

# REGULARIZATION

## 1. MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION

Convention: We view  $\mathbb{R}^k$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  via the following identification

$$(1) \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad (1, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

$p - 1$  predictor variables:

$$(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (p-1)} \times \mathbb{R}$$

Viewing  $x_i$  as an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times p}$  via (1), define:

$$x := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \quad y := \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$$

For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$ , consider the equation:

$$x\beta = y$$

Equivalently:

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} x_{i,p-1} = y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Recall:** The *column space* of  $x$  is the subspace  $C(x)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  characterized by any of the following equivalent conditions:

- $C(x)$  is the set of all linear combinations of the columns of  $x$
- $C(x) = \{x\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}\}$
- $C(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} : x\beta = y \text{ has a solution}\}$

$C(x)$  is also called the *image* of  $x$ .

Let  $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  be the vector characterized by any of the equivalent conditions:

- $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in C(x)} \|z - y\|$
- $\hat{y}$  is the vector in the column space of  $x$  closest to  $y$ .
- $\hat{y}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $y$  onto the column space of  $x$ .

In particular,  $x\beta = \hat{y}$  has a solution.

## 2. THE CASE $\text{rank}(x) = p$

Suppose  $\text{rank}(x) = p$ . Then  $\beta \mapsto x\beta$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$  bijectively onto  $C(x)$  and, therefore, there is a unique vector  $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$  — the *least squares solution of  $x\beta = y$*  — such that

$$x\hat{\beta} = \hat{y}.$$

The vector  $\hat{\beta}$  is characterized by the fact that it minimizes the sum of squared errors in approximating  $y$  by a vector of the form  $x\beta$ :

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}}{\text{argmin}} \|x\beta - y\|^2$$

Since  $\text{rank}(x) = p$ , the matrix  $x^T x \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is invertible and the system

$$x^T x\beta = x^T y$$

has unique solution; this solution is just  $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$\hat{\beta} = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T y$$

Thus,

$$\hat{y} = x\hat{\beta} = Py,$$

where

$$P := x(x^T x)^{-1} x^T.$$

The matrix  $P$  is called the *projection matrix* because it describes orthogonal projection from  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  onto  $C(x)$ .

If we view the  $y_i$  as realizations of random variable  $Y_i$  and let

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

then we may view

$$\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y$$

as an estimator.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\text{rank}(x) = p$  and

$$Y \sim N(x\beta, \Sigma).$$

Then  $\hat{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Use the linearity of expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \hat{\beta} &= \mathbb{E} [(x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y] = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T \mathbb{E} Y \\ &= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (x\beta) = (x^T x)^{-1} (x^T x) \beta = I \beta = \beta \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var } \widehat{\beta} &= \text{Var}(x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y \\
&= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (\text{Var } Y) ((x^T x)^{-1} x^T)^T \\
&= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (\sigma^2 I) x (x^T x)^{-1} \\
&= \sigma^2 (x^T x)^{-1} (x^T x) (x^T x)^{-1} \\
&= \sigma^2 (x^T x)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. THE CASE $\text{rank}(x) \leq p$

We consider a *regularized* version of multiple linear regression. Let  $\lambda > 0$  and consider the problem of minimizing

$$\text{SSE}_\lambda(\beta) := \|x\beta - y\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|^2$$

Let

$$\xi := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda I^{p \times p} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times p}, \quad \eta := \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0^{p \times 1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times 1}$$

and consider the equation

$$\xi\beta = \eta.$$

The columns of  $\xi$  are linearly independent (why?), so  $\text{rank}(\xi) = p$ . Therefore, by the discussion of the previous section,  $\xi^T \xi$  is invertible and

$$\widehat{\beta}_\lambda := (\xi^T \xi)^{-1} \xi^T \eta$$

minimizes

$$\|\xi\beta - \eta\|^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x\beta - y \\ \lambda\beta \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \|x\beta - y\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|^2 = \text{SSE}_\lambda(\beta).$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^T \xi &= \begin{bmatrix} x^T & \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \\
&= x^T x + \lambda^2 I, \\
\xi^T \eta &= \begin{bmatrix} x^T & \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0^{p \times 1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= x^T y
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\beta}_\lambda = (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T y.$$

Let

$$W_\lambda = (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T x.$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\text{rank}(x) = p$ , so that  $\widehat{\beta}$  is defined. Then

$$\beta_\lambda = W_\lambda \widehat{\beta}.$$

*Proof.* Just compute.

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\lambda}\widehat{\beta} &= (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T x \widehat{\beta} \\&= (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T x (x^T x)^{-1} x^T y \\&= (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T y \\&= \widehat{\beta}_{\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

□