

## LESSON 5 SOLUTIONS

1) **Proposition:** Show using Euler's equation that the two angle-sum formulae hold:

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \beta \cos \alpha$$

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$

**Discussion:** We'll expand  $e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}$  and  $e^{i\alpha}e^{i\beta}$ . We'll then equate the imaginary and real parts of both equations to show that the identities hold.

**Proof:** Consider  $e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}$ . This can be rewritten as  $e^{i\alpha}e^{i\beta}$  using the general properties of exponents.

Let's expand  $e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}$ :

$$e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} = \cos(\alpha + \beta) + i \sin(\alpha + \beta)$$

Now, let's expand  $e^{i\alpha}e^{i\beta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\alpha}e^{i\beta} &= (\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha)(\cos \beta + i \sin \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta + i \cos \alpha \sin \beta + i \sin \alpha \cos \beta + i^2 \sin \alpha \sin \beta = \\ &= (\cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta) + i(\cos \alpha \sin \beta + \sin \alpha \cos \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Since the above expressions are all equal, we can write

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) + i \sin(\alpha + \beta) = (\cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta) + i(\cos \alpha \sin \beta + \sin \alpha \cos \beta)$$

For the above equation to be true, the real and imaginary parts must be equal. Thus, we get the double-angle formulae:

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \beta \cos \alpha$$

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$

□

2) **a) Proposition:**  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) \iff z \in R_0^+$

**Discussion:** To prove the biconditional statement, we'll need to prove that  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) \Rightarrow z \in R_0^+$  and  $z \in R_0^+ \Rightarrow |z| = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ .

To prove the first statement, we'll prove the contrapositive to get information about  $z$ . Since  $z \notin R_0^+$ , we can take  $z = -a$  and  $z = a + bi$  (we're looking at both values to cover all possible values of  $z$  outside of  $R_0^+$ ). We can find the magnitude of both  $z$  values and show that it is not equal to  $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ .

To prove the second statement, since  $z \in R_0^+$ , we can say that there is some  $a \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  such that  $z = a$ . From there, we can find  $|z|$  and show that it is equal to  $a$  which is the real part of  $z$ , thus proving the second statement.

Now that we've proven both statements, we can say that the original biconditional statement is true.

**Proof:** To prove that  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) \iff z \in R_0^+$ , we will need to prove that  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) \Rightarrow z \in R_0^+$  and  $z \in R_0^+ \Rightarrow |z| = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ .

To prove the first statement  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) \Rightarrow z \in R_0^+$ , we'll prove the contrapositive which states that  $z \notin R_0^+ \Rightarrow |z| \neq \operatorname{Re}(z)$ . Since  $z \notin R_0^+$ , there are two general possibilities for  $z$ .  $z_1 = -a$  or  $z_2 = b + ci$  where  $a \in R_0^+$  and  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . The real parts are  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = -a$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(z_2) = b$ . Now, let's look at both cases:

$$|z_1| = \sqrt{(-a)^2} = \sqrt{a^2} = a \neq \operatorname{Re}(z_1)$$

$$|z_2| = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2} \neq \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$$

. In both cases, we have shown  $|z| \neq \operatorname{Re}(z)$  as desired, thus proving the contrapositive and the original statement.

To prove the second statement  $z \in R_0^+ \Rightarrow |z| = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ , we'll start by letting  $z = a$  for some  $a \in R_0^+$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = a$ . Now, let's look at  $|z|$ :

$$|z| = \sqrt{a^2} = a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$$

Thus, we have shown that  $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z)$  as desired, proving the second statement.

Now that we've proven both conditional statements, we can say that we've proven the biconditional statement " $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z)$  if and only if  $z$  is a non-negative real number."

□

**b) Proposition:**  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$  if and only if  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary.

**Dicussion:** To prove the proposition, we need to prove two conditional statements "If  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ , then  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary." and "If  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary, then  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ ".

To prove the first statement, we will prove the contrapositive since that gives us information about  $z$ . The contrapositive states that "If  $z$  is not purely imaginary and not purely real, then  $(\bar{z})^2 \neq z^2$ " which is equivalent to saying "If  $z$  is a complex number with real and imaginary parts, then  $(\bar{z})^2 \neq z^2$ ". We can show this by letting  $z = a + bi$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and show how  $(\bar{z})^2 \neq z^2$ .

To prove the second statement, we'll look at two cases:  $z$  is purely real, and  $z$  is purely imaginary and show how in each case  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ .

**Proof:** To prove the biconditional statement, we will need to prove two conditional statements: "If  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ , then  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary" and "If  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary, then  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ ".

