

# STAT 543/641 – Winter 2019 – Homework #2

Due Wednesday, March 20, 2019

**Notation:** Suppose  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ . Set

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t}}$$

$$p_i(a, b) = \sigma(a + bx_i)^{y_i} (1 - \sigma(a + bx_i))^{1-y_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_i(a, b) &= -\log p_i(a, b) \\ &= -y_i \log \sigma(a + bx_i) - (1 - y_i) \log (1 - \sigma(a + bx_i))\end{aligned}$$

$$\ell(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(a, b)$$

1. In this problem, we establish a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of maximum likelihood estimates for univariate logistic regression coefficients, assuming such estimates exist.

(a) Prove:

$$\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x)) \tag{*}$$

Conclude that  $\sigma'(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ .

(b) Prove that

$$\nabla \ell_i(a, b) = (\sigma(a + bx_i) - y_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{Hint: Use } (*).)$$

and that

$$\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b) = \sigma'(a + bx_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ x_i & x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Deduce that  $\nabla^2 g(a, b)$  is positive-semidefinite but not positive-definite, making  $g(a, b)$  convex but not strictly convex.

- (c) Find a basis of the nullspace  $N(\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b))$  of  $\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b)$  whose elements do not depend on  $a$  and  $b$ .
- (d) Suppose that there are indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Prove that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n N(\nabla^2 \ell_i(a, b)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

(Hint: Use d.)

- (e) Suppose that there are indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Show that  $\nabla^2 \ell(a, b)$  is positive-definite and, hence, that  $\ell(a, b)$  is strictly convex.
- (f) Conclude that if there are indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ , then maximum likelihood estimates for  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  are unique if they exist.
2. In this problem, we establish a sufficient condition for the existence of maximum likelihood estimates for univariate logistic regression coefficients.

Consider fitting a univariate logistic regression model to a dataset  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  satisfying

$$x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n.$$

- (a) Prove that  $\ell_i(a, b) > 0$  for all  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (b) Let

$$H_i = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell_i(tv_1, tv_2) = \infty \right\}$$

Find a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $H_i = H(\mathbf{w}_i)$ , where

$$H(\mathbf{w}_i) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i > 0 \}.$$

- (c) Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Show that

$$H(\mathbf{u}) \cup H(\mathbf{v}) \cup H(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

if and only there are  $a, b > 0$  such that  $-\mathbf{u} = a\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{w}$ .

- (d) Consider the following condition on a triple of indices  $(i, j, k)$ :

$$y_i = y_k = 0 \text{ and } y_j = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad y_i = y_k = 1 \text{ and } y_j = 0 \quad (\dagger)$$

Suppose  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ . Prove that  $(i, j, k)$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$  if and only if

$$H_i \cup H_j \cup H_k = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

- (e) Suppose that  $(i, j, k)$  is an increasing sequence of indices that satisfies  $(\dagger)$ . Prove that, for all  $K > 0$ , the set

$$S_K := \{(a, b) : \ell(a, b) \leq K\}$$

contains no ray from the origin, i.e., no set of the form  $\{t\mathbf{v} : t \geq 0\}$  where  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

- (f) Use the following facts to deduce that  $S_K$  is bounded for all  $K > 0$ .  $S_K$  is evidently closed, so it's compact.
- i. If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function, then  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(\mathbf{x}) \leq K\}$  is a convex set.
  - ii. If  $C$  is a convex set that contains no ray, then  $C$  is bounded.
- (g) Let  $K > 0$  be such that  $S_K$  is nonempty and let

$$m = \inf_{(a,b) \in S_K} \ell(a, b).$$

Explain why there exists a point  $(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \in S_K$  such that  $\ell(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = m$  and why  $m$  is, in fact, the global minimum of  $\ell$ .

- (h) Prove that  $(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})$  is the unique point at which  $\ell$  takes on its minimum value.

3. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be random samples from normally distributed populations with means  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$  and variances  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ , respectively. Let  $S_X^2$  and  $S_Y^2$  be the standard unbiased estimators of  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_Y^2$ , respectively.

- (a) Suppose  $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$  and write  $\sigma^2$  for this common value.

$$S^2 := \frac{(m-1)S_X^2 + (n-1)S_Y^2}{m+n-2}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . It's called the *pooled variance estimator*.

- (b) Suppose, in addition to having common variance, that the  $X_i$  are independent of the  $Y_i$ . What is the distribution of

$$\frac{(m+n-2)S^2}{\sigma^2}?$$

What is the variance of  $S^2$ ?

- (c) (Do not hand in.) Generalize these results from the case of  $K = 2$  populations to that of an arbitrary  $K$ . Compare with equation (4.15) in [1].
- (d) (Do not hand in.) Can you prove analogous results with covariance matrices in place of scalar variances?

4. Applied problem to be added...

## References

- [1] Gareth James, Daniela Witten, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani, *Introduction to Statistical Learning Theory with Applications in R*, <http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/>.