

2a) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow i)$ and $(p \wedge r) \rightarrow i$ not logically equivalent

$p \rightarrow q$	$r \rightarrow i$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow i)$
T	T	T
F	F	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
T	T	T
F	F	F
T	F	F
T	T	T
F	T	F
T	T	T

(Part) and
Eg

2a)

p	q	r	$R((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow i))$
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F

These two are not equivalent because any falses in q lead to a false in $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow i))$, but if they are both false for $((p \wedge r) \rightarrow i)$, then it may be true.

a) If $(L_1 \wedge L_2)$ is true, then the treasure is in 2nd path, but this means $(L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)$ also true because of de Morgan's law. A $V T = T$, But the seller only said one is true, so $(L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)$ is true.

b) $L_1 \wedge T_2$ is true and $(L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)$ is false

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (L_2 \wedge T_2) \wedge \neg((L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)) \\
 &= (L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge \neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge \neg(L_2 \wedge T_1) \quad \text{De Morgan's Law } \neg(A \vee B) = \neg A \wedge \neg B \\
 &= (L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge \neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge \neg(L_2 \wedge T_1) \quad \text{Associative Law} \\
 &= F \wedge \neg(L_2 \wedge T_1) \quad A \wedge \neg A = F \\
 &= \bar{F}
 \end{aligned}$$

$(L_1 \wedge T_2)$ is false and $(L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)$ is true (check)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge (L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1) \text{ nonfalse distributive law} \\
 & (\neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge (L_1 \wedge T_2)) \vee (\neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge (L_2 \wedge T_1)) \\
 & F \vee (\neg(L_1 \wedge T_2) \wedge (L_2 \wedge T_1)) \quad F \vee A = A \\
 & +^A (L_2 \wedge T_1) \quad +^A A = A
 \end{aligned}$$

$L_2 \wedge T_1$
 $(L_1 \wedge T_2)$ is False and $(L_1 \wedge T_2) \vee (L_2 \wedge T_1)$ is true, $L_2 \wedge T_1$ is true

The lying inscription is true, and the other is false, contradiction.

c) It does makes $L_2 \wedge T_1$ is true so the first path has the treasure and the second one makes you lost forever
 proof: part b.

d) It is a correct assumption because both of the paths do not intersect.
 it can not coincide. Either they go down path 1 for treasure or you go down path two to be lost forever.

$$a) P \oplus q \equiv (P \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg P \wedge q)$$

P	q	$P \oplus q$	$(P \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg P \wedge q)$	$\neg P \wedge q$	$\neg(\neg P \wedge q)$
F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F
T	T	F	F	F	F

P	q	$P \wedge q$	$\neg P \vee \neg q$	$\neg(\neg P \vee \neg q)$
F	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	F
T	T	T	F	T

$$\neg(\neg P \vee \neg q) \equiv P \wedge q$$

$P \wedge q$	$P \rightarrow \neg q$	$\neg(P \rightarrow \neg q)$
F	T	F
F	T	F
F	T	F
T	F	T

$$\neg(P \rightarrow \neg q) \equiv P \wedge q$$

d) Since we can keep reordering $P \wedge q$ with 2 operators, there's 4 operators, so my assumption is $4!$, or 24 ways.

P	q	$P \text{ nand } q$	$\overline{P \cdot q}$
F	F	T	
T	F	T	
F	T	T	
T	T	F	

P	q	$P \vee q$	$\neg \neg P$	P	$\neg P$
F	F	F		F	T
F	T	T		T	F
T	F	T			
T	T	T			

Nand is equivalent of adding a not top $\neg q$, which we have proved can be used with two expressions to become equivalent to it.

5

P

you study computer science

q

you'll be smart

r

you'll be happy

Proof:

$$P \leq q \text{ and } P \leq r$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(P \wedge q) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg r) \text{ conditional identity} \\ & (\neg P \text{ or } (\neg q \wedge \neg r)) \text{ distributive law} \\ & P \leq (\neg q \wedge \neg r) \text{ conditional probability} \end{aligned}$$