REGULARIZATION

1. Multiple linear regression

Convention: We view \mathbb{R}^k as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} via the following identification

$$(1) v \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad (1, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

p-1 predictor variables:

$$(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (p-1)} \times \mathbb{R}$$

Viewing x_i as an element of $\mathbb{R}^{1\times p}$ via (1), define:

$$x := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \quad y := \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$, consider the equation:

$$x\beta = y$$

Equivalently:

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} x_{i,p-1} = y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Recall: The *column space of* x is the subspace C(x) of $\mathbb{R}^{n\times 1}$ characterized by any of the following equivalent conditions:

- C(x) is the set of all linear combinations of the columns of x
- $C(x) = \{x\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}\}$
- $C(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} : x\beta = y \text{ has a solution} \}$

C(x) is also called the *image of x*.

Let $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ be the vector characterized by any of the equivalent conditions:

- $\bullet \ \widehat{y} = \underset{z \in C(x)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|z y\|$
- \hat{y} is the vector in the column space of x closest to y.
- \widehat{y} is the orthogonal projection of y onto the column space of x.

In partricular, $x\beta = \hat{y}$ has a solution.

2. The case
$$rank(x) = p$$

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}(x) = p$. Then $\beta \mapsto x\beta$ maps $\mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$ bijectively onto C(x) and, therefore, there is a unique vector $\widehat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$ — the least squares solution of $x\beta = y$ — such that

$$x\widehat{\beta} = \widehat{y}.$$

The vector $\widehat{\beta}$ is characterized by the fact that it minimizes the sum of squared errors in approximating y by a vector of the form $x\beta$:

$$\widehat{\beta} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}} \|x\beta - y\|^2$$

Since rank(x) = p, the matrix $x^T x \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is invertible and the system

$$x^T x \beta = x^T y$$

has unique solution; this solution is just $\widehat{\beta}$:

$$\widehat{\beta} = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T y$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{y} = x\widehat{\beta} = Py,$$

where

$$P := x(x^T x)^{-1} x^T.$$

The matrix P is called the *projection matrix* because it describes orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^{n\times 1}$ onto C(x).

If we view the y_i as realizations of random variable Y_i and let

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n, \end{bmatrix}$$

then we may view

$$\widehat{\beta} = \widehat{\beta}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y$$

as an estimator.

Theorem 1. Suppose rank(x) = p and

$$Y \sim N(x\beta, \Sigma)$$
.

Then $\widehat{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimator of β .

Proof. Use the linearity of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}\,\widehat{\beta} = \mathbb{E}\left[(x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y\right] = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T \mathbb{E}\,Y$$
$$= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (x\beta) = (x^T x)^{-1} (x^T x)\beta = I\beta = \beta$$

$$Var \,\widehat{\beta} = Var(x^T x)^{-1} x^T Y$$

$$= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (Var Y) ((x^T x)^{-1} x^T)^T$$

$$= (x^T x)^{-1} x^T (\sigma^2 I) x (x^T x)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (x^T x)^{-1} (x^T x) (x^T x)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (x^T x)^{-1}$$

3. The case $rank(x) \leq p$

We consider a regularized version of multiple linear regression. Let $\lambda > 0$ and consider the problem of minimizing

$$SSE_{\lambda}(\beta) := ||x\beta - y||^2 + \lambda^2 ||\beta||^2$$

Let

$$\xi := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda I^{p \times p} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times p}, \quad \eta := \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0^{p \times 1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times 1}$$

and consider the equation

$$\xi \beta = \eta.$$

The columns of ξ are linearly independent (why?), so rank(ξ) = p. Therefore, by the discussion of the previous section, $\xi^T \xi$ is invertible and

$$\widehat{\beta}_{\lambda} := (\xi^T \xi)^{-1} \xi^T \eta$$

minimizes

$$\|\xi\beta - \eta\|^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x\beta - y \\ \lambda\beta \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \|x\beta - y\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|^2 = SSE_{\lambda}(\beta).$$

We have:

$$\xi^{T} \xi = \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} & \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= x^{T} x + \lambda^{2} I,$$
$$\xi^{T} \eta = \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} & \lambda I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0^{p \times 1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= x^{T} y$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\beta}_{\lambda} = (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T y.$$

Let

$$W_{\lambda} = (x^T x + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} x^T x.$$

Theorem 2. Suppose rank(x) = p, so that $\widehat{\beta}$ is defined. Then

$$\beta_{\lambda} = W_{\lambda} \widehat{\beta}.$$

Proof. Just compute.

$$W_{\lambda}\widehat{\beta} = (x^{T}x + \lambda^{2}I)^{-1}x^{T}x\widehat{\beta}$$

$$= (x^{T}x + \lambda^{2}I)^{-1}x^{T}x(x^{T}x)^{-1}x^{T}y$$

$$= (x^{T}x + \lambda^{2}I)^{-1}x^{T}y$$

$$= \widehat{\beta}_{\lambda}.$$

$$E = (a + bx - y)^{2} + \lambda(a^{2} + b^{2})$$

$$E_x = 0$$

$$E_y = 0$$

$$(1 + \lambda)a + xb = y$$

$$xa + (x^2 + \lambda)b = xy$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{a} = \frac{y}{1 + \lambda + x^2}, \qquad \widehat{b} = \frac{xy}{1 + \lambda + x^2}$$

$$\lambda = 0:$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{a} \\ \widehat{b} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{y}{1+x^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \in C \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \end{bmatrix}^T \right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{a} \\ \widehat{b} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{y}{1+x^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is the least squares solution of} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$$

