
PO11Q – Introduction to Quantitative Political Analysis I

Bibliography



Dr Florian Linke
Florian.Linke@warwick.ac.uk

- Adcock, R., & Collier, D. (2001). Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*, 95(3), 529–546. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055401003100>
- Agresti, A. (2018). *Statistical Methods for the Social Sciences* (Fifth Edition). Harlow: Pearson.
- Ake, C. (1993). The Unique Case of African Democracy. *International Affairs*, 69(2), 239–244. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2621592>
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Rosenberg, A. (1981). *Hume and the Problem of Causation*. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2215266>
- Boix, C., & Stokes, S. (2003). Endogenous Democratization. *World Politics*, 55, 517–549. <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2003.0019>
- Brady, H. E. (2011). Causation and Explanation in Social Science. In R. Goodin (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Science*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199604456.013.0049>
- British Election Study. (2020). British Election Study. <https://www.britishelectionstudy.com/data/>
- Button, K. S., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Mokrysz, C., Nosek, B. A., Flint, J., Robinson, E. S. J., & Munafò, M. R. (2013). Power failure: Why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 14(5), 365–376. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3475>
- Cartwright, N. (1989). *Nature's Capacities and Their Measurement*. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198235070.001.0001>
- Clark, T., Foster, L., & Bryman, A. (2021). *Bryman's Social Research Methods* (Sixth Edition). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, J. (2013, May). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>
- Dasgupta, P. (1993). *An Inquiry Into Well-Being And Destitution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198288352.001.0001>
- de Vaus, D. (2002). *Analysing Social Science Data - 50 Key Problems in Data Analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Department for Science, Innovation and Technology. (2021). *Quantifying the uk data skills gap: Full report*. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/quantifying-the-uk-data-skills-gap/quantifying-the-uk-data-skills-gap-full-report#defining-data-skills>

- Dodd, V. (2023). Met police found to be institutionally racist, misogynistic and homophobic. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2023/mar/21/metropolitan-police-institutionally-racist-misogynistic-homophobic-louise-casey-report>
- Dorff, C. (2011). Replication data for: Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War by Fearon and Laitin. V2. <https://doi.org/10.2307/420301>
- Economist. (2020). Why Britain is more geographically unequal than any other rich country. - Other countries have poor bits. Britain has a poor half. *Economist*. <https://www.economist.com/britain/2020/07/30/why-britain-is-more-geographically-unequal-than-any-other-rich-country>
- Epstein, D. L., Bates, R., Goldstone, J., Kristensen, I., & O'Halloran, S. (2006). Democratic Transitions. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50(3), 551–569. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00201.x>
- European Commission. (n.d.). Eurostat – Your Key to European Statistics. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>
- Evans, R. (2019). Half of England is owned by less than 1% of the population. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/money/2019/apr/17/who-owns-england-thousand-secret-landowners-author>
- Fearon, J. D., & Laitin, D. D. (2003). Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War. *American Political Science Review*, 97(1), 75–90. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003055403000534>
- Flesch, R. (1948). A new readability yardstick. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 32(3), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0057532>
- Fogarty, B. J. (2023). *Quantitative Social Science Data With R* (Second Edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Frankfort-Nachmias, C., Nachmias, D., & DeWaard, J. (2008). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences* (Eighth Edition). New York: Worth Publishers.
- Gasking, D. (1955). Causation and recipes. *Mind*, 64, 479–487. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2251235>
- Glennan, S. S. (1996). Mechanisms and the nature of causation. *Erkenntnis*, 44, 49–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00172853>
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics* (Fifth International Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Guttman, L., & Levy, S. (1994). *Louis Guttman on theory and methodology. Selected writings*. Aldershot: Dartmouth (Dartmouth benchmark series).
- Harré, R., & Madden, E. H. (1975). *Causal Powers: A Theory of Natural Necessity*. Oxford: B. Blackwell.
- Hempel, C. G. (1965). *Aspects of Scientific Explanation*. New York: Free Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2106169>
- Home Office. (2018). *Life in the United Kingdom – A Guide for New Residents* (Third Edition). Norwich: TSO.
- Home Office Design System. (n.d.). *Readability — written content guidance*. <https://design.homeoffice.gov.uk/accessibility/written-content/readability>
- Huff, D. (1991). *How to Lie with Statistics*. Penguin.

