SAS Output for Problem 1

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.NEWPUB2			
Response Variable	pubs			
Number of Response Levels 3				
Model	cumulative logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring			

Number of Observations Read 915 Number of Observations Used 915

Response Profile			
Ordered Value	pubs	Total Frequency	
1	0	275	
2	1	246	
3	2	394	

Probabilities modeled are cumulated over the lower Ordered Values.

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Score Test for the Proportional Odds Assumption

Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq
5.7017 5 0.3363

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion	Intercept and Covariates		
AIC	1975.419	1908.383	
SC	1985.057	1942.115	
-2 Log L	1971.419	1894.383	

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion	Intercept and Covariates		
AIC	1975.419	1908.383	
SC	1985.057	1942.115	
-2 Log L	1971.419	1894.383	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq				
Likelihood Ratio	77.0364	5	<.0001	
Score	70.3467	5	<.0001	
Wald	63.6918	5	<.0001	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	0	1	-0.1593	0.2509	0.4033	0.5254
Intercept	1	1	1.0400	0.2532	16.8732	<.0001
fem		1	0.2138	0.1329	2.5851	0.1079
mar		1	-0.2998	0.1509	3.9481	0.0469
kid5		1	0.2518	0.0946	7.0803	0.0078
ment		1	-0.0572	0.00857	44.5885	<.0001
phd		1	-0.0885	0.0666	1.7676	0.1837

SAS Output for Problem 1 (continued)

Model Information			
Data Set WORK.NEWPU			
Response Variable	pubs		
Number of Response Levels 3			
Model	generalized logit		
Optimization Technique Newton-Raphs			

Number of Observations Read	915
Number of Observations Used	915

Response Profile			
Ordered Value	pubs	Total Frequency	
1	2	394	
2	1	246	
3	0	275	

Logits modeled use pubs=0 as the reference category.

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Intercept Only Covaria				
AIC	1975.419	1910.263		
SC	1985.057	1968.090		
-2 Log L	1971.419	1886.263		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio 85.1560 10 <.0001					
Score 72.2969 10 <.0001					
Wald	64.7448	10	<.0001		

Type 3 Analysis of Effects			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
fem	2	2.5280	0.2825
mar	2	4.3792	0.1120
kid5	2	7.5178	0.0233
ment	2	45.6700	<.0001
phd	2	3.1551	0.2065

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter	pubs	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq		
Intercept	2	1	-0.5792	0.3288	3.1027	0.0782		
Intercept	1	1	-0.2496	0.3498	0.5093	0.4755		
fem	2	1	-0.2633	0.1744	2.2781	0.1312		
fem	1	1	-0.2349	0.1896	1.5346	0.2154		
mar	2	1	0.4121	0.1982	4.3221	0.0376		
mar	1	1	0.2009	0.2153	0.8701	0.3509		
kid5	2	1	-0.3384	0.1238	7.4723	0.0063		
kid5	1	1	-0.2103	0.1325	2.5191	0.1125		
ment	2	1	0.0898	0.0135	44.1513	<.0001		
ment	1	1	0.0635	0.0144	19.5222	<.0001		
phd	2	1	0.0861	0.0875	0.9690	0.3249		
phd	1	1	-0.0666	0.0945	0.4963	0.4811		

SAS Output for Problem 4

(AG,AP,GP)

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information					
Data Set	WORK.JOBS				
Distribution	Poisson				
Link Function	Log				
Dependent Variable	count				

Number of Observations Read	12
Number of Observations Used	12

Class Level Information								
Class	Value Design Variable							
gender	fema	1						
	male	ile 0						
program	cosmetol	1	0					
	plumbing	1						
	welding	0						
accept	no	0						
	yes	1						

