

4. $A \cap B = \emptyset$ Premise
- ② $D = C \cap A$, $E = C \cap B$ Premise
- ③ $\forall x (x \in D \leftrightarrow (x \in C \wedge x \in A))$ biconditional implication ②
- ④ $\forall x (x \in E \leftrightarrow (x \in C \wedge x \in B))$ biconditional implication ②
- ⑤ $\exists x (x \in D \wedge x \in E)$ hypothesis introduction
- ⑥ $x \in D \wedge x \in E$ instantiation
- ⑦ $(x \in C \wedge x \in A) \wedge (x \in C \wedge x \in B)$ instantiation
- ⑧ $(x \in A \wedge x \in B) \wedge (x \in C \wedge x \in C)$ associative laws on sets.
- ⑨ $(x \in A \wedge x \in B)$ hypothesis elimination, idempotent law
- ⑩ $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ False, def of sets, hypothesis elimination
- ⑪ $(\emptyset \neq D \wedge \emptyset \neq E)$

5. d) $B(x) = x \text{ has permission slip}$

$P(x) = x \text{ goes to field trip.}$

$$\forall x (B(x) \rightarrow P(x))$$

$$\forall x B(x)$$

$$\therefore \forall x B(x) \wedge \forall x P(x)$$

Proof

1. $\forall x B(x)$ Hypothesis

2. $B(c)$ Universal instantiation, 2

3. $\forall x (B(x) \rightarrow P(x))$ hyp.

4. $\forall x (\neg B(x) \vee P(x))$ Conditional identity 3

5. $\neg B(c) \vee P(c)$ Universal instantiation 4

6. $P(c)$ Disjunctive syllogism 5, 2

7. $\forall x P(x)$ Universal Generalization

e) $U(x)$ student at university

$B(x)$ taking Boolean logic

$A(x)$ can take algorithms

$$U(\text{Larry})$$

$$U(\text{Hubert})$$

$$B(\text{Larry}) \wedge B(\text{Hubert})$$

$$\forall x (B(x) \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\therefore A(\text{Larry}) \wedge A(\text{Hubert}) \quad \square$$

Proof

1. $U(\text{Larry})$, $U(\text{Hubert})$, $B(\text{Larry}) \wedge B(\text{Hubert})$ Hypothesis

2. $B(\text{Larry})$, $B(\text{Hubert})$ Simplification ①

3. $\forall x (B(x) \rightarrow A(x))$ Hypothesis

4. $B(\text{Larry}) \rightarrow A(\text{Larry})$ Universal instantiation 6, 1

5. $A(\text{Larry})$ Modus Ponens 4, 1

6. $B(\text{Hubert}) \rightarrow A(\text{Hubert})$ Universal instantiation 6, 2

7. $A(\text{Hubert})$ Modus Ponens 9, 5

8. $A(\text{Larry}) \wedge A(\text{Hubert})$ 8, 10 Conjunction

4) b) $\exists x \forall y (\neg P(x, y) \vee \neg Q(x, y))$

c) $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \neg Q(x, y))$

d) $\exists x \forall y (P(x, y) \leftrightarrow P(y, x))$

$$\neg \exists x \forall y ((\neg P(x, y) \vee P(y, x)) \wedge (\neg P(y, x) \vee P(x, y)))$$

$$\forall x \exists y ((\neg (\neg P(x, y) \vee P(y, x)) \vee \neg (\neg P(y, x) \vee P(x, y)))$$

$$\forall x \exists y ((P(x, y) \wedge \neg P(y, x)) \vee (P(y, x) \wedge \neg P(x, y)))$$

5) f) $\neg (\exists x \forall y (x < y))$

g) $\forall x ((x \neq 0) \rightarrow (\exists y (xy = 1)))$

h) $\forall x ((x \neq 0) \rightarrow (\exists ! y (xy = 1)))$

6. f. $\forall x B(\text{Josephine}, x)$

g) ~~$\exists x \forall y (B(\text{Nancy}, x) \wedge (x \neq y) \rightarrow \neg B(\text{Nancy}, y))$~~

h) $\exists x \exists y (\forall z (\neg B(z, x) \wedge \neg B(z, y))$

2. Def of subset $X \subseteq Y = \forall b \in X \forall b \in Y$

① $B \subseteq A$ is $\forall b \in B, b \in A$. ①

② $\forall a (A, Q(a))$ is true. ②

③ $\forall b \in B, Q(b)$ is true. ③

④ $C \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in C, b \in B$.

⑤ $\forall c \in C, Q(c)$ is true ④, ⑤

$B \subseteq A \wedge C \subseteq B \rightarrow C \subseteq B \subseteq A$

$\forall c \in C, c \in B, c \in A$. def of subset

$\forall c \in C, Q(c)$ holds.

