+1}' \underbrace{V_t^a}_{\overline{x_t}}$$

$a_t$ : vector of financial assets held at  $t$  chosen at  $t-1$

$V_t^a$ : vector of asset prices (value of asset)

$D_t^a$ : vector of current payouts (dividends)

$$L = \dots$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t} = 0 \quad (\Rightarrow) \quad \lambda_t = \left( c_t - \frac{h}{\gamma} \cdot c_{t-1} \right)^{-\tau} - [\beta^*] \cdot \frac{h}{\gamma} \left( c_{t+1} - \frac{h}{\gamma} \cdot c_t \right)^{-\tau}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_{t+1}} = 0$$

$$(\Rightarrow) \quad \lambda_t \cdot V_t^a = [\beta^*] \cdot \lambda_{t+1} \cdot (V_{t+1}^a + d_{t+1}^a)$$

What about  $N_t^S$ ?

Normalize time:

$$N_t^S + L_t = 1$$

since  $L_t$  is not in the utility  
function  $\rightarrow N_t^S = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow N_t = 1$

# Rare Disaster Risk DSGE Models

Define a rare disaster:

event that occurs with a very small probability, but has extreme effects on economy

- effects of actual disaster
- locally: large negative effects
  - macro: might be positive effects due to recovery
  - local vs. global disasters

effects of fear of a disaster

- Rietz (1988) and Barro (2006)

$\Rightarrow$  important determinant of the risk premium

$\Rightarrow$  fear  $\uparrow \Rightarrow$  triggers a recession without actual



Disaster happening

Time-varying fear of disaster  
→ Disaster risk shocks has  
measurable and real effects  
on economy

RBC models: Gabaix (2011, 2012)  
Gourio (2012)

Current paper: What happens on the  
macroeconomic side  
→ consumption

What features do we need to  
get "precautionary savings",  
so contraction consumption

Finding:

- Price Stickiness
- Certain value of  $EIS$
- Epstein-Zin preferences

④ Epstein-Zin preferences

- disentangle EIS from risk aversion
- recursive formulation

④ Introduce a disaster

$$K_{t+1} = \left[ (1-\delta) \cdot K_t + S\left(\frac{I_t}{K_t}\right) \cdot K_t \right] \cdot e^{x_{t+1} \cdot \ln(1-\Delta)}$$

$x$ : binary, with small probability

$\Theta_t$  a large share  $\Delta$  is destroyed ( $x_{t+1} = 1$ )

time-varying

$$\ln(\Theta_t) = (1-s_\Theta) \cdot \overline{\ln(\Theta)} + s_\Theta \cdot \ln(\Theta_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{\Theta,t}$$

Disaster Risk shock

Firms:

labor-enhancing productivity growth

$$\frac{z_{t+1}}{z_t} = e^{\mu + \varepsilon_{z,t+1} + x_{t+1} \cdot \ln(1-\delta)}$$

Problem:

$x$  is a binary random variable with potential large effects such that we deviate far away from the Balanced-Growth-Path  
 $\Rightarrow$  Perturbation methods are not valid

"Stationarization Trick" (Gourio, 2012)  
 detrend by  $z_t$ ,  $k_t = \frac{K_t}{z_t}$ ,  $i_t = \frac{I_t}{z_t}$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{(1-\delta) \cdot k_t + S\left(\frac{i_t}{k_t}\right) \cdot k_t}{e^{\mu + \varepsilon_{z,t+1}}}$$

$\rightarrow$  large disaster event  $x$  vanishes from DETRENDED system

- Small shock  $\Sigma_{0,t}$  to a small probability  $\Theta_t$  remains
- Perturbation methods are valid
- at least 3rd order to get time-variation in risk premium