What is the Maximal Set of All Functions  $\langle x|y\rangle$  That Satisfy The Properties of An Inner Product?

Mathematics Essay

Jeffrey Li

 $\mathrm{June}\ 1,\ 2021$ 

# Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Inner Product Validity	4
3	Inner Product Completeness	6
Re	eferences	8

#### 1 Introduction

First, to define what is meant by a valid inner product:

**Definition 1.1** (Inner Product). Given a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$  with a corresponding scalar field  $\mathbb{S}$ , it is said to posses an inner product if there exists a function  $\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{S}$  defined over the entire vector space that satisfies the following conditions (Taylor and Mann (1983)):

- 1. Scalar Associative in Second Argument:  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}|c\boldsymbol{y}\rangle = c\,\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle\,\,\forall \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{V},c\in\mathbb{S}$
- 2. Distributive In Second Argument:  $\langle x|\ y+z\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle + \langle x|z\rangle\ \forall x,y,z\in\mathbb{V}$
- 3. Conjugate Symmetry:  $\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle} \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{V}$
- 4. Positive Semi-Definite:  $\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle > 0 \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{x} \neq \vec{0}, \langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle = 0 \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{x} = \vec{0}$

In this essay, I will attempt to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume vector space  $\mathbb{V}$  has valid inner product  $\langle | \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\circ}$  denote the set of Hermitian positive-definite linear operators on  $\mathbb{V}$ .

The set of valid inner products  $\langle | \rangle'$  on  $\mathbb{V}$  is given by the set

$$\{\langle | \rangle' | \langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H \boldsymbol{y} \rangle, H \in \mathcal{L}_{\circ} \}$$
 (1)

To prove this, I will first prove that every function  $\langle | \rangle'$  generated in this way satisfies the properties of definition (1.1).

Then I will show that unique linear operator H generates a unique inner product  $\left\langle | \right\rangle^H$ .

Finally, I will prove that for any arbitrary function  $f_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$  satisfying the properties of definition (1.1), we can always find an associated Hermitian operator  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\circ}$  such that

$$f_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H_i \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{V}$$
 (2)

#### 2 Inner Product Validity

To begin, first I will define what is meant by a Hermitian positive-definite linear operator. For the rest of this essay, let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the set of all linear operators on  $\mathbb{V}$ , with different subscripts denoting different subsets of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Adjoint). Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  be a linear operator defined in the vector space. Define the adjoint of A, denoted  $A^*$ , such that for any two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$ ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} | A \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \langle A^* \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \tag{3}$$

A linear operator H is then said to be Hermitian iff it is self-adjoint, or in other words:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}|H\boldsymbol{y}\rangle = \langle H\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle \tag{4}$$

**Definition 2.2** (Positive Definite). Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  be a linear operator in the vector space. A is defined to be positive definite iff, for any vector  $\mathbf{x} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{V}$ ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} | A \boldsymbol{x} \rangle > 0 \tag{5}$$

Consequently, the set  $\mathcal{L}_{\circ}$  is defined to be the set of linear operators satisfying both conditions from equations (4) and (5).

**Theorem 2.1** (Inner Product Validity). Define  $H \in \mathcal{L}_{\circ}$  to be an arbitrary non-singular linear operator. Define the function  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle'$  from above, such that  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{x}|H\boldsymbol{y}\rangle$ . The function  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle'$  satisfies the properties of a valid inner product.

*Proof.* Going down each item in definition (1.1), let  $x, y, z \in V$  be any arbitrary vectors and  $c \in S$  be any arbitrary scalar.

1. 
$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} | c \boldsymbol{y} \rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H(c \boldsymbol{y}) \rangle = c \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = c \langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle'$$

2. 
$$\langle x| y + z \rangle' = \langle x| H(y+z) \rangle = \langle x|Hy \rangle + \langle x|Hz \rangle = \langle x|y \rangle' + \langle x|z \rangle'$$

3. 
$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y} \rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \overline{\langle H \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle} = \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{y} | H \boldsymbol{x} \rangle} = \overline{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle')}$$

4. 
$$(\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle' > 0) \leftrightarrow (\langle \boldsymbol{x} | H \boldsymbol{x} \rangle > 0) \leftrightarrow (H \boldsymbol{x} \neq \vec{0}) \leftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{x} \neq \vec{0})$$
.

