

# An Ecosystem of Open Source Tools for Evidence Synthesis in R

This manuscript ([permalink](#)) was automatically generated from [DrMattG/ESmanubot@2b5e548](#) on May 27, 2022.

## Authors

---

- **Neal Haddaway**

 [0000-0003-3902-2234](#) ·  [nealhaddaway](#) ·  [nealhaddaway](#)

Leibniz-Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Müncheberg, Germany; African Centre for Evidence, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa · Funded by Grant XXXXXXXX

- **Matthew Grainger**

 [0000-0001-8426-6495](#) ·  [DrMattG](#)

Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Trondheim, Norway

## Abstract

---

# Evidence synthesis

Evidence synthesis (e.g., systematic review, systematic maps, meta-analysis) is rapidly becoming a mainstream set of scientific methods with particular relevance for policy and decision makers. Estimates from 2014 suggest that on MEDLINE alone there were 8000 systematic reviews indexed annually, this represented a three-fold increase over the previous decade at the time ([doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002028](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002028)).

## Objectives - introduce ecosystem of evidence synthesis packages and to highlight benefit of meta-package of packages in R for evidence synthesis (namely, rmetaverse)

---

- Host suite of packages for FOSS evidence synthesis conduct
- Focus on gap filling for needs-based tool design
- Compartmentalise tasks - costs are lower, more choices, costs of package breaking lower, risks of lost effort lower
- Interoperability for transparency, Open data, removing lock-in

## Early history of evidence synthesis packages in R

---

- Matt's work on dependencies and earliest packages
- Build on CJ Lortie's work

## Beyond meta-analysis

---

- metagear
- revtools
- litsearchr

## Community of practice

---

- ESH
- ESMARConf

## User Interfaces and R (how it works)

---

## Why meta-packages are useful (pipeline)

---

## **Examples of non-synthesis packages relevant to synthesis**

---

**rmetaverse history (started on CRAN, required package developer buy-in)**

---

**BUT rapid development of field means GitHub versions are more up-to-date and comprehensive**

---

SO our approach is GitHub collections (somewhat more like a task view but for a pipeline of packages)

## **Current assessment (lucid chart)**

---

## **Future vision for rmetaverse**

---

- How it would work
- How we can use it to fill gaps
- Interoperability - important section
- Policies etc.

## References

---