

4c. iff  $x^2 + 2x - 3 < 0$  then  $-3 < x < 1$

$x=0$ :  $0^2 + 0 - 3 < 0$  then  $-3 < 0 < 1$  ✓

$x>0$ :  $-5^2 + 2(-5) - 3 < 0 \Rightarrow 12 < 0$  (False)  $-3 < -5 < 0$  (False) ✓

$x<0$ :  $3^2 + 2(3) - 3 < 0$   $12 < 0$  (False)  $-3 < 3 < 1$  (Also False) ✓

$x \geq 0$ :  $1^2 + 2 - 3 = 0 < 0$  (False)  $-3 < 1 < 1$  (also False) ✓

Valid Proof with Proof by Cases

4d.  $x^2 - 3x - 10 < 0$ , then  $-2 < x < 5$

$x < 0$ :  $(-1)^2 - 3(-1) - 10 < 0 \Rightarrow -6 < 0$   $-2 < -1 < 5$  ✓

$x=0$ :  $0^2 - 3(0) - 10 < 0 \Rightarrow -10 < 0$   $-2 < 0 < 5$  ✓

$x > 0$ :  $3^2 - 3(3) - 10 < 0 \Rightarrow -10 < 0$   $-2 < 3 < 5$  ✓

Valid Proof with Proof by Cases.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{b_1}{a} = ? \quad \text{Remainder } r_1 \quad \frac{b_2}{a} = ? \quad \text{Remainder } r_2 \quad \left| \quad \frac{b_1 + b_2}{a} = ? \quad \text{remainder } r_1 + r_2 \text{ or } r_1 + r_2 - a$$

Let  $r_1$  be the remainder of  $b_1$  when divided by  $a$ , meaning  $0 \leq r_1 < a$  and there exists a  $q_1$  such that  $b_1 = aq_1 + r_1$

Let  $r_2$  be the remainder of  $b_2$  when divided by  $a$ , meaning  $0 \leq r_2 < a$  and there exists a  $q_2$  such that  $b_2 = aq_2 + r_2$ .

So that means  $b_1 + b_2 = (aq_1 + r_1) + (aq_2 + r_2)$ ,

by using commutative laws  $b_1 + b_2 = (aq_1 + aq_2) + (r_1 + r_2)$

factoring  $a$  out gives us  $b_1 + b_2 = a(q_1 + q_2) + (r_1 + r_2)$

$q_1$  and  $q_2$  are both quotients, so  $b_1 + b_2 = aq + (r_1 + r_2)$  fits the definition of a remainder

$\textcircled{3}$  a) For every pair of integers  $a, b$  and  $b \neq 0$ ,  $a = q_1 b + r_1$  and  $a = q_2 b + r_2$

$$(a = q_2 b + r_2) - (a = q_1 b + r_1) = (q_2 - q_1)b + (r_2 - r_1) = 0$$

The remainder after mod  $b$  is  $(r_1 - r_2) = 0 \pmod{b}$ , which gives us an integer

$k$  where  $bk = r_1 - r_2$ .  $0 \leq r_1 < |b|$  and  $0 \leq r_2 < |b|$ , where  $0 \leq r_1 - r_2 < |b|$ .

$r_1 - r_2$  is shown to be less than  $|b|$ , so  $bk$  must also be less than  $|b|$ .

The only possible integer for  $k$  is  $0$ . So,  $r_1 - r_2 = 0$ , there is at most one remainder

Since the set of integers of progression  $b - qa$  for  $q = 1, 2, \dots$  has a least element  $r$ ,

there is a least element remainder.

Therefore it is unique since there is both at most one remainder and at least one remainder.

①  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$  Premise

② ~~Premise is true~~ and Prove that  $P(k-1)$  is ~~also~~ true,  $\forall n \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \sum_{i=0}^k$

③  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^{n+1} - 1$

④  ~~$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^k + 2^k$~~

④  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{n+1} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{k+1} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^k - 1$

⑤  $2^k - 1 = 2^{(k-1)+1} - 1$ , contradiction is true.

⑥ By having a smaller number outside the well ordering principle be true, having the equation not true for all numbers satisfies the contradiction.



b) In any non-empty set, there must be a least element.

ex. set  $x: 1, 2, 10, 8, -1, \dots$   $-1$  is the least.

This least element would have to range from 0 to  $b$ , if it wasn't if you subtract  $b$ , it would be smaller than the original and replace the smallest number. So, there is at least one remainder after the division of  $b$  by  $a$ .

c) Since  $r \in S$  by definition there exists  $q$  such that  $r = b - aq$ . Let  $r \geq a$  then  $r - a \geq 0$ , so  $b - (q+1)a \geq 0$ . This would be in set  $S$ .  $b - (q+1)a \in S$ , but  $b - (q+1)a = r - a < r$  contradicts that fact which makes  $r$  not the smallest number. So with proof by contradiction  $b = aq + r$  where  $0 \leq r < a$ .

d) If there are two remainders  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ,  $b = aq_1 + r_1$  and  $b = aq_2 + r_2$ . Set them equal in respects to  $b$ ,  $aq_1 + r_1 = aq_2 + r_2$ . The quotients would be the same, leaving us with  $r_1 = r_2$ . The division of  $b$  by  $a$  leads to the same remainder.