4. The singular value decomposition

Theorem 3 (Singular Value Decomposition, subspace formulation). Let $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ have rank r. Then there are orthonormal bases

$$u_1, \ldots, u_r$$
 of $C(A^T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

and

$$v_1, \dots, v_r$$
 of $C(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$

and numbers

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0$$

such that

$$Au_i = \sigma_i v_i$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

The σ_i are uniquely determined by A.

Corollary 4 (Singular value decomposition, basis formulation). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ have rank r. Then there are orthogonal matrices

$$U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
 and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

and numbers

$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$$

such that

$$A = V \Sigma U^T$$

where $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is defined by

(2)
$$\Sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{if } i = j < r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The σ_i are uniquely determined by A.

Proof. By Theorem 3, there are orthonormal bases

$$u_1, \ldots, u_r$$
 of $C(A^T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

and

$$v_1, \ldots, v_r$$
 of $C(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$

and numbers

$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$$

such that

(3)
$$Au_i = \sigma_i v_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Let

$$u_{r+1}, \ldots, u_n$$
 be an orthonormal basis of $C(A^T)^{\perp} = N(A)$

and let

$$v_{r+1}, \ldots, u_m$$
 be an orthonormal basis of $C(A)^{\perp} = N(A^T)$.

Then $u_1, \ldots, u_r, u_{r+1}, \ldots, u_n$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_m$ are orthonormal bases of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively. Equivalently,

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
 and $V := \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$,

are orthogonal matrices. Define $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ by (2). Since U is orthogonal,

$$U^T u_i = U^{-1} u_i = e_i.$$

Therefore,

$$V\Sigma U^T u_i = V\Sigma e_i = V(\sigma_i e_i) = \sigma_i V e_i = \sigma_i v_i \stackrel{(3)}{=} A u_i,$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and

$$V\Sigma U^T u_i = V\Sigma e_i = V0 = 0 = Au_i,$$

for $i=r+1,\ldots,n$. (We have $Au_i=0$ for $i=r+1,\ldots,n$ because $u_i\in C(A^T)^\perp=N(A)$.) Since u_1,\ldots,u_n is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $Au_i=V\Sigma U^Tu_i$ for all i, we must have $A=V\Sigma U^T$. \square

5. REGULARIZATION

Let $x_1 > 0$. Suppose we want to fit a line of the form $y = \hat{b}x$ to the one point data set, $\{(x_1, Y_1)\}$, where

$$Y_1 \sim N(bx_1, \sigma^2).$$

The parameters b and σ^2 are unknown. In this case, a natural estimator of b is

$$\widehat{b} = \widehat{b}(Y_1) = \frac{1}{x_1} Y_1.$$

Let $x_0 > 0$ and let $Y_0 \sim N(bx_0, \sigma^2)$ be independent of Y_1 . We want to compute the expected prediction error

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{EPE} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right] \\ \mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right] &= x_0^2 \, \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{b}(Y_1)^2 \right] - 2x_0 \, \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{b}(Y_1)Y_0 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[Y_0^2 \right] \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{b}(Y_1)^2 \right] &= \frac{1}{x_1^2} \, \mathbb{E} \left[Y_1^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1^2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[Y_1 \right]^2 + \operatorname{Var} Y_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1^2} \left(b^2 x_1^2 + \sigma^2 \right) \\ &= b^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{x_1^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since Y_0 and Y_1 are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}(Y_1)Y_0\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}(Y_1)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[Y_0\right] = b(bx_0) = b^2x_0$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_0^2\right] = b^2x_0^2 + \sigma^2$$

Therefore,

EPE =
$$x_0^2 \left(b^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{x_1^2} \right) - 2b^2 x_0^2 + (b^2 x_0^2 + \sigma^2)$$

= $\sigma^2 + \frac{x_0^2 \sigma^2}{x_1^2}$

Bias
$$(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0, bx_0) = \mathbb{E}[\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0] - bx_0$$

= $bx_0 - bx_0$
= 0

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0\right) = x_0^2 \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{x_1}Y_1\right)$$
$$= \frac{x_0^2 \sigma^2}{x_1^2}$$

Thus, we recover the bias-variance decomposition:

$$EPE = \sigma^2 + Var\left(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0\right) + Bias\left(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0, bx_0\right)^2$$

(Is \hat{b} an UMVU?)

