SPICE simulation in Python

This assignment asked us to solve purely resistive networks (without any dependant sources) described in the SPICE format.

Usage

```
from evalSpice import evalSpice
node_voltages, source_currents = evalSpice("path/to/file.ckt")
```

```
py evalSpice.py "path/to/file.ckt"
```

Grammar

The circuit file provided must follow the following grammar while defining the circuit

```
# Comments are defined by `#`
Any lines before the circuit definition are ignored
.circuit # The circuit definition begins with `.circuit`
   # The following lines must describe the branches in the circuit
    # NOTE: All names are supposed to be alphanumeric (`_` is also allowed)
    # NOTE: `GND` is a special node that acts as a reference (V(GND) = 0)
    # NOTE: Everything is case sensitive (i.e `GND != gND` etc.)
    # NOTE: All branches must have a unique name
    # Voltage sources are defined as follows
    # Vx<alnum> nodeA<alnum> nodeB<alnum> type<'ac' | 'dc> volts<float>
    V1 n1 n2 dc 1e-3
    # NOTE: Here V(n1) - V(n2) = 1e-3 Volts
    # Current sources are defined as follows
    # Ix<alnum> nodeA<alnum> nodeB<alnum> type<'ac' | 'dc> amps<float>
    I1 n1 n2 dc 1.2
    # NOTE: Here current flowing from n1 to n2 is 1.2 Amps
    # Resistors are defined as follows
    # Rx<alnum> nodeA<alnum> nodeB<alnum> ohms<float>
    R1 n1 n2 1
.end # The circuit definition must end with `.end`
Any lines after the circuit definition are ignored
```

Implementation Details

To understand the exact specifics of how everything is done just read the code Imao (It is well documented).

But here is the gist of it:

Consider the following ckt file

```
.circuit
V1 1 GND dc 2
R1 1 2 0
R2 2 GND 1
.end
```

1. This file first parsed into partial branch data

(source type is discarded, since we don't handle circuits with multiple types of sources anyways)

2. The nodes are the assigned indices, branches now refer to these instead of the node names. Resistors with 0 resistance are converted into voltage sources of 0 volts in this stage.

```
1.0
```

3. A system of equations is now formed to solve for the unknowns.

```
# For Gx = y
G = [
   [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0, 1.0],
    [0.0, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0],
   [0.0, 0.0, 1.0, 0.0, -1.0],
   [1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0],
    [1.0, 0.0, -1.0, 0.0, 0.0],
]
y = [
   0.0,
   0.0,
   0.0,
   2.0,
   0.0
]
0.00
X = [
   V("1"),
   V("GND"),
   V("2"),
   I("V1"),
    I("R1") # since R1 was converted into a voltage source, this also is
solved for
0.00
```

4. Finally the required data is extracted and returned as 2 dictionaries (The current flowing through 0 resistance resistor isn't reported even though it was computed (based on my interpretation of the problem statement this made sense))

```
node_voltages = {
    "1": 2.0,
    "GND": 0.0,
    "2": 2.0
}
source_currents = {
    "V1": -2.0
}
```

Resistances of 0 resistance (wires):

Since we add terms like 1/R to the coefficient matrix, special care must be taken while handling R = 0 (i.e just a plain wire).

I've handled this by treated such resistances as voltage sources of V = 0. This was the easiest way to update the coefficient matrix correctly with the implementation I had going already.

(As an added bonus you get to solve for current in the wire also. But I don't report this value as the problem statement didn't ask for it)

The test cases extra/wire1.ckt and extra/wire2.ckt test these out

Multiple wires between the same 2 nodes:

This problem arises due to how I handle wires (0 resistance resistors).

Consider 2 wires from node1 to node2 in parallel. I would then treat these as 2 voltage sources and make corresponding equations. But notice that we can't actually solve for the current going through these *pseudo* voltage sources. Therefore the equations would not produce a solution. But notice that in the original circuit, we were never required to sovle for current in this branch.

I've handled this by simply removing duplicate wires (max only 1 wire between any 2 nodes). This fixes the afformentioned issue.

Now an argument can be made whether the circuit is *really* solvable. It is true that we can never find the individual current through the 2 wires and thereforce the circuit technically can't be solved. But since the problem statement just wanted node voltages and voltage source currents (which are solvable) I argue that a circuit error must not be raised here. (I do print out a warning though)

The test case extra/wire3.ckt tests this case

Empty circuit:

Techincally an empty circuit is already solved:)

(Even though there is no GND for reference)

The test case extra/empty.ckt tests this case

Errors and Warnings

I print out many annoying useful warnings on some cases where I thought that user might need some clarifications. (Give some bad inputs to check out what all warnings I give. Alternatively just read the code bro). Set SHOW WARNINGS to False to disable warnings.

The following are a few errors and warnings raised

- If the file doesn't exist a FileNotFoundError is raised
- If the circuit file is malformed (invalid format), I print out a warning stating what exactly is wrong (and the line no in which the issue was faced). Then a ValueError is raised

• If the circuit contains sources of both types (ac and dc), a warning is printed (As we only handle circuits that have the same type of source)

- If there is no ground node for reference a warning is printed out saying so and a ValueError is raised
- As mentioned in the special cases, a warning is printed when multiple wires exist between the same 2 nodes
- If the user provides an empty circuit a warning is printed
- If the circuit has no solution a ValueError is raised

References and Discussion

- A few of my friends and I had discussions on how to construct the coefficient matrix.
- This resource had a nice writeup on how to construct the coefficient matrix.