## I. Chapter 1

## 1. Preliminaries: Set theory and categories

1.1. Locate a discussion of Russel's paradox, and understand it.

Solution. Recall that, in naive set theory, any collection of objects that satisfy some property can be called a set. Russel's paradox can be illustrated as follows. Let R be the set of all sets that do not contain themselves. Then, if  $R \notin R$ , then by definition it must be the case that  $R \in R$ ; similarly, if  $R \in R$  then it must be the case that  $R \notin R$ .

**1.2.**  $\triangleright$  Prove that if  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set S, then the corresponding family  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$  defined in §1.5 is indeed a partition of S; that is, its elements are nonempty, disjoint, and their union is S. [§1.5]

Solution. Let S be a set with an equivalence relation  $\sim$ . Consider the family of equivalence classes w.r.t.  $\sim$  over S:

$$\mathscr{P}_{\sim} = \{ [a]_{\sim} \mid a \in S \}$$

Let  $[a]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . Since  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, by reflexivity we have  $a \sim a$ , so  $[a]_{\sim}$  is nonempty. Now, suppose a and b are arbitrary elements in S such that  $a \not\sim b$ . For contradiction, suppose that there is an  $x \in [a]_{\sim} \cap [b]_{\sim}$ . This means that  $x \sim a$  and  $x \sim b$ . By transitivity, we get that  $a \sim b$ ; this is a contradiction. Hence the  $[a]_{\sim}$  are disjoint. Finally, let  $x \in S$ . Then  $x \in [x]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . This means that

$$\bigcup_{[a]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}} [a]_{\sim} = S,$$

that is, the union of the elements of  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$  is S.

**1.3.**  $\triangleright$  Given a partition  $\mathscr{P}$  on a set S, show how to define a relation  $\sim$  such that  $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . [§1.5]

Solution. Define, for  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \sim b$  if and only if there exists an  $X \in \mathscr{P}$  such that  $a \in X$  and  $b \in X$ . We will show that  $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ .

- 1.  $(\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_{\sim})$ . Let  $X \in \mathscr{P}$ ; we want to show that  $X \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . We know that X is nonempty, so choose  $a \in X$  and consider  $[a]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . We need to show that  $X = [a]_{\sim}$ . Suppose  $a' \in X$  (it may be that a' = a.) Since  $a, a' \in X$ ,  $a \sim a'$ , so  $a' \in [a]_{\sim}$ . Now, suppose  $a' \in [a]_{\sim}$ . We have  $a' \sim a$ , so  $a' \in X$ . Hence  $X = [a]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ , so  $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ .
- 2.  $(\mathscr{P}_{\sim} \subseteq \mathscr{P})$ . Let  $[a]_{\sim} \in \mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . From exercise I.1.1 we know that  $[a]_{\sim}$  is non-empty. Suppose  $a' \in [a]_{\sim}$ . By definition, since  $a' \sim a$ , there exists a set X such that

 $a, a' \in X$ . Hence  $[a]_{\sim} \subseteq X$ . Also, if  $a, a' \in X$  (not necessarily distinct) then  $a \sim a'$ . Therefore,  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim} \subseteq \mathscr{P}$ , and with 1. we get that the sets  $\mathscr{P}$  and  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$  are equal.

**1.4.** How many different equivalence relations can be defined on the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ?

Solution. From the definition of an equivalence relation and the solution to problem **I.1.3**, we can see that an equivalence relation on S is equivalent to a partition of S. Thus the number of equivalence relations on S is equal to the number of partitions of S. Since  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is small we can determine this by hand:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{ \{1, 2, 3\} \}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\} \} \}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\} \}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = \{ \{1\}, \{2, 3\} \}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = \{ \{1, 3\}, \{2\} \}$$

Thus there can be only 5 equivalence relations defined on  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

1.5. Give an example of a relation that is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive. What happens if you attempt to use this relation to define a partition on the set? (Hint: Thinking about the second question will help you answer the first one.)

