> Answer the questions in the boxes provided on the question sheets. If you run out of room for an answer, add a page to the end of the document.

Name: _Tongsung Park_   Wisc id: _908 022 3069_

Divide and Conquer

1. *Erickson, Jeff. Algorithms (p.49, q. 6).* Use recursion trees to solve each of the following recurrences.

   (a) $C(n) = 2C(n/4) + n^2$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2^i \left(\frac{n}{4^i}\right)^2 = n^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{8^i}$$

$$= n^2 \left(\frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^k}{\frac{7}{8}}\right) = \frac{8}{7} n^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^k\right)$$

$\frac{n^2}{8^k}$ is the lowest level

$\Rightarrow \frac{n^2}{8^k} = 1 \Rightarrow k = \log_8 n^2$

$\left(\frac{}{}\right) \frac{8}{7} n^2 \left(1 - 8^{\log_8 n^2}\right) = \frac{8}{7} n^2 - \frac{8}{7} n^2 \cdot n^{-2}$

$= \frac{8}{7} n^2 - 1 = O(n^2)$

   (b) $E(n) = 3E(n/3) + n$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(3^k \cdot \frac{n}{3^k}\right) = (k+1) n$$

$\frac{n}{3^k}$ is the lowest level of the tree $\Rightarrow \frac{n}{3^k} = 1 \Rightarrow k = \log_3 n$

$(\log_3 n + 1) n = O(n \log n)$

2. *Kleinberg, Jon. Algorithm Design (p. 246, q. 1).* You are interested in analyzing some hard-to-obtain data from two separate databases. Each database contains n numerical values—so there are $2n$ values total—and you may assume that no two values are the same. You'd like to determine the median of this set of $2n$ values, which we will define here to be the nth smallest value.

However, the only way you can access these values is through queries to the databases. In a single query, you can specify a value k to one of the two databases, and the chosen database will return the kth smallest value that it contains. Since queries are expensive, you would like to compute the median using as few queries as possible.

Give an algorithm that finds the median value using at most $O(\log n)$ queries.

```
FindMedian
Input   data A, data B (Both are size of n, sorted in ascending order)
Output  median of a combined data A and B
P₁ = P₂ = n/2
for i = 2 to log n
    M₁ = Query (A, P₁)
    M₂ = Query (B, P₂)
    if M₁ > M₂
        P₁ = P₁ - n/2ⁱ
        P₂ = P₂ + n/2ⁱ
    else
        P₁ = P₁ + n/2ⁱ
        P₂ = P₂ - n/2ⁱ
    end
return min (M₁, M₂)
```

3. *Kleinberg, Jon. Algorithm Design (p. 246, q. 2).* Recall the problem of finding the number of inversions. As in the text, we are given a sequence of n numbers $a_1, ..., a_n$, which we assume are all distinct, and we define an inversion to be a pair $i < j$ such that $a_i > a_j$.

We motivated the problem of counting inversions as a good measure of how different two orderings are. However, this measure is very sensitive. Let's call a pair a significant inversion if $i < j$ and $a_i > 2a_j$. Give an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm to count the number of significant inversions between two orderings.

```
Input   an array A, left index, right index        Input   an array A, left index, middle index, right index
output  # inversions                               Output  # invasions
                                                   Merge Count
Divide                                             P₁ = left, P₂ = middle + 1 (two pointers from left and middle)
    if left > right                                while P₁ < middle and P₂ < right
        return                                         if A[P₁] < 2A[P₂]
    end                                                    P₁++
    m = (left + right)/2                            else
    a = Divide (A, left, m)                             increment # inversions by 1
    b = Divide (A, m+1, right)                          P₂++
    C = Merge Count (A, left, m, right)            end
    return a+b+c                                    return # invasions
```

4. *Kleinberg, Jon. Algorithm Design (p. 246, q. 3).* You're consulting for a bank that's concerned about fraud detection. They have a collection of n bank cards that they've confiscated, suspecting them of being used in fraud.

   It's difficult to read the account number off a bank card directly, but the bank has an "equivalence tester" that takes two bank cards and determines whether they correspond to the same account.

   Their question is the following: among the collection of n cards, is there a set of more than $\frac{n}{2}$ of them that all correspond to the same account? Assume that the only feasible operations you can do with the cards are to pick two of them and plug them in to the equivalence tester. Show how to decide the answer to their question with only $O(n \log n)$ invocations of the equivalence tester.

   ```
   Input an array A of cards, n
   Output true if a set has more than n/2
   Find
     if size of A is 1       | if size of set1 > n/2
     | return false          | | return true
     end                     | else
     pivot = A[0]            | | find(set2, n)
     for a ∈ A               | end
       if a == pivot
       | Set1.append(a)
       else
       | Set2.append(a)
       end
     end
   ```

5. Implement the optimal algorithm for inversion counting in either C, C++, C#, Java, or Python. Be efficient and implement it in $O(n \log n)$ time, where n is the number of elements in the ranking.

   The input will start with an positive integer, giving the number of instances that follow. For each instance, there will be a positive integer, giving the number of elements in the ranking. A sample input is the following:

   ```
   2
   5
   5 4 3 2 1
   4
   1 5 9 8
   ```

   The sample input has two instances. The first instance has 5 elements and the second has 4. For each instance, your program should output the number of inversions on a separate line. Each output line should be terminated by a newline. The correct output to the sample input would be:

   ```
   10
   1
   ```