## STAT 543/641 – Winter 2019 – Homework #2

## Due Wednesday, March 20, 2019

**Notation:** Suppose  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ . Set

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-t}}$$

$$p_i(a,b) = \sigma(a+bx_i)^{y_i} \left(1 - \sigma(a+bx_i)\right)^{1-y_i}$$

$$\ell_i(a,b) = -\log p_i(a,b)$$

$$= -y_i \log \sigma(a+bx_i) - (1-y_i) \log \left(1 - \sigma(a+bx_i)\right)$$

$$\ell(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(a,b)$$

- 1. In this problem, we establish a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of maximum likelihood estimates for univariate logistic regression coefficients, assuming such estimates exist.
  - (a) Prove:  $\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1 \sigma(x))$

Conclude that  $\sigma'(x) > 0$  for all x.

(b) Prove that

$$\nabla \ell_i(a, b) = (\sigma(a + bx_i) - y_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{bmatrix}$$
 (Hint: Use (\*).)

(\*)

and that

$$\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b) = \sigma'(a + bx_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ x_i & x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Deduce that  $\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b)$  is positive-semidefinite but not positive-definite, making  $\ell_i(a, b)$  convex but not strictly convex.

- (c) Find a basis of the nullspace  $N(\nabla^2 \ell_i(a,b))$  of  $\nabla^2 \ell_i(a,b)$  whose elements do not depend on a and b.
- (d) Suppose that there are indices i and j such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Prove that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N(\nabla^{2} \ell_{i}(a,b)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

(Hint: Use (c).)

- (e) Suppose that there are indices i and j such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Show that  $\nabla^2 \ell(a, b)$  is positive-definite and, hence, that  $\ell(a, b)$  is strictly convex.
- (f) Conclude that if that there are indices i and j such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ , then maximum likelihood estimates for  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  are unique if they exist.
- 2. In this problem, we establish a sufficient condition for the existence of maximum likelihood estimates for univariate logistic regression coefficients.

Consider fitting a univariate logistic regression model to a dataset  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$  satisfying

$$x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$$
.

- (a) Prove that  $\ell_i(a,b) > 0$  for all  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (b) Let

$$H_i = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \lim_{t \to \infty} \ell_i(tv_1, tv_2) = \infty \right\}$$

Find a vector  $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $H_i = H(\boldsymbol{w}_i)$ , where

$$H(\boldsymbol{w}_i) = \{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}_i > 0 \}.$$

(c) Let  $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ . Show that

$$H(\boldsymbol{u}) \cup H(\boldsymbol{v}) \cup H(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

if abd only there are a, b > 0 such that  $-\boldsymbol{u} = a\boldsymbol{v} + v\boldsymbol{w}$ .

(d) Consider the following condition on a triple of indices (i, j, k):

$$y_i = y_k = 0 \text{ and } y_j = 1$$
 or  $y_i = y_k = 1 \text{ and } y_j = 0$  (†)

Suppose  $1 \le i < j < k \le n$ . Prove that (i, j, k) satisfies  $(\dagger)$  if and only if

$$H_i \cup H_j \cup H_k = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

(e) Suppose that (i, j, k) is an increasing sequence of indices that satisfies (†). Prove that, for all K > 0, the set

$$S_K := \{(a, b) : \ell(a, b) \le K\}$$

contains no ray from the origin, i.e., no set of the form  $\{t\mathbf{v}: t \geq 0\}$  where  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

- (f) Use the following facts to deduce that  $S_K$  is bounded for all K > 0.  $S_K$  is evidently closed, so it's compact.
  - i. If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function, then  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) | \leq K\}$  is a convex set.
  - ii. If C is a convex set that contains no ray, then C is bounded.
- (g) Let K > 0 be such that  $S_K$  is nonempty and let

$$m = \inf_{(a,b) \in S_K} \ell(a,b).$$

Explain why there exists a point  $(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \in S_K$  such that  $\ell(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = m$  and why m is, in fact, the global minimum of  $\ell$ .

- (h) Prove that  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$  is the unique point at which  $\ell$  takes on its minimum value.
- 3. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be random samples from normally distributed populations with means  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$  and variances  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ , respectively. Let  $S_X^2$  and  $S_Y^2$  be the standard unbiased estimators of  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ , respectively.
  - (a) Suppose  $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$  and write  $\sigma^2$  for this common value.

$$S^{2} := \frac{(m-1)S_{X}^{2} + (n-1)S_{Y}^{2}}{m+n-2}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . It's called the *pooled variance estimator*.

(b) Suppose, in addition to having common variance, that the  $X_i$  are independent of the  $Y_i$ . What is the distribution of

$$\frac{(m+n-2)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$
?

What is the variance of  $S^2$ ?

- (c) (Do not hand in.) Generalize these results from the case of K = 2 populations to that of an arbitrary K. Compare with equation (4.15) in [1].
- (d) (Do not hand in.) Can you prove analogous results with covariance matrices in place of scalar variances?
- 4. Applied problem to be added...

## References

[1] Gareth James, Daniela Witten, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani, *Introduction to Statistical Learning Theory with Applications in R*, http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/.