- Hume, D. (1739). *A Treatise of Human Nature* (L. A. Selby-Bigge & P. H. Nidditch, Eds.). Oxford: Clarendon Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oseo/instance.00046221>
- Jerven, M. (2011). Users and Producers of African Income: Measuring the Progress of African Economies. *African Affairs*, 110(439), 169–190. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adq079>
- Kellstedt, P. M., & Whitten, G. D. (2018). *The Fundamentals of Political Science Research* (Third Edition). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108131704>
- Kincaid, J. P., Fishburne Jr., R. P., Rogers, R. L., & Chissom, B. S. (1975). *Derivation of New Readability Formulas (Automated Readability Index, Fog Count and Flesch Reading Ease Formula) for Navy Enlisted Personnel*. <https://doi.org/10.21236/ada006655>
- King, G. (1995). Replication, replication. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 28(3), 541–559. <https://doi.org/10.2307/420301>
- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t-tests and anovas. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863>
- Landman, T., & Carvalho, E. (2017). *Issues and Methods in Comparative Politics: An Introduction* (Fourth Edition). London: Routledge.
- Leipert, C. (1978). *Gesellschaftliche Berichterstattung. Eine Einfuehrung in Theorie und Praxis sozialer Indikatoren*. Berlin: Springer.
- Lewis, D. (1973a). Causation. *Journal of Philosophy*, 70, 556–567. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0195036468.003.0006>
- Lewis, D. (1973b). *Counterfactuals*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Lewis, D. (1986). *Philosophical Papers, Vol. ii*. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0195036468.001.0001>
- Linke, F. (forthcoming). *Introduction to Quantitative Methods in the Social Sciences*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Machamer, P., Darden, L., & Craver, C. F. (2000). Thinking about Mechanisms. *Philosophy of Science*, 67(1), 1–25. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/188611>
- Mahdawi, A. (2022, September). *Better than Bulgaria but not as nice as Cuba: how did the US become such an awful place to live?* <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2022/sep/21/us-un-living-standards-sustainable-development-goals>
- Marshall, M. G., & Gurr, T. R. (2020). Polity V Project: Political Regime Characteristics and Transitions, 1800–2018. <http://www.systemicpeace.org/inscrdata.html>
- Menzies, P., & Price, H. (1993). Causation as a secondary quality. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 44, 187–203. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjps/44.2.187>
- Mill, J. S. (1888). *A System of Logic, Ratiocinative and Inductive* (Eighth Edition). New York: Harper; Brothers. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003009450-5>
- Miller, M., Boix, C., & Rosato, S. (2022). *Boix-Miller-Rosato Dichotomous Coding of Democracy, 1800–2020*. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FENWWR>

- Munck, G. L., & Verkuilen, J. (2002). Conceptualizing and Measuring Democracy: Evaluating Alternative Indices. *Comparative Political Studies*, 35(5), 5–34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414002035001001>
- Oxford Learner's Dictionaries. (n.d.). <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/>
- Pearl, J. (2019). *The Book of Why: The New Science of Cause and Effect*. London: Penguin.
- Perkins, D. H., Radelet, S., Snodgrass, D. R., Gillis, M., & Roemer, M. (2001). *Economics of Development* (Fifth Edition). New York, NY: Norton.
- Przeworski, A., Alvarez, M. E., Cheibub, J. A., & Limongi, F. (2000). *Democracy and Development - Political Institutions and Well-Being in the World, 1950-1990*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804946>
- Rauh, C., & Schwalbach, J. (2020). *The ParlSpeech V2 data set: Full-text corpora of 6.3 million parliamentary speeches in the key legislative chambers of nine representative democracies*. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/L4OAKN>
- Readable.com. (n.d.). *Flesch–Kincaid Grade Level: What Is It and How Does It Work*. <https://readable.com/readability/flesch-reading-ease-flesch-kincaid-grade-level/>
- Republic of Kenya. (1968). *Economic Survey 1967*.
- Republic of Zambia. (n.d.). *National Accounts Statistics GDP Revision of Benchmark 1994 Estimates*. Lusaka: Central Statistical Office.
- Rich, R. C., Brians, C. L., Manheim, J. B., & Willnat, L. (2018). *Empirical Political Analysis: Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methods* (Ninth Edition). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315109664>
- Smith, M. (2019, August). *By 48% to 35% Britons would rather have No Deal and no Corbyn*. <https://yougov.co.uk/topics/politics/articles-reports/2019/08/17/48-35-britons-would-rather-have-no-deal-and-no-cor>
- Stimson, J. A. (n.d.). *Professional Writing in Political Science: A Highly opinionated Essay*. <http://stimson.web.unc.edu/files/2018/02/Writing.pdf>
- The World Bank. (n.d.). *World Development Indicators*. <https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/dataset/world-development-indicators>
- Tufte, E. R. (2001). *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information* (Second Edition). Cheshire, Conn: Graphics Press. <https://doi.org/10.1198/tech.2002.s78>
- tylervigen.com. (n.d.). *Spurious correlations*. <https://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations>
- UNODC. (2019). *Crime Data: Total Prison Population*. <https://dataunodc.un.org/crime/total-prison-population>
- Vanderbilt University Department of Biostatistics. (n.d.). *Vanderbilt Biostatistics Datasets*. <https://hbiostat.org/data/>
- von Wright, G. H. (1971). *Explanation and Understanding*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315823171>
- Walliman, N. (2020). *Our Research Project – Designing, Planning, and Getting Started* (Fourth Edition). London: Sage.

- Webb, R. (2023). 7.5: Interpreting a confidence interval. *LibreTexts – Statistics*. [https://stats.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Introductory_Statistics/Mostly_Harmless_Statistics_\(Webb\)/07%3A_Confidence_Intervals_for_One_Population/7.05%3A_Interpreting_a_Confidence_Interval](https://stats.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Introductory_Statistics/Mostly_Harmless_Statistics_(Webb)/07%3A_Confidence_Intervals_for_One_Population/7.05%3A_Interpreting_a_Confidence_Interval)
- Weber, M. (1906). *Selections in Translation* (W. G. Runciman, Ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1978)