Table 1. The research process stages, examples of potential research waste and how ecology and conservation can limit these.

| Research Stage | Examples of potential for research waste | Where ecology and conservation can reduce waste |
|--|--|--|
| Questions relevant to stakeholders | Irrelevant questions asked | Co-development of research questions with stakeholders |
| | Previous knowledge not properly taken into account | Make use of evidence synthesis methods (e.g. cumulative meta-analysis, systematic mapping, systematic reviews, meta-analysis) to identify questions that are not satisfactory answered |
| Appropriate design and methods | Study poorly designed, under-powered (or over-powered. etc.) | Use simulations or power-analysis prior to undertaking data collection. Predefine effect size of interest with stakeholders (i.e do not rely on rules of thumb for "statistical significance") |
| | | Better training of early-career researchers in methods. Open code and data to ensure reproducibility of methods |
| | Using inappropriate statistical tools (including overfitting etc.) | Make use of evidence synthesis methods (e.g. cumulative meta-analysis, systematic mapping, systematic reviews, meta-analysis) to identify questions that are not satisfactory answered |
| | Previous knowledge not taken into account | Open science (open methods and data, reproducible methods, sharing code, etc.) Better training of early-career researchers in methods of open science and evidence synthesis. |
| | | |
| | Questionable research practices lead to poor quality research | |
| Accessible full publication | Publications not available to practitioners and decision makers | Open access publishing |
| Unbiased reporting | Lack of open data | Open science (open methods and data, reproducible methods, sharing code, etc.) |
| | | Pre-registration of hypotheses |

| | Hypothesising after the results are known | Open science (open methods and data, reproducible methods, sharing code, etc.) |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| | <i>p</i> -hacking | Pre-registration of hypotheses and methods. Open publishing (including preprints) |
| | File Drawer syndrome (only some studies are published) | |
| Evidence synthesis | Research not designed or presented in the context of the existing knowledge | Using systematic reviews, systematic maps, meta-analysis, etc. to shape research priorities. Research gluts should be synthesised providing evidence to relevant stakeholders. Research gaps should be the focus of primary studies. |