Work Toward Your Project — Milestone 3

Prompt-Engineer a Pre-Trained Model with Hugging Face Pipelines

Milestone focus

You will select a suitable Hugging Face pre-trained model for your task, build a pipeline, then prompt-engineer and evaluate zero-shot and few-shot prompts. You will compare against your Week-2 RNN baseline and include a brief prompt rationale as the interpretability artefact.

What you will deliver (end of Week 3)

• milestone3.ipynb containing: (a) model selection + pipeline, (b) zero-shot & few-shot prompts, (c) metrics vs RNN baseline, (d) latency & compliance checks, and (e) a short prompt rationale (interpretability artefact).

Step-by-step instructions

0) Setup (Colab)

```
!pip -q install --upgrade transformers datasets accelerate evaluate scikit-
learn pandas
import time, statistics, re, json, pandas as pd
from transformers import pipeline, set_seed
set_seed(42)
DEVICE = 0 if torch.cuda.is_available() else -1
```

1) Define task & metrics (reuse Week-1/2 choices)

State your task (e.g., sentiment classification, topic tagging, summary generation) and the metric you will report (e.g., Accuracy/F1 for classification; ROUGE for summarization). Keep the same dev/test split you used in earlier milestones so the comparison is fair.

2) Shortlist and pick a checkpoint (justify)

Make a 2-model shortlist, then pick **one** model, citing:

- **Domain fit** (e.g., Twitter-Roberta for tweets; FinBERT for finance).
- Latency/size constraints (DistilBERT/MiniLM for speed; larger for accuracy).
- License and sequence length needs if relevant.

Tip: "Understand \rightarrow encoder; Generate \rightarrow decoder; Transform \rightarrow encoder-decoder." Use this rule to match task to architecture.

3) Build a Hugging Face pipeline (task-appropriate)

A) Classification (single-label)

B) Zero-shot multi-label (if you have custom tags)

```
zero = pipeline("zero-shot-classification", model="facebook/bart-large-mnli",
device=DEVICE)
labels = ["refund request", "feature request", "bug report"]
zero("The app crashes when I upload a photo.", candidate_labels=labels,
multi_label=True)
```

(Use multi label=True and tune thresholds.)

C) Sequence-to-sequence (e.g., summarization/extraction)

```
summ = pipeline("summarization", model="sshleifer/distilbart-cnn-12-6",
device=DEVICE)
summ("Long paragraph ...", max_new_tokens=80, min_length=30, do_sample=False)
```

4) Design your prompts (zero-shot → few-shot)

You will craft prompts with the five blocks—persona, instruction, context, exemplars, output constraints—then iterate. Evaluate format compliance and quality; log latency and token use as part of your loop.

Zero-shot template (no exemplars)

```
[PERSONA] You are a senior NLP analyst for {domain}. [INSTRUCTION] Given ONE input text, classify it into {labels} and return a JSON object.
```

```
[CONTEXT] Definitions of labels: {brief, unambiguous}. If none apply, return
{"label":"none"}.
[OUTPUT CONSTRAINTS] Return ONLY valid JSON:
{"label":"<one_of_labels>","confidence":<0..1>}. No extra text.
[INPUT] {text}
```

Few-shot template (2–3 exemplars)

```
[PERSONA] You are a senior NLP analyst for {domain}.

[INSTRUCTION] Map the input to one label from {labels}. Return JSON only.

[CONTEXT] Short policy notes or tie-breakers.

[EXEMPLARS]

Input: "Delivery was early; setup was easy." →

{"label":"positive", "confidence":0.98}

Input: "Crashes on upload; support is unresponsive." →

{"label":"bug_report", "confidence":0.96}

[OUTPUT CONSTRAINTS] EXACT JSON schema: {"label":"...", "confidence":...}. No

prose.

[INPUT] {text}
```

5) Run inference utilities (latency)

```
def time_call(fn, *args, **kwargs):
    t0 = time.perf_counter(); out = fn(*args, **kwargs); t1 =
time.perf_counter()
    return out, t1 - t0
```

For decoder/seq2seq prompts (e.g., FLAN-T5 or BART), call your pipeline with the **full prompt string** and check JSON parse success to enforce **strict output formatting**.

6) Evaluate on your dev/test split

A) Classification metrics (Accuracy/F1) and compliance

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, f1_score

def evaluate_prompts(texts, labels, prompt_fn):
    preds, ok_flags, times = [], [], []
    for x in texts:
        y_raw, t = time_call(prompt_fn, x)
        preds.append(y_raw["label"] if isinstance(y_raw, dict) else y_raw)
        ok_flags.append(isinstance(y_raw, dict))
        times.append(t)

results = {
        "accuracy": accuracy_score(labels, preds),
        "f1_macro": f1_score(labels, preds, average="macro"),
        "json_ok_rate": sum(ok_flags)/len(ok_flags),
        "mean_s": statistics.mean(times),
        "p90_s": statistics.quantiles(times, n=10)[8],
```

```
}
return results
```

B) Summarization or other seq2seq — compute ROUGE (or BLEU) alongside JSON compliance if you enforce a schema.

Your evaluation cell should log format compliance, quality metric(s), and latency (mean & p90)—the exact checks recommended in the prompt-evaluation guide.

7) Compare to the Week-2 baseline

Produce a small table contrasting your **RNN baseline** vs **prompt-engineered transformer** on the same split. Include accuracy/F1 (or ROUGE) and one line on trade-offs (speed, stability).

8) Interpretability artefact — Prompt rationale (1-2 slides or 1 cell)

Briefly label how your prompt uses **persona**, **instruction**, **context**, **exemplars**, **output constraints**, and show one case where **few-shot** corrected a zero-shot error (e.g., fixed formatting, better label choice).

Final cell (copy these headings into your notebook)

Milestone 3 — Results & Reflection (≈200–250 words)

- Model choice & rationale: why this checkpoint (domain, size/latency, license).
- **Zero-shot** → **Few-shot deltas:** metric change, JSON compliance, latency notes.
- **Baseline comparison:** what improved vs RNN and by how much.
- **Next iteration:** one concrete prompt tweak you'd test next (add rule, refine exemplar, adjust decoding).

Practical tips

- Start with **small instruction-tuned** models (e.g., FLAN-T5-small) for fast iteration; switch to domain-specific encoders if your task is pure classification.
- Keep prompts strict about output format and validate with json.loads; iterate: Prompt

 → Score → Modify one element → Re-score.

By completing this milestone you will have a prompt-engineered transformer baseline that is evaluated rigorously and explained clearly—exactly what Milestone 3 asks for.