Solution. For  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ , define  $a \diamond b$  to be true if and only if  $|a-b| \leq 1$ . It is reflexive, since  $a \diamond a = |a-a| = 0 \leq 1$  for any  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and it is symmetric since  $a \diamond b = |a-b| = |b-a| = b \diamond a$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ . However, it is not transitive. Take for example a = 0, b = 1, c = 2. Then we have  $|a-b| = 1 \leq 1$ , and  $|b-c| = 1 \leq 1$ , but |a-c| = 2 > 1; so  $a \diamond b$  and  $b \diamond c$ , but not  $a \diamond c$ .

When we try to build a partition of **Z** using  $\diamond$ , we get "equivalence classes" that are not disjoint. For example,  $[2]_{\diamond} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , but  $[3]_{\diamond} = \{2, 3, 4\}$ . Hence  $\mathscr{P}_{\diamond}$  is not a partition of **Z**.

**1.6.** Define a relation  $\sim$  on the set **R** of real numbers by setting  $a \sim b \iff b-a \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Prove that this is an equivalence relation, and find a 'compelling' description for  $\mathbf{R} / \sim$ . Do the same for the relation  $\approx$  on the plane  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  by declaring  $(a_1, b_1) \approx (a_2, b_2) \iff b_1 - a_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $b_2 - a_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . [§II.8.1, II.8.10]

Solution. Suppose  $a,b,c\in\mathbf{R}$ . We have that  $a-a=0\in\mathbf{Z}$ , so  $\sim$  is reflexive. If  $a\sim b$ , then b-a=k for some  $k\in\mathbf{Z}$ , so  $a-b=-k\in\mathbf{Z}$ , hence  $b\sim a$ . So  $\sim$  is symmetric. Now, suppose that  $a\sim b$  and  $b\sim c$ , in particular that  $b-a=k\in\mathbf{Z}$  and  $c-b=l\in\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $c-a=(c-b)+(b-a)=l+k\in\mathbf{Z}$ , so  $a\sim c$ . So  $\sim$  is transitive.

An equivalence class  $[a]_{\sim} \in \mathbf{R} /\!\!\sim$  is the set of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$  transposed by some real number  $\epsilon \in [0,1)$ . That is, for every set  $X \in \mathbf{R} /\!\!\sim$ , there is a real number  $\epsilon \in [0,1)$  such that every  $x \in X$  is of the form  $k + \epsilon$  for some integer k.

Now we will show that  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation over  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ . Supposing  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ , we have  $a_1 - a_1 = a_2 - a_2 = 0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ , so  $(a_1, a_2) \approx (a_1, a_2)$ . If we also suppose that  $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ , then symmetry and transitivity can be shown as well:  $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2) \implies b_1 - a_1 = k$  for some integer k and  $b_2 - a_2 = l$  for some integer l, hence  $a_1 - b_1 = -k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $a_2 - b_2 = -l \in \mathbf{Z}$ , so  $(b_1, b_2) \approx (a_1, a_2)$ ; also if  $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2)$  and  $(b_1, b_2) \approx (c_1, c_2)$ , then  $(b_1, b_2) - (a_1, a_2) = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$  as well as  $(c_1, c_2) - (b_1, b_2) = (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ , so  $(c_1, c_2) - (a_1, a_2) = (c_1, c_2) - (b_1, b_2) + (b_1, b_2) - (a_1, a_2) = (k_1 + l_1, k_2 + l_2 \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation.

The interpretation of  $\approx$  is similar to  $\sim$ . An equivalence class  $X \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} / \approx$  is just the 2-dimensional integer lattice  $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$  transposed by some pair of values  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ .

## 2. Functions between sets

**2.1.** How many different bijections are there between a set with n elements and itself?

Solution. A function  $f: S \to S$  is a graph  $\Gamma_f \subseteq S \times S$ . Since f is bijective, then for all  $y \in S$  there exists a unique  $x \in S$  such that  $(x,y) \in \Gamma_f$ . We can see that  $|\Gamma_f| = n$ . Since each x must be unique, all the elements  $x \in S$  must be present in the first component of exactly one pair in  $\Gamma_f$ . Furthermore, if we order the elements (x,y) in  $\Gamma_f$  by the first component, we can see that  $\Gamma_f$  is just a permutation on the n elements in S. For example, for  $S = \{1,2,3\}$  one such  $\Gamma_f$  is:

$$\{(1,3),(2,2),(3,1)\}$$

Since |S| = n, the number of permutations of S is n!. Hence there can be n! different bijections between S and itself.

