

# STAT 408/608 Homework 7 Solutions: Written Section

March 29, 2015

1. (a) In this case,  $X_2$  is perfectly explained by  $X_1$ . This means that the residuals of  $X_2$  regressed on  $X_1$  are all exactly 0. So, the plotted points in the added variable plot will all have horizontal axis value 0. This means the plot will be a straight vertical line of points scattered in the vertical direction.
- (b) In this case,  $Y$  is perfectly explained by  $X_1$ . This means that the residuals of  $Y$  regressed on  $X_1$  are all exactly 0. So, the plotted points in the added variable plot will all have vertical axis value 0. This means the plot will be a straight horizontal line of points scattered in the horizontal direction.
- (c) The plot will look like residual plot, scatter of data points. Since  $X_2$  is not explained by  $X_1$  at all, the residual of  $Y$  regressing on  $X_1$  is orthogonal to the residual of  $X_2$  regressing on  $X_1$ .

$$2. (a) \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}|x) = \sigma^2(x'x)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2/n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2/(1-\rho^2) & -\rho\sigma^2/(1-\rho^2) \\ 0 & -\rho\sigma^2/(1-\rho^2) & \sigma^2/(1-\rho^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

So,  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1|x) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2|x) = \sigma^2/(1-\rho^2)$   
 if  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1|x) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2|x) \rightarrow \sigma^2$   
 if  $|\rho| \rightarrow 1$ , then  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1|x) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2|x) \rightarrow \infty$

The  $|\rho| \rightarrow 1$ , the variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  become more correlated, which indicates both variable contain mostly the same information. This is multicollinearity problem which will lead to estimation of  $\beta$  being less accurate.

- (b) Because the mean of  $X$  is zero, so:

$$SXX = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum x_i^2 = 1$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{x_j}^2} = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)\frac{SXX}{n-1}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\rho^2}$$

which matches the VIF shown in page 203.

3. (a) The design matrix:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The vector  $(x_1 - \bar{x}_1) = (-1, -1, 0, 0, 1, 1)'$  is orthogonal to vector  $(x_2 - \bar{x}_2) = (-0.505, -0.505, -0.505, )'$ , because their dot product is 0.

$$VIF = \frac{1}{1 - r_{12}^2}, \text{ where } r_{12} = \text{corr}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, x_2)}{\sigma_{x_1} \sigma_{x_2}}$$

$$\text{cov}(x_1, x_2) = E[(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)] = E[(x_1 - 2)(x_2 - 0.5)] = 0, \text{ therefore, } VIF = 1$$

(b) The design matrix:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{cov}(x_1, x_2) = E[(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)] = 0.3, \sigma_{x_1} = 1.4088, \text{ and } \sigma_{x_2} = 0.5477, \text{ therefore, } VIF = \frac{1}{1 - 0.273} = 1.375$$

The variance inflation factor will be larger than part (a) because the two variables are now correlated.

(c) The variance inflation factors would all equal 1 if the predictors are all orthogonal to each other. In this case the  $R^2 = 0$  which will result in  $VIF = 1$ .