To prove the first statement "If  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ , then  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary", we will prove the contrapositive which states that "If  $z$  is a complex number with real and imaginary parts, then  $(\bar{z})^2 \neq z^2$ ". Since  $z$  has real and imaginary parts, we can write  $z = a + bi$  where  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . From this, we then know that  $z^2 = a^2 - b^2 + 2abi$ . Thus,

$$(\bar{z})^2 = (a - bi)^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2abi \neq z^2$$

Thus, we have shown that  $(\bar{z})^2 \neq z^2$ , as desired, proving the contrapositive. Since we have proved the contrapositive, we have proven the first statement.

To prove the second statement "If  $z$  is purely real or purely imaginary, then  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ ", we'll start by looking at two cases:  $z$  is purely real or  $z$  is purely imaginary.

- **$z$  is purely real:** If  $z$  is purely real, then  $z = a + 0i$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$(\bar{z})^2 = (a - 0i)^2 = (a)^2 = z^2$$

Thus, we have shown that when  $z$  is purely real,  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ .

- **$z$  is purely imaginary:** If  $z$  is purely imaginary, then  $z = 0 + bi$  where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$(\bar{z})^2 = (0 - bi)^2 = (-bi)^2 = (-1)^2(bi)^2 = (bi)^2 = z^2$$

Thus, we have shown that when  $z$  is purely imaginary,  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$ .

We have shown in both cases that  $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$  as desired, so we have proven the second statement.

Now that we've proven both conditional statements, we have proven the statement " $(\bar{z})^2 = z^2$  if and only if  $z$  is either purely real or purely imaginary".

□

**3) a) Proposition:** If  $z = a + bi$  and  $w = c + di$ , then  $|z \cdot w| = |z| \cdot |w|$

**Dicussion:** We'll show that the equation is true by just plugging in the cartesian forms and questioning whether the equation is true. Once we show that a true statement emerges, we'll know the statement is true.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z \cdot w| &\stackrel{?}{=} |z| \cdot |w| \\
 |(a + bi) \cdot (c + di)| &\stackrel{?}{=} |a + bi| \cdot |c + di| \\
 |ac + adi + bci - bd| &\stackrel{?}{=} |a + bi| \cdot |c + di| \\
 \sqrt{(ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2} &\stackrel{?}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \cdot \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} \\
 \sqrt{(ac)^2 - 2abcd + (bd)^2 + (ad)^2 + 2abcd + (bc)^2} &\stackrel{?}{=} \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)} \\
 (ac)^2 + (bd)^2 + (ad)^2 + (bc)^2 &\stackrel{?}{=} (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) \\
 a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2 &\stackrel{?}{=} a^2c^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2 + b^2d^2 \\
 0 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that when  $z = a + bi$  and  $w = c + di$ ,  $|z \cdot w| = |z| \cdot |w|$ .

□

**b) Proposition:** If  $z = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $w = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$ , then  $|z \cdot w| = |z| \cdot |w|$

**Dicussion:** We'll show that the equation is true by just plugging in the polar forms and and questioning whether the equation is true. Once we show that a true statement emerges, we'll know the statement is true. We'll make use of the fact that if  $z = r e^{i\theta}$ , then  $|z| = r$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z \cdot w| &\stackrel{?}{=} |z| \cdot |w| \\
 |r_1 e^{i\theta_1} \cdot r_2 e^{i\theta_2}| &\stackrel{?}{=} |r_1 e^{i\theta_1}| \cdot |r_2 e^{i\theta_2}| \\
 |(r_1 \cdot r_2) e^{i\theta_1 + i\theta_2}| &\stackrel{?}{=} r_1 \cdot r_2 \\
 |(r_1 \cdot r_2) e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}| &\stackrel{?}{=} r_1 \cdot r_2 \\
 r_1 \cdot r_2 &= r_1 \cdot r_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that when  $z = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $w = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$ , then  $|z \cdot w| = |z| \cdot |w|$ .

□

4) For all the following parts, let  $z = a + bi$  and  $w = c + di$ .

**a) Proposition:**  $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$

**Discussion:** We'll show that the equation is true by just plugging in the cartesian forms of  $z$  and  $w$  and questioning whether the equation is true. Once we show that a true statement emerges, we'll know the statement is true.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{z + w} &\stackrel{?}{=} \bar{z} + \bar{w} \\ \overline{a + bi + c + di} &\stackrel{?}{=} \overline{a + bi} + \overline{c + di} \\ \overline{(a + c) + (b + d)i} &\stackrel{?}{=} (a - bi) + (c - di) \\ (a + c) - (b + d)i &\stackrel{?}{=} a + c - bi - di \\ (a + c) - (b + d)i &= a + c - (b + d)i\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that  $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$ . □