Can we find an estimator, $\widetilde{b}(Y_1)$, of b, possibly biased, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widetilde{b}(Y_1)x_0 - Y_0\right)^2\right] < \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{b}(Y_1)x_0 - Y_0\right)^2\right]?$$

For $\lambda \geq 0$, define

$$\widehat{b}_{\lambda} := \frac{1}{x_1 + \lambda} Y_1$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)x_0-Y_0)^2\right]=x_0^2\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)^2\right]-2x_0\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)Y_0\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[Y_0^2\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_{1})^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{1}^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{1}\right]^{2} + \operatorname{Var}Y_{1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} \left(b^{2}x_{1}^{2} + \sigma^{2}\right)$$

Since Y_0 and Y_1 are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)Y_0\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[Y_0\right]$$
$$= \frac{bx_1}{x_1 + \lambda}bx_0$$
$$= \frac{b^2x_0x_1}{x_1 + \lambda}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_0^2\right] = b^2 x_0^2 + \sigma^2$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_{1})x_{0} - Y_{0})^{2}\right] = \frac{b^{2}x_{0}^{2}x_{1}^{2} + x_{0}^{2}\sigma^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} - \frac{2b^{2}x_{0}^{2}x_{1}}{x_{1} + \lambda} + b^{2}x_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{x_{0}^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} \left(b^{2}x_{1}^{2} + \sigma^{2} - 2b^{2}x_{1}\lambda + b^{2}x_{1}^{2} + 2b^{2}x_{1}\lambda + b^{2}\lambda^{2}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{x_{0}^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} \left(\sigma^{2} + b^{2}\lambda^{2}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{x_{0}^{2}\sigma^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}} + \frac{b^{2}\lambda^{2}x_{0}^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}}$$

Double check:

Bias
$$\left(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)x_0, bx_0\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_1)x_0\right] - bx_0$$

$$= \frac{bx_0x_1}{x_1 + \lambda} - bx_0$$

$$= -\frac{b\lambda x_0}{x_1 + \lambda}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}(Y_{1})x_{0}\right) = x_{0}^{2}\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{x_{1}+\lambda}Y_{1}\right)$$
$$= \frac{x_{0}^{2}\sigma^{2}}{(x_{1}+\lambda)^{2}}$$

So:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}x_0 - Y_0)^2\right] = \sigma^2 + \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}x_0\right) + \operatorname{Bias}\left(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}x_0, bx_0\right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}x_{0} - Y_{0})^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2} + x_{0}^{2}\sigma^{2}\frac{1 + (\frac{b}{\sigma})^{2}\lambda^{2}}{(x_{1} + \lambda)^{2}}, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}x_{0} - Y_{0})^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2} + x_{0}^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}$$

Let $c = b/\sigma$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2 x_0^2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda} x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{b} x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right] \right) = \frac{1 + c^2 \lambda^2}{(x_1 + \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{x_1^2}
= \frac{(1 + c^2 \lambda^2) x_1^2 - (x_1 + \lambda)^2}{x_1^2 (x_1 + \lambda)^2}
= \lambda (c^2 \lambda x_1^2 - 2x_1 - \lambda)
= (c^2 x_1^2 - 1) \lambda \left(\lambda - \frac{2x_1}{(c^2 x_1^2 - 1)} \right)$$

Let

$$F(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda}x_0 - Y_0)^2\right].$$

$$F'(\lambda) = x_0 \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{\sigma^2 + b^2 \lambda^2}{(x_1 + \lambda)^2}$$

$$= x_0 \frac{2b^2 \lambda (x_1 + \lambda)^2 - (\sigma^2 + b^2 \lambda^2) 2(x_1 + \lambda)}{(x_1 + \lambda)^4}$$

$$= 2x_0 \frac{b^2 \lambda (x_1 + \lambda) - (\sigma^2 + b^2 \lambda^2)}{(x_1 + \lambda)^3}$$

$$= 2x_0 \frac{b^2 x_1 \lambda - \sigma^2}{(x_1 + \lambda)^3}$$

Since $x_0, x_1, b, \sigma^2 > 0$,

$$\lambda^* := \operatorname*{argmin}_{\lambda > 0} \mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}_{\lambda} x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{b^2 x_1} > 0$$

Moreover, since

$$F(0) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{b}x_0 - Y_0)^2 \right],$$

we deduce that

$$EPE_{\lambda} < EPE$$
.

6. k-fold cross validation

 $D = \{(x_1, Y_1), \dots, (x_n, Y_n)\}, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, Y_i \sim N(x_i\beta, \sigma^2) \text{ independent}$

Let I_1, \ldots, I_k be a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into k parts of about equal size.

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ let

$$D_i = \{(x_i, Y_i) : i \in I_i\}$$

and let

$$D_{-i} = D - D_i$$

 $(x_i, Y_i), i \in I_i, \text{ from } D$:

$$D_i = D \setminus \{(x_i, Y_i) : i \in I_i\}$$

For j = 1, ..., n, let $\widehat{\theta}_{-i}$ be an estimator of β computed using the dataset D_{-i} . Let

$$MSE_i = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i\in I_i}(x_i\widehat{\theta}_{-i} - Y_i)^2\right]$$

be the mean square error computed using the dataset D_i and set

$$CV_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k MSE_i$$
.

We use CV_k as a proxy for prediction error. We tune any tuneable (hyper)parameters to minimize CV_k .

When k = 1, this is called *leave one out cross validation (LOOCV)*. In practice, k is often 5 or 10.