**2.2.**  $\triangleright$  Prove statement (2) in Proposition 2.1. You may assume that given a family of disjoint subsets of a set, there is a way to choose one element in each member of the family. [§2.5, V3.3]

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume  $A \neq$ , and let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a function. Then

- (1) f has a left-inverse if and only if f is injective; and
- (2) f has a right-inverse if and only if f is surjective.

Solution. Let  $A \neq$  and suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a function.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose there exists a function g that is a right-inverse of f. Then  $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_A$ . Let  $b \in B$ . We have that f(g(b)) = b, so there exists an a = g(b) such that f(a) = b. Hence f is surjective.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose that f is surjective. We want to construct a function  $g: B \to A$  such that f(g(a)) = a for all  $a \in A$ . Since f is surjective, for all  $b \in B$  there is an  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. For each  $b \in B$  construct a set  $\Lambda_b$  of such pairs:

$$\Lambda_b = \{ (a, b) \mid a \in A, f(a) = b \}$$

Note that  $\Lambda_b$  is non-empty for all  $b \in B$ . So that we can choose one pair (a, b) (a not necessarily unique) from each set in  $\Lambda = \{ \Lambda_b \mid b \in B \}$  to define  $g : B \to A$ :

$$g(b) = a$$
, where a is in some  $(a, b) \in \Lambda_b$ 

Now, g is a right-inverse of f. To show this, let  $b \in B$ . Since f in surjective, g has been defined such that when a = g(b), f(a) = b, so we get that  $f(g(b)) = (f \circ g)(b) = b$ , thus g is a right-inverse of f.

**2.3.** Prove that the inverse of a bijection is a bijection and that the composition of two bijections is a bijection.

Solution. (1) Suppose  $f: A \to B$  is a bijection, and that  $f^{-1}: B \to A$  is its inverse. We have that  $f \circ f^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_B$  and  $f^{-1} \circ f = \mathrm{id}_A$ . Hence f is the left- and right-inverse of  $f^{-1}$ , so  $f^{-1}$  must be a bijection.

- (2) Let  $f: B \to C$  and  $g: A \to B$  be bijections, and consider  $f \circ g$ . To show that f is injective, let  $a, a' \in A$  such that  $(f \circ g)(a) = (f \circ g)(a')$ . Since f is a bijection,  $f(g(a)) = f(g(a')) \implies g(a) = g(a')$ . Also, since g is a bijection,  $g(a) = g(a') \implies a = a'$ . Hence  $f \circ g$  is injective. Now, let  $c \in C$ . Since f is surjective, there is a  $b \in B$  such that f(b) = c. Also, since g is surjective, there is an  $a \in A$  such that g(a) = b; this means that there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $(f \circ g)(a) = c$ . So  $f \circ g$  is bijective.
- **2.4.**  $\triangleright$  Prove that 'isomorphism' is an equivalence relation (on any set of sets.) [§4.1]

Solution. Let S be a set. Then  $\mathrm{id}_S$  is a bijection from S to itself, so  $S \cong S$ . Let T be another set with  $S \cong T$ , i.e. that there exists a bijection  $f: S \to T$ . Since f is a bijection, it has an inverse  $f^{-1}: T \to S$ , so  $T \cong S$ . Finally, let U also be a set, and assume that there exists bijections  $f: S \to T$  and  $g: T \to U$ , i.e. that  $S \cong T$  and  $T \cong U$ . From exercise **I.2.3** we know that the composition of bijections is itself a bijection. This means that  $g \circ f: S \to U$  is a bijection, so  $S \cong U$ . Hence  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation.

**2.5.**  $\triangleright$  Formulate a notion of *epimorphism*, in the style of the notion of *monomorphism* seen in §2.6, and prove a result analogous to Proposition 2.3, for epimorphisms and surjections.

