Erlang – concurrency

Paulo Sérgio Almeida

Grupo de Sistemas Distribuídos Departamento de Informática Universidade do Minho



Process creation

- An Erlang process is a self-contained entity; own heap and stack;
- Processes do not share memory;
- All comunication through message passing;
- Processes created by spawn:
 - passing module, function and list of arguments:

```
Pid = spawn (Module, Function, Args)
```

passing a closure:

```
F = fun() -> ... end,
Pid = spawn(F)
```

• Process terminates when function returns; return value ignored;



Message sending

- Erlang follows the Actor model:
 - each process has a mailbox message queue;
 - messages are sent specifying destination process no other concept, such as channel, is used.
- Message sent is performed with the CSP inspired syntax:

Pid ! Msg

- Pid is the identifier of the destination process;
- Msg is an arbitrary Erlang term (including closures);
- Sending is asynchronous and does not return errors:
 - does not wait for message to reach destination or be delivered;
 - if the destination process has already terminated the message is lost, but the sender is not notified.



Message receiving

- Messages are kept in the mailbox by arrival order;
- A message may be removed from the mailbox with:

```
receive
  Pattern1 [when GuardSeq1] ->
    Seq1;
  ...
  PatternN [when GuardSeqN] ->
    SeqN
end
```

- Pattern matching is used:
 - the first message from the mailbox that matches any pattern (whose optional guard succeeds) is removed;
 - in such case, the corresponding sequence is executed;
 - otherwise, the process blocks until such message arrives;



Order in the mailbox and guard order

- The choice of which message from the mailbox is selected:
 - first considers the order of messages in the mailbox;
 - for each message, the order of guards is then used;
- Example:
 - if mailbox contains messages:

```
[msg1, msg2, msg4]
and we execute:
receive
  msg4 ->
    ...
  msg2 ->
   ...
end
```

the message selected is msg2, even though it is the last case;

 Conclusion: the order of patterns cannot be used to implement a message priority scheme;

Waiting for messages from a given process

- There is no special mechanism to send replies or choose the origin of a message;
- Pattern matching can be used, together with sending pids in messages;
- A process can send its own pid in a message:

```
Pid ! {self(), ... } % self() - current process pid
```

 Another process can decide to wait for a message from that process, using a variable with that process pid in a receive:

```
From = ...
receive
  {From, Msg} ->
    doSomething(Msg)
end
```



Timeouts

A timeout can be used in a receive:

```
receive
...
after TimeoutExpr ->
   Seq
end
```

- The timeout value is in miliseconds;
- If no message is selected, after this time Seq is executed;
- There are two special cases for the timeout value:
 - infinity means wait forever;
 - 0 means imediate return if no message in the mailbox is selected;



Some uses for timeouts

• A sleep function, to block the current process T miliseconds:

```
sleep(T) ->
  receive
  after T ->
     true
end.
```

• A function to empty the mailbox, discarding messages:



Uses for timeouts – a simple message priority scheme

- Suppose when want to treat different kinds of messages in the mailbox with different priority;
- To give more priority to msg1, then msg2, ...:

```
receive
   msg1 ->
        Seq1
   after 0 ->
        receive
        msg2 ->
        Seq2
        after 0 ->
        ...
   end
end
```



Registered processes

- Many times it can be convenient to be able to contact some process without having to know its pid;
- E.g., having some main singleton processes of an application, without having to be forced to disseminate their pids everywhere;
- Erlang has, in each node, a simple name service that maps names (atoms) to pids;
- It can be used with:
 - register (Name, Pid) associates the atom Name to Pid;
 - unregister (Name) removes the association;
 - whereis (Name) returns corresponding pid or undefined;
 - registered() returns list of registered names;
- Registered names can be used in the send primitive:

```
Pid = spawn(...),
register(server, Pid),
Msg = ...,
server ! Msg
```



Example: counter

- Implementing a counter abstraction, managed by a process;
- This process should handle two kinds of messages:
 - increment the counte;
 - know the current value;
- Function counter defines the process behaviour:

```
counter(Val) ->
  receive
  increment ->
    counter(Val + 1);
  {value, From} ->
    From ! Val,
    counter(Val)
end.
```

- The parameter Val keeps the current value;
- Tail recursion is used to simulate looping, with the new state;
- To know the counter value, a process sends its pid in message, so that the counter manager can send a reply;

Example: counter – a client

- A function to ask the counter to be incremented several times;
- Two parameters:
 - which counter (which manager process);
 - how many times to increment the counter;

```
client(_, 0) ->
    true;
client(Counter, N) ->
    Counter ! increment,
    client(Counter, N - 1).
```