~~3. $\forall y \forall x \exists y \exists x f(x) = y$~~

~~① $\forall y \in A \exists x \in B f(x) = y$~~

~~③ $\forall y \in B \exists x \in C f(x) = y$~~

~~⑥ $f(b) = c, f(a) = b$~~

~~⑦ $f(f(b)) = f(b) = c$~~

① $f(x)$ is surjective, $f(x)$ is injective.

② $\forall x \in A \forall y \forall x (y \in A \rightarrow f(x))$ domain restriction

3. ① $f(x)$ is surjective, $g(x)$ is surjective

- ② $\forall x \in A \ P(x) \quad \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow P(x))$ rewriting domain restriction
- ③ $\forall y \in Y \ \exists x \in X, f(x) = y \rightarrow$ def of surjection
- ④ $\forall y \in A \ \exists x \in B, f(x) = y, A \rightarrow B$ def. ③,
- ⑤ $\forall y \in B \ \exists x \in C, f(x) = y, B \rightarrow C$ def ③
- ⑥ $\exists a \in A \ \exists b \in B, f(a) = b$ existential instantiation ④
- ⑦ $\exists b \in B \ \exists c \in C, g(b) = c$ existential instantiation ⑤
- ⑧ $g(f(a)) = c$ generalization
- ⑨ $\forall c \in C \ \exists a \in A, h(a) = c$ def of surjection
- ⑩ $h: A \rightarrow C$ is onto/surjective conclusion

Problem Set 6

1. f) $\forall x ((\neg W(x)) \rightarrow (S(x) \vee V(x)))$
- g) $\exists x ((\neg W(x)) \rightarrow \neg(S(x) \vee V(x)))$
- h) $\forall x ((\neg W(x)) \rightarrow (S(x) \wedge V(x)))$
- i) $\exists x (S(x) \wedge W(x))$
- j) $\exists x ((x \neq \text{Ingrid}) \wedge (S(x)))$
- k) $\forall x ((x \neq \text{Ingrid}) \wedge (S(x)))$
2. e) ~~Proposition~~ Proposition. All values are not true (False)
according to the table. For all x , x had migraines if and only if x had fainting spells
- f) ~~Proposition~~ Proposition. Values are not true according to the table (TRUE)
- g) For all x , x had migraines and x had fainting spells if x wasn't given medication
- h) Proposition. For some x , it is true. For some x , x was given the (true) medication and x had no fainting spells and x had no migraines
- i) Proposition. Values are not true according to the table. For (False)
all x , x was given the medication if x had fainting spells or x had migraines
- 3 d) i) $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow M(x))$
- ii) Negation: $\neg \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow M(x)) \rightarrow \exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg M(x))$ ①
- iii) De Morgan's: $\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg M(x))$ ②
- Some patient who took the placebo didn't have migraines
- e) $\exists x (M(x) \wedge P(x))$
- ② $\neg \exists x (M(x) \wedge P(x))$ Negation 1
- ③ $\forall x (\neg M(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ Simplification
- For each patient, they did not receive medication or the placebo.

Valid Conclusion

Proof

1. $\forall x ((M(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow \neg (A(x)))$ Hypothesis
2. Penelope is a particular student Hypothesis
3. $(M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope})) \rightarrow \neg (A(\text{Penelope}))$ Universal Instantiation
4. $\neg (M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope})) \vee \neg (\neg (A(\text{Penelope})))$ cond. / identity 3
5. $A(\text{Penelope})$ Hypothesis
6. $\neg (M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope}))$ Disjunctive Syllogism
7. $\neg M(\text{Penelope}) \wedge \neg D(\text{Penelope})$ DeMorgan's, 6
8. $\neg D(\text{Penelope})$ Simplification 7.

PR5

7.6.2b) It is not valid because even if she received a prize, she could have won it in another competition. This does not imply that she sold 50 cookies.

3b) $\frac{\exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)}{Q(a) \wedge P(a)}$

	P	Q
a	F	F
b	F	T

$(P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is True

$$Q(a) = F$$

$$\exists x (\neg Q(x))$$

There doesn't exist an x such that $P(x)$ is True, so

$\exists x P(x)$ doesn't hold for the given hypothesis/inputs, so the conclusion is False.

5d) $D(x)$: has detention

$M(x)$: missed class

$$\forall x (M(x) \rightarrow D(x))$$

Penelope is a particular student

$$\neg M(\text{Penelope})$$

$$\neg D(\text{Penelope})$$

Not valid due to other ways of receiving detention like pushing your teacher, ~~but~~ could still hold. The hypothesis could still hold true, but it can't be used to imply the conclusion.

5e) $M(x)$: missed class

$D(x)$: received detention

$A(x)$: received an A

$$\forall x ((M(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow \neg (A(x)))$$

Penelope is a particular student

$$\neg A(\text{Penelope})$$

$$\neg D(\text{Penelope})$$