Solution. A function  $f: A \to B$  is an *epimorphism* if and only if for all sets Z and all functions  $b': Z \to B$ , there is a function  $a': Z \to A$  such that  $f \circ a' = b'$ . Now we will show that f is a surjection if and only if it is an epimorphism.

- $(\Longrightarrow)$  Suppose that  $f:A\to B$  is surjective. Let Z be a set and  $b':Z\to B$  a function. We need to construct a function  $a':Z\to A$  such that  $f\circ a'=b'$ . Fix  $z\in Z$ . Suppose  $b=b'(z)\in B$ . Since  $b\in B$  and f is surjective, there exists an  $a\in A$  such f(a)=b. Now, define a'(z)=a this way for each  $z\in Z$ . Then  $f\circ a'(z)=b'(z)$  for all  $z\in Z$ , so  $f\circ a'=b'$ . Hence f is an epimorphism.
- ( $\iff$ ) Suppose that f is an epimorphism. Let  $b': B \to B$  be a bijection. Since f is an epimophism, there is a function  $a': B \to A$  such that  $f \circ a' = b'$ . Let  $b \in B$ . Since b' is a bijection, there is a unique element  $y \in B$  such that b'(y) = b. Furthermore, we have that  $(f \circ a')(y) = b$ . In other words, a = a'(y) is an element in a such that f(a) = b. Hence f is surjective, as required.
- **2.6.** With notation as in Example 2.4, explain how any function  $f: A \to B$  determines a section of  $\pi_A$ .

Solution. Let  $f:A\to B$  and let  $\pi_A:A\times B\to A$  be such that  $\pi_A(a,b)=a$  for all  $(a,b)\in A\times B$ . Construct  $g:A\to A\times B$  defined as g(a)=(a,f(a)) for all  $a\in A$ . The function g can be thought of as 'determined by' f. Now, since  $(\pi_A\circ g)(a)=\pi_A(g(a))=\pi_A(a,f(a))=a$  for all  $a\in A$ , g is a right inverse of  $\pi_A$ , i.e. g is a section of  $\pi_A$  as required.

**2.7.** Let  $f:A\to B$  be any function. Prove that the graph  $\Gamma_f$  of f is isomorphic to A.

Solution. Recall that sets  $\Gamma_A$  and A are isomorphic, written  $\Gamma_A \cong A$ , if and only if there exists a bijection  $g: \Gamma_A \to A$ . Let's construct such a function g, defined to be g(a,b)=a. Keep in mind that here  $(a,b)\in\Gamma_f\subseteq A\times B$ .

Let  $(a',b'), (a'',b'') \in \Gamma_f$  such that f(a',b') = f(a'',b''). For contradiction, suppose that  $(a',b') \neq (a'',b'')$ . Since f(a',b') = a' = a'' = f(a'',b''), it must be that  $b' \neq b''$ . However, this would mean that both (a',b') and (a',b'') are in  $\Gamma_f$ ; this would mean that  $f(a') = b' \neq b'' = f(a')$ , which is impossible since f is a function. Hence g is injective.

- Let  $a' \in A$ . Since f is a well-defined function with A as its domain, there must exists a pair  $(a',b') \in \Gamma_f$  for some  $b' \in B$ , in particular that g(a',b') = a'; thus g is surjective, so it is a bijection.
- **2.8.** Describe as explicitly as you can all terms in the canonical decomposition (cf. §2.8) of the function  $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$  defined by  $r \mapsto e^{2\pi i r}$ . (This exercise matches one

previously. Which one?)

Solution. Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$  be as above. The first piece in the canonical decomposition is the equivalence relation  $\sim$  defined as  $x \sim x' \iff f(x) = f(x')$ , i.e.  $[x]_{\sim}$  is the set of all elements in  $\mathbf{R}$  that get mapped to the same element in  $\mathbf{C}$  by f as x.

