The Resource Curse: Controlling for Health Outcomes

Your name here!*
January 26, 2018

For no justifiable theoretically reason whatsoever, this paper examines whether we find support for the resource curse persists in a cross-sectional analysis after we control for different measures of public health!

To test, we run a series of regressions, presented in Table ?? below.

^{*}University of Awesome

Table 1: Resource curse and public health

	Polity Score		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
natural_resources_pct_gdp	-0.153 (0.098)	-0.064 (0.106)	-0.046 (0.101)
gdp_per_cap	0.0001* (0.0001)	-0.00000 (0.0001)	-0.00003 (0.0001)
life_expectancy		0.383** (0.186)	
$under 5_mortality$			-0.113^{***} (0.035)
$maternal_death_risk$			
Constant	1.300 (1.839)	-24.307^* (12.555)	7.084*** (2.560)
Observations R ²	160 0.034	160 0.059	159 0.094
Adjusted R ² Residual Std. Error F Statistic	0.021 $14.955 \text{ (df} = 157)$ $2.728^* \text{ (df} = 2; 157)$	0.041 $14.802 (df = 156)$ $3.273^{**} (df = 3; 156)$	0.077 $14.564 (df = 155)$ $5.365^{***} (df = 3; 155)$

Note: p<0.