④ a) For any  $c$ ,  $\lceil c \rceil$  is unique.

For any  $c$ ,  $\lceil c \rceil$  is not unique

~~①  $0 \leq z_1 + z_2 < 1$~~

~~②  $c_1 + c_2 = \lceil c_1 \rceil - z_1 + \lceil c_2 \rceil - z_2$~~

~~③  $c_1 + c_2 = \lceil c_1 \rceil + \lceil c_2 \rceil - (z_1 + z_2)$~~

~~④  $z_1 + z_2$~~

①  $d_1 \neq d_2$ ,  $\lceil c \rceil = d_1$ ,  $\lceil c \rceil = d_2$

~~②  $d_1 - d_2 = z_1 - z_2 = 0$~~

②  $c = d_1 - d_2$  and  $c = z_1 - z_2$ ,  $0 \leq z_1, z_2 < 1$

③  $d_1 - d_2 = z_1 - z_2 \geq 0$

④  $|d_1 - z_1| < 1$

⑤  $d_1$  and  $z_1$  are two integers where  $|d_1 - z_1|$  is not ~~subtraction~~ distanceable and must be greater than, which is a contradiction. ~~Then~~  $\lceil c \rceil$  is unique.

4b.  $\forall a, b: \lceil a+b \rceil$  is equal to  $\lceil a \rceil + \lceil b \rceil$  or  $\lceil a \rceil + \lceil b \rceil - 1$

① if  $\lceil a+b \rceil = d$ , then  $\lceil a+b \rceil = d - z$ ,  $0 \leq z < 1$

②  $\lceil a \rceil = d - z_1$ ,  $0 \leq z_1 < 1$

③  $\lceil b \rceil = d - z_2$ ,  $0 \leq z_2 < 1$

④  $\lceil a \rceil + \lceil b \rceil = \lceil a \rceil - z_1 + \lceil b \rceil - z_2$ ,  $0 \leq z_1 + z_2 < 1$

⑤  $(z_1 + z_2)$  would have to equal zero, ④, inference

⑥  $\lceil a+b \rceil$  is equal to  $\lceil a \rceil + \lceil b \rceil$

⑦  ~~$n=0$~~   $2^0 = 2$   ~~$1$~~  is true.

~~$S(k)$~~

~~$$S(k) \rightarrow S(k+1) \quad S(k) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i = 2^{k+1} - 1$$~~

~~$$S(k+1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} 2^i = 2^{k+2} - 1$$~~

~~$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} 2^i = \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i + 2^{k+1}$$~~

~~$$= 2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1 = 2^{k+2} - 1$$~~

Plug in 1 for k

~~$$2^{1-2} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2}$$~~



# ⑦ Gordon Ng CS131 H.W

1a. The theorem you are trying to prove is only proven for  $m=7$  and  $n=9$ , and not all other integers. Skips essential steps

1b. This is a valid proof, it should clarify if  $n$  or  $m$  is greater, equal or less than 0. ~~Proof and road to open~~ Skips steps

1c. This uses the variables odd equals to  $2k+1$  but never uses it in the proof. Invalid Reasoning

1d. This skips the step of distribution of  $(2k+1)^2 + (2l+1)^2$ , it is unclear if it is actually  $2 \times$  an integer. Skips steps

1e. This plays in one variable in the place of two, only tests for same <sup>two</sup> odd number variables. Invalid reasoning

2a. Even numbers =  $2k$ .  $x+y = 2k + 2m$

$2(n+m)$  is also an even integer as  $n+m$  is an integer and it is  $2 \times$  it.

This Theorem is true.

2b. False  $3+5=8$ , 3 and 5 are not even.

2i. A perfect square is defined as  $n^2$ ,  $x \cdot y$  would be  $n^2 m^2$

~~$n^2 m^2$  is a perfect square~~ False 16 is a perfect square but  $2 \cdot 8$ , both are not perfect squares.

2k. If you have 5 and 4 as  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x \cdot y = 20$ . Twenty is divisible by 10, but you do not get an integer when  $5/10$  or  $4/10$ .

2l. Since  $y$  is a divisor for  $x$ , then,  $y = kx$  for some integer  $k$ .

$xy$  would be  $y = jx$  for some integer  $j$ . This is true as there is a  $j$  from when you move  $x$  to get  $y = \frac{k}{j}x$ . True.

3a. Iff  $n^3$  is even,  $n$  is even. Iff  $n^3$  is odd,  $n$  is odd.

~~3a~~ Since  $n$  is odd, then  $n=2k+1$

$$(2k+1)^3 = 8k^3 + 12k^2 + 6k + 1 = 2(4k^3 + 6k^2 + 3k) + 1$$

$4k^3 + 6k^2 + 3k$  is also an integer

$n^3$  is odd, proof by contrapositive.

3b.  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is rational, so  $\sqrt[3]{2} = \frac{p}{q}$   $p^3 = 2q^3$  and  $(p,q)=1$

Since  $p^3$  is even  $p=2m$

$$2q^3 = (2m)^3 = 8m^3$$

$$q^3 = 4m^3, q^3 \text{ is even and } q \text{ is even}$$

then,  $(p,q) \neq 1$  as  $p, q$  are both even, which contradicts  $(p,q)=1$

So,  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is not rational.