The second piece is the set  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$ . This set is the set of all equivalence classes of **R** over equality up to f. Note that, since  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i x} = \cos(2\pi x) + i\sin(2\pi x)$ , f is periodic with period 1. That is,  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i x} = e^{2\pi i x + 2\pi} = e^{2\pi i (x+1)} = f(x+1)$ . In other words, we can write  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$  as,

$$\mathscr{P}_{\sim} = \{ \{ r + k \mid k \in \mathbf{Z} \} \mid r \in [0, 1) \subseteq \mathbf{R} \},$$

and it is here when we notice uncanny similarities to exercise 1.6 where  $x \sim y$ , for  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ , if and only if  $x - y \in \mathbf{Z}$ , in which we could have written  $\mathscr{P}_{\sim}$  in the same way.

Now we will explain the mysterious  $\tilde{f}: \mathscr{P}_{\sim} \to \operatorname{im} f$ . This function is taking each equivalence class  $[x]_{\sim}$  over the reals w.r.t.  $\sim$  and mapping it to the element in  $\mathbf{C}$  that f maps each element  $x' \in [x]_{\sim}$  to; indeed, since  $x \sim x'$  is true for  $x, x' \in \mathbf{R}$  if and only if f(x) = f(x'), we can see that for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , for all  $x' \in [x]_{\sim}$ , there exists a  $c \in \mathbf{C}$  such that f(x') = c. To illustrate with the equivalence class over  $\mathbf{R}$  w.r.t.  $\sim$  corresponding to the element  $0 \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have  $[0]_{\sim} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ . We can see that  $e^{-4\pi i} = e^{-2\pi i} = e^{0\pi i} = 1 = e^{2\pi i} = e^{4\pi i}$ , etc; so the function would map  $[0]_{\sim} \mapsto 1 \in \mathbf{C}$ , and so on. Furthermore, we can see that  $\tilde{f}$  is surjective, since for y to be in  $\inf f$  is to say that there is an  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  such that f(x) = y; so there must be an equivalence class  $[x]_{\sim}$  which is mapped to y by  $\tilde{f}$ .

Finally, the simple map from  $\operatorname{im} f \to \mathbf{C}$  that simply takes  $c \mapsto c$ . This can be thought of as a potential "expansion" of the domain of  $\tilde{f}$ . It is obviously injective, since (trivially)  $c \neq c' \implies c \neq c'$ . However, it may not be surjective: for example,  $2 \in \mathbf{C}$  is not in  $\operatorname{im} f$  as it is defined above.

**2.9.**  $\triangleright$  Show that if  $A' \cong A''$  and  $B' \cong B''$ , and further  $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$  and  $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ , then  $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$ . Conclude that the operation  $A \cup B$  is well-defined up to isomorphism (cf. §2.9) [§2.9, 5.7]

Solution. Let A', A'', B', B'' be sets as described above. Since  $A' \cong A''$  and  $B' \cong B''$ , we know there exists respective bijections  $f: A' \to A''$  and  $g: B' \to B''$ . Now, we wish to show that  $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$ . Define a function  $h: A' \cup B' \to A'' \cup B''$  such that h(x) = f(x) if  $x \in A'$  and g(x) if  $x \in B'$ .

We will now show that h is a bijection. Let  $y \in A'' \cup B''$ . Then, since  $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ , either  $y \in A''$  or  $y \in B''$ . Without loss of generality suppose that  $y \in A''$ . Then, since  $f: A' \to A''$  is a bijection, it is *surjective*, so there exists an  $x \in A' \subseteq A' \cup B'$  such that

h(x) = f(x) = y. So h is surjective. Now, suppose that  $x \neq x'$ , for  $x, x' \in A' \cup B'$ . If  $x, x' \in A'$ , then since f is injective and h(x) = f(x) for all  $x \in A'$ , then  $h(x) \neq h(x')$ . Similarly for if  $x, x' \in B'$ . Now, without loss of generality if  $x \in A'$  and  $x' \in B'$ , then  $h(x) = f(x) \neq g(x') = h(x')$  since  $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ . Hence h is a bijection, so  $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$ .

Since these constructions of A', A'', B', B'' correspond to creating "copies" of sets A and B for use in the disjoint union operation, we have that disjoint union is a well-defined function up to isomorphism. In particular, since  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation, we can consider  $\sqcup$  to be well-defined from  $\mathscr{P}_{\cong}$  to  $A' \cup B'$ .