**b) Proposition:**  $\overline{z \cdot w} = \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w}$

**Discussion:** Similar to (a), we'll show that the equation holds by just plugging in the cartesian forms of  $z$  and  $w$  and questioning whether the equation is true. Once we show that a true statement emerges, we'll know the statement is true.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{z \cdot w} &\stackrel{?}{=} \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w} \\ \overline{(a + bi) \cdot (c + di)} &\stackrel{?}{=} \overline{a + bi} \cdot \overline{c + di} \\ \overline{ac + adi + bci + i^2bd} &\stackrel{?}{=} (a - bi) \cdot (c - di) \\ \overline{(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i} &\stackrel{?}{=} ac - adi - bci + i^2bd \\ (ac - bd) - (ad + bc)i &= (ac - bd) - (ad + bc)i\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that  $\overline{z \cdot w} = \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w}$ . □

**c) Proposition:** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\overline{z^n} = (\bar{z})^n$

**Discussion:** We'll prove this statement by rewriting  $\overline{z^n}$  as  $\overline{z^{n-1} \cdot z}$  and  $(\bar{z})^n$  as  $(\bar{z})^{n-1} \cdot (\bar{z})$ . Since  $z^{n-1}$  and  $z$  are different complex numbers, we can see from (b) that the initial statement holds.

**Proof:** To start, let's rewrite  $\overline{z^n} \stackrel{?}{=} (\bar{z})^n$  as

$$\overline{z^{n-1} \cdot z} = (\bar{z})^{n-1} \cdot (\bar{z})$$

using our exponent properties. Since  $z^{n-1}$  and  $z$  are just different complex numbers, we can see from (b) that the original equation holds true. Thus,  $\overline{z^n} = (\bar{z})^n$ . □

**d) Proposition:** Consider the polynomial  $p(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \alpha_{n-1} z^{n-2} + \cdots + \alpha_i z + \alpha_0$  where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $p(w) = 0$  such that  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , show that  $p(\bar{w}) = 0$ .

**Discussion:** This problem seems daunting at first, but all it comes down to is generalizing the statements we proved in (a) - (c). The best way to show this is to look at a simpler case. Let  $f(z) = \alpha_i z^2 + \alpha_i z + \alpha_0$  where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root to  $f(z)$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ . Let's plug in  $\bar{x}$ . We get that  $f(\bar{x}) = \alpha_2 (\bar{x})^2 + \alpha_1 \bar{x} + \alpha_0$ . Using (c), we can rewrite this as  $f(\bar{x}) = \alpha_2 \overline{x^2} + \alpha_1 \bar{x} + \alpha_0$ . Since  $\alpha_i$  is a real constant, when multiplied with a complex number, it'll just give another complex number. Similarly, raising a complex number to a natural number just gives us another complex number. That means that  $\alpha_2 \overline{x^2}$  and  $\alpha_1 \bar{x}$  is just another complex number. Thus, we can use (a) to rewrite it as  $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{\alpha_2 x^2 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_0}$ . Now, we recognize that  $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$ . Since  $f(x) = 0$  and  $\overline{0} = 0$ ,  $f(\bar{x}) = 0$ . This can be applied to any polynomial like  $f$ , in our case  $p$ , without loss of generality.

Note that while (b) wasn't directly applied, it was needed to prove (c) which is directly applied.

**Proof:** We'll start by looking at  $p(z)$  and generally applying (a) - (c)

$$p(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \alpha_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 z + \alpha_0$$

$$p(w) = \alpha_n w^n + \alpha_{n-1} w^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 w + \alpha_0 = 0$$

$$p(\overline{w}) = \alpha_n(\overline{w})^n + \alpha_{n-1}(\overline{w})^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1\overline{w} + \alpha_0$$

Using (c), we can go through each term and rewrite it:

$$p(\overline{w}) = \alpha_n \overline{w}^n + \alpha_{n-1} \overline{w}^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 \overline{w} + \alpha_0$$

Since  $\alpha_i$  is a real constant, when multiplied with a complex number, it'll just give another complex number. Similarly, raising a complex number to a natural number just gives us another complex number.

Thus, using (a) in a general sense (if we can apply it two terms, we can apply to  $n$  terms W.L.O.G.)

$$p(\overline{w}) = \overline{\alpha_n w^n + \alpha_{n-1} w^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 w + \alpha_0}$$

$$p(\overline{w}) = \overline{p(w)}$$

$$p(\overline{w}) = \overline{0}$$

$$p(\overline{w}) = 0$$

Thus, we have proven that when we have a real polynomial using complex numbers (say  $f$ ) and  $f(w) = 0$ , then  $f(\overline{w}) = 0$  as well.

☐