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates									
Parameter			DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept			1	5.8475	0.0533	5.7431	5.9519	12058.0	<.0001
gender	fema		1	-3.5816	0.1909	-3.9559	-3.2074	351.83	<.0001
program	cosmetol		1	0.5318	0.0669	0.4007	0.6630	63.17	<.0001
program	plumbing		1	0.3832	0.0686	0.2488	0.5177	31.20	<.0001
gender*program	fema	cosmetol	1	3.4318	0.1955	3.0487	3.8150	308.18	<.0001
gender*program	fema	plumbing	1	1.0856	0.1787	0.7354	1.4358	36.91	<.0001
accept	yes		1	0.5184	0.0669	0.3872	0.6495	60.04	<.0001
gender*accept	fema	yes	1	0.6614	0.1374	0.3921	0.9306	23.18	<.0001
program*accept	cosmetol	yes	1	-3.5482	0.1582	-3.8582	-3.2382	503.30	<.0001
program*accept	plumbing	yes	1	0.0065	0.0858	-0.1617	0.1747	0.01	0.9394
Scale			0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

(AGP)

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information						
Data Set	WORK.JOBS					
Distribution	Poisson					
Link Function	Log					
Dependent Variable	count					

Number of Observations Read	12
Number of Observations Used	12

Class Level Information							
Class	Value Design Variable		/ariables				
gender	fema	1					
	male	0					
program	cosmetol	1	0				
	plumbing	0	1				
	welding	relding 0					
accept	no	0					
	yes	1					

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates										
Parameter				DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept				1	5.8377	0.0540	5.7319	5.9436	11689.1	<.0001
gender	fema			1	-3.2728	0.2826	-3.8266	-2.7190	134.16	<.0001
program	cosmetol			1	0.5287	0.0681	0.3953	0.6622	60.33	<.0001
program	plumbing			1	0.4142	0.0696	0.2778	0.5506	35.43	<.0001
gender*program	fema	cosmetol		1	3.1505	0.2890	2.5841	3.7168	118.87	<.0001
gender*program	fema	plumbing		1	0.4549	0.3377	-0.2070	1.1167	1.81	0.1780
accept	yes			1	0.5339	0.0680	0.4006	0.6672	61.63	<.0001
gender*accept	fema	yes		1	0.2334	0.3424	-0.4378	0.9045	0.46	0.4955
program*accept	cosmetol	yes		1	-3.3168	0.1847	-3.6789	-2.9548	322.42	<.0001
program*accept	plumbing	yes		1	-0.0429	0.0879	-0.2152	0.1294	0.24	0.6256
gender*progra*accept	fema	cosmetol	yes	1	-0.0057	0.4168	-0.8226	0.8112	0.00	0.9891
gender*progra*accept	fema	plumbing	yes	1	0.8389	0.3992	0.0564	1.6213	4.42	0.0356
Scale				0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

SAS Output for Problem 5

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information						
Data Set	WORK.LABOR4					
Response Variable	у					
Number of Response Levels	2					
Model	binary logit					
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring					

Number of Observations Read	753
Number of Observations Used	753

Response Profile						
Ordered Value	у	Total Frequency				
1	1	428				
2	0	325				

Probability modeled is y=1.

Model Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Deviance and Pearson Goodness-of-Fit Statistics					
Criterion	Value	DF	Value/DF	Pr > ChiSq	
Deviance	770.1837	748	1.0297	0.2792	
Pearson	666.6324	748	0.8912	0.9849	

Number of unique profiles: 753

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates		
AIC	1031.746	780.184		
SC	1036.370	803.304		
-2 Log L	1029.746	770.184		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq			
Likelihood Ratio	259.5627	4	<.0001			
Score	142.3681	4	<.0001			
Wald	114.2239	4	<.0001			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	3.0346	0.5669	28.6491	<.0001	
k5	1	-1.5344	0.2190	49.0824	<.0001	
age	1	-0.0721	0.0126	32.6094	<.0001	
lwg1	1	2.1627	0.3007	51.7217	<.0001	
lwg2	1	4.5753	0.5495	69.3331	<.0001	