Automated Ballot Stuffing with an Encrypted Vote: A Large-Scale Attack



on the Estonian Internet Voting System (IVXV) and its Mitigation

Tenth International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting

1-3 October 2025 - Nancy, France

Shymaa M. Arafat

Associate Professor (Independent, https://github.com/DrShymaa2022) shar.academic@gmail.com, shymaa.arafat@gmail.com

Malicious Voting Application + Online Auctions + Execution Attestations => Cloning a vote you don't even know (to be determined at runtime)

We put alarms on how online coding via smart contracts can add new threats to e-voting, and how severe could be not authenticating the Voting Application for IVXV as OSCE/ODIHR warned (it is not just the Pereira attack anymore)

Steps:

- 1-The adversary writes a malicious Voting Application VA' then uploads it to a designated site for targeted voters to upload.
- 2- Coerced/bought voters, and devices that possess compromised voters credentials bought from dark web [1] download VA'.
- 3-The adversary decides (or receives from an anonymous) the desired vote "v", encrypts it as (g^r, y^r, v) , then writes it in a predefined memory location.

A more sophisticated option for 3:

- 3.a The adversary writes a smart contract to perform an *online auction between bidders* to select the winning vote "v", encrypt it as (g^r, y^r, v) , then write it in the predefined memory location.
- 3.b -Competitors (possibly candidates or maybe other countries) place their bids for the online auction. Another variant may also allow voters to auction their votes for the highest buyer.
- 3.c The smart contract writes the winner's desired value (g^r, y^r, v) into the predefined memory location.
- 4-The malicious VAs running at voter devices read the encrypted vote from the memory location at a designated time.
- 5- Each VA generates a fresh random number say r_{new} to hide the encrypted vote as $(g^{r+rnew}, y^{r+rnew}, v)$, then sends it to the Vote collector as the voter's choice.
- 6- Each voter's device sends an execution attestation [2] of running VA' to the paying contract (another smart contract) to prove that the order has been obeyed and possibly get paid.

Cite as:

Shymaa M. Arafat, "Automated Ballot Stuffing with an Encrypted Vote: A Large-Scale Attack on the Estonian Internet Voting System (IVXV) and its Mitigation", E-Vote-ID 2025, Track-4 Posters and Demos, 10th International Conference on Electronic Voting, 1-3 Oct 2025, Nancy France.

The Vote Collector 5- All the same vote with different | r_{new}: (g^{r+rnew},y^{r+rnew},v) 2- Coerced, bought, and https://www.aaa.. 4- VA's compromised voters read the download VA' encrypted vote 6-Execution Attestations to the paying Memory Location contract (gr, yr. vadversary) 1-a malicious Voting Application VA' 3'- (g',y'.v) (g^r, y^r, v) 3.c-the winning bid's (g^r, y^r, v) Blockchain Paying contract 3.b- Competitors place their bids

- https://www.bitsight.com/blog/what-are-compromised-credentials, 1. Dark web examples: 1. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2023/feb/15/revealed-disinformation-team-jorge-claim-meddling-elections-talhanan, https://www.olfeo.com/en/les-serveurs-command-control/, https://www.computest.nl/en/knowledgeplatform/blog/arrests-worldwide-genesis-market-for-online-identity-fraud/, https://www.experian.com/blogs/askexperian/heres-how-much-your-personal-information-is-selling-for-on-the-dark-web/, https://www.politie.nl/en/information/checkyourhack.html
- 2. <u>Dark DAOs</u>: https://hackingdistributed.com/2018/07/02/on-chain-vote-buying/, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DFdD8qibFi4, https://github.com/DAO-Decentralization/dark-dao/tree/main
- 3. https://bitcoinelectrum.com/how-to-verify-your-electrum-download/
- 4. https://github.com/DrShymaa2022/SoK_Estonia_IVXV_EVoteID/blob/main/Grok_X_Electrum_1button_IVXV.p
- 5. Olivier Pereira, "Individual Verifiability and Revoting in the Estonian Internet Voting System", https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/1098
- 6. Jan Willemson, "Recommendations to OSCE/ODIHR (on how to give better recommendations for Internet voting)", 10 Feb 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.06385v2
- 7. OSCE/ODIHR 2023: https://osce.org/files/f/documents/f/f/551179_0.pdf, 2025: https://osce.org/files/f/documents/e/a/593435.pdf
- 8. https://a16zcrypto.com/posts/podcast/quantum-computing-what-when-where-how-facts-vs-fiction/
- 9. https://github.com/DrShymaa2022/SoK Estonia IVXV EVoteID/blob/main/Estonia EVoteID long.pdf

Mitigations:

- -The solution is to authenticate the official Voting Application; the following suggestions all fulfil the current system philosophy of giving suspicious voters the freedom to use another voting application they trust more.
- 1-Publish the Voting Application file digest (ex.: hash SHA256 for its code) and encourage voters to run a check when downloading it like the Electrum Bitcoin wallet [3] but batched into 1 button click [4] to make it easier for voters. Has more usability and solves the Pereira attack [5] more robustly than depending on the OS verifying a developer key signature as [6/sec. 4]; still voter dependent and doesn't help dark web compromised voter credentials.
- 2-Assign a signature & authentication key pair to the official voting application like the rest of applications. Then the election authority can allow only usage of pre-registered private voting applications with a stored public key at the voting server; the election authority can also scan them for any malicious code before granting usage rights. Two issues: providing enough guarantees that authorities will be flexible in allowing opposition VAs given previous problems [7]; informing voters if their vote was rejected for using a non-registered VA.
- -Both solutions could be post-quantum by using post-quantum hash based digital signatures, like Stateless Hash (SLH)-DSA approved NIST standard, since its relatively large size of 7k Byte is only transmitted once with the download and won't be noticeable by users [8/min 64].

Extra Safeguards:

- -Add a humanity check for the Vote Collector to be sure the interaction process with VA is not automated (Swiss system).
- -For QR-code checkers, the verification application could display an extra message "You voted using (the official/a different) voting application".
- -Add a "Reject all" option to make boycotters vote to minimize the effect of stolen credentials [9/Appendix C].