5. 
$$\langle \vec{0}|\vec{0}\rangle' = \langle \vec{0}|H(\vec{0})\rangle = \langle \vec{0}|\vec{0}\rangle = 0$$

### 3 Inner Product Completeness

Next, I will prove that every inner product can be associated with a Hermitian positive-definite linear operator.

**Theorem 3.1** (Inner Product Completeness). Take f(x, y) to be any function satisfying the definition of an inner product. We can always find an associated linear operator  $H \in \mathcal{L}_{\circ}$  such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \langle \boldsymbol{x} | H \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{V}$$
 (6)

*Proof.* Given  $\langle | \rangle'$ , take the function  $f_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle'$ . This function is a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{V}$  to  $\mathbb{S}$ :

1. 
$$f_{\mathbf{y}}(c\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{y} | c\mathbf{x} \rangle' = c \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x} \rangle' = c f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$$

2. 
$$f_y(x+z) = \langle y| x+z \rangle' = \langle y|x \rangle' + \langle y|z \rangle' = f_y(x) + f_y(z)$$

Because V forms an inner product space, each vector element  $\boldsymbol{w}$  uniquely defines a linear transformation from  $V \to S$  given by  $\langle \boldsymbol{w} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle = L(\boldsymbol{x})$ . Moreover, the set of linear scalar maps over V is isomorphic to the set V itself, and any linear scalar transform  $L_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x})$  can be uniquely assigned to a vector  $\boldsymbol{w}$  such that  $L_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{w} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \ \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in V$  (Proved In Following Section).

For any given  $\boldsymbol{y}$ ,  $f_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x})$  defines a linear scalar transform, and therefore there exists  $\boldsymbol{w}$  such that  $f_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{w} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$ . Therefore, for any  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{V}$ , there exists unique  $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}$  such that  $\langle \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{w} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \ \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{V}$ . Define the function  $H : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$  by  $\boldsymbol{w} = H(\boldsymbol{y})$ , such that  $\langle \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle' \triangleq \langle H(\boldsymbol{y}) | \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$ . By the properties of linearity,  $H(\boldsymbol{y})$  must be linear:

$$\langle H(c\boldsymbol{y})|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle = \langle c\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle' = \langle H(\boldsymbol{y})|\overline{c}\ \boldsymbol{x}\rangle = \langle cH(\boldsymbol{y})|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle\ \forall \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\ \therefore H(c\boldsymbol{y}) = cH(\boldsymbol{y})$$
$$\langle H(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{z})|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle' = \langle H(\boldsymbol{y})+H(\boldsymbol{z})|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle\ \therefore H(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{z}) = H(\boldsymbol{y})+H(\boldsymbol{z})$$
So that  $f(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle' = \langle H\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle.$ 

By the property of symmetry,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle' = \langle H(\boldsymbol{y})|(\boldsymbol{x})\rangle = \overline{\langle (\boldsymbol{x})|H(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle}, \text{ and}$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle' = \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle'} = \overline{\langle H(\boldsymbol{x})|(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle}$$

$$\therefore \langle H(\boldsymbol{x})|(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle = \langle (\boldsymbol{x})|H(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle$$
(7)

Equation (7) is true generally for any  $x, y \in V$ , which is only possible if  $H = H^*$ , and consequently H must be Hermitian.

Finally, to show that H(y) must be positive-definite, we see from condition (4) of definition (1.1) that

$$x \neq \vec{0} \longleftrightarrow \langle x | x \rangle' > 0$$

and hence

$$x \neq \vec{0} \longleftrightarrow \langle x|Hx \rangle > 0$$
 (8)

Therefore, every valid inner product  $\langle x|y\rangle'$  can be mapped to a Hermitian, positive definite linear operator such that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle' = \langle \boldsymbol{x}|H\boldsymbol{y}\rangle$$
 (9)

## References

Taylor, A. E. and Mann, W. R. (1983). Advanced Calculus. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 3rd edition.