Example: counter – another client

- Function printVals asks the counter value, in infinite loop;
- Sends its own pid, so that a reply can be sent;

- We are matching any message in the receive;
- This would not work if more than one type of message or messages from different processes could be arriving;
- An antipattern should not be used like this;



Example: counter - main

Main function:

- creates a counter process;
- creates N client processes;
- executes printVals, looping forever;

```
-module(counter).
-export([start/2]).
start(N, Iters) ->
   Counter = spawn(fun() -> counter(0) end),
   createClients(Counter, Iters, N),
   printVals(Counter).

createClients(_, _, 0) ->
   true;
createClients(Counter, Iters, N) ->
   spawn(fun() -> client(Counter, Iters) end),
   createClients(Counter, Iters, N - 1).
```



Example: counter – new version with interface functions

- In the previous version, clients know the message protocol used to request operations;
- It is often useful to encapsulate the protocol, hiding it from clients, and make corresponding interface functions available;
- This allows changing the protocol without rewriting client code;
- We will make available 4 interface functions:
 - create counter: creates process and returns its pid;
 - increment counter takes the counter pid as parameter;
 - obtain the counter value;
 - destroy the counter, ending the process;
- We will also strengthen the protocol when sending the reply to a process that wants to know the counter value;
- We will put the counter in a module, and the client in another.



Example: counter – interface functions

```
-module (counter).
-export([create/0, increment/1, value/1, stop/1]).
create() ->
  spawn(fun() -> counter(0) end).
increment (Counter) ->
  Counter ! increment.
value(Counter) ->
  Counter ! {value, self()},
  receive
    {Counter, Value} ->
     Value
  end.
stop(Counter) ->
  Counter ! stop.
```



Example: counter – counter process

• The new version of the counter function:

- In the reply to value() the counter pid is sent; more robust;
- A case of a stop message to end the process is added;
- A wildcard pattern to discard any other message is added;



Example: counter - clients

- Clients are now simpler;
- The protocol is encapsulated by the interface functions;

```
start(N. Iters) ->
  Counter = counter:create(),
  createClients(Counter, Iters, N),
  printVals(Counter).
createClients(_, _, 0) -> true;
createClients(Counter, Iters, N) ->
  spawn(fun() -> client(Counter, Iters) end),
  createClients(Counter, Iters, N - 1).
client(, 0) -> true;
client (Counter, N) ->
  counter:increment (Counter),
  client (Counter, N - 1).
printVals(Counter) -> % infinite loop
  V = counter: value (Counter).
  io:format("Counter value: wn", [V]),
  printVals(Counter).
```



Example: Read/Write Lock

- Mutual exclusion abstraction controlling two modes of access:
 - readers: want to perform read-only access to a resource;
 - writers: want to perform updates on a resource;
- Useful even in message passing systems; e.g., to access files;
- A block of access operations is surrounded by sincronization requests; there are classically 4 operations:
 - acquireRead and releaseRead to surround read access;
 - acquireWrite and releaseWrite to surround write access;
- Better to have only two operations:
 - acquire; specifying mode, either read or write;
 - release; does not make sense to specify mode;
- Safety:
 - several processes can be reading;
 - if a process is writing, no other process can be accessing, either reading or writing.
- Liveness: this problem leads easily to starvation.



Example: Read/Write Lock – interface functions

```
create() ->
   spawn(fun released/0).

acquire(Pid, Mode) when Mode == read; Mode == write ->
   Pid ! {Mode, self()},
   receive acquired -> true end.

release(Pid) ->
   Pid ! {release, self()}.
```



Example: Read/Write Lock – server process; write starvation

```
released() ->
  receive
    {read, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, reading([Pid]);
    {write, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, writing(Pid)
  end.
reading([]) -> released();
reading(Readers) ->
  receive
    {read, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, reading([Pid | Readers]);
    {release, Pid} -> reading(Readers -- [Pid])
  end.
writing(Pid) ->
  receive
    {release, Pid} -> released()
  end.
```



Example: Read/Write Lock – server process; no starvation

```
released() ->
  receive
    {read, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, reading([Pid]);
    {write, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, writing(Pid)
  end.
reading([]) -> released();
reading (Readers) ->
  receive
    {read, Pid} -> Pid ! acquired, reading([Pid | Readers]);
    {release, Pid} -> reading(Readers -- [Pid]);
    {write, Pid} -> reading(Readers, Pid)
  end.
reading([], Writer) -> Writer ! acquired, writing(Writer);
reading (Readers, Writer) ->
  receive
    {release, Pid} -> reading(Readers -- [Pid], Writer)
  end.
writing(Pid) ->
  receive
    {release, Pid} -> released()
  end.
```