**2.10.**  $\triangleright$  Show that if A and B are finite sets, then  $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|}$ . [§2.1, 2.11, I.4.1]

Solution. Let A and B be sets with |A| = n and |B| = m, with n, m being nonnegative integers. Recall that  $B^A$  denotes the set of functions  $f: A \to B$ . Now, if  $A = B = \emptyset$  or  $A = \emptyset$  and |B| = 1, we get one function, the empty function  $\Gamma_f = \emptyset$ , and  $0^0 = 1^0 = 1$ . If |A| = |B| = 1, then we get the singleton function  $\Gamma_f = \{(a,b)\}$ , and  $\Gamma_f = \{$ 

Suppose that  $B \neq \emptyset$  and B is finite. We will show inductively that  $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|}$ . First, suppose that |A| = 1. Then there are exactly |B| functions from A to B: if  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m\}$ , then the functions are  $\{(a, b_1)\}, \{(a, b_2)\}$ , etc. Hence  $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|} = |B|$ . Now, fix  $k \geq 2$ , and assume that  $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|}$  for all sets A such that |A| = k - 1. Suppose that |A| = k. Let  $a \in A$ . (We can do this since  $|A| = k \geq 2$ .) Then, by the inductive hypothesis, since  $|A \setminus \{a\}| = k - 1$ ,  $|B^{(A \setminus \{a\})}| = |B|^{|A|-1}$ . Let F be the set of functions from  $A \setminus \{a\}$  to B. Then, for each of those functions  $f \in F$ , there is |B| "choices" of where to assign a: one choice for each element in B. Hence,  $|B^A| = |B| |B|^{|A|-1} = |B|^{|A|}$  as required.

**2.11.**  $\triangleright$  In view of Exercise 2.10, it is not unreasonable to use  $2^A$  to denote the set of functions from an arbitrary set A to a set with 2 elements (say  $\{0,1\}$ ). Prove that there is a bijection between  $2^A$  and the *power set* of A (cf.  $\{1.2\}$ ).  $[\{1.2, III.2.3]$ 

Solution. Let  $S = \{0, 1\}$ , and consider  $f : \mathcal{P}(A) \to 2^A$ , defined as

$$f(X) = \{ (a, 1) \text{ if } a \in X, \text{ and } (a, 0) \text{ otherwise } \}$$

We will show that f is bijective. Let  $g \in 2^A$ . Then f is a function from A to S. Let  $A_1 = \{a \in A \mid g(a) = 1\}$ . Then  $A_1$  is a set such that  $A_1 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , and  $f(A_1) = g$ . Hence f is surjective. Now, suppose that  $X, Y \subseteq A$  and f(X) = f(Y). Then, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \in X \iff f(X)(a) = 1 \iff f(Y)(a) = 1 \iff a \in Y$ . Hence f is injective, so  $2^A \cong \mathcal{P}(A)$ .

## 3. Category theory

- **3.1.**  $\triangleright$  Let C be a category. Consider a structure  $C^{op}$  with
  - 1.  $Obj(C^{op}) = Obj(C)$
  - 2. For A, B objects of  $C^{op}$  (hence objects of C),  $\operatorname{Hom}_{C^{op}}(A, B) := \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(B, A)$ .

Show how to make this into a category (that is, define composition of morphisms in  $C^{op}$  and verify the properties listed in §3.1).

Intuitively, the 'opposite' category  $C^{op}$  is simply obtained by 'reversing all the arrows' in C. [5.1, §III.1.1, §IX.1.2, IX.1.10]

Solution. For objects  $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathsf{C}^{op})$ , the set of morphisms between A and B in  $\mathsf{C}^{op}$ ,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,B)$ , is defined as  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(B,A)$ . Similarly for the morphisms between B and C. So for morphisms  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,B)$  and  $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(B,C)$ , to define composition we recall the set-function  $\circ_{\mathsf{C}} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(C,B) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(B,A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(C,A)$  that is defined for the objects  $A,B,C \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathsf{C}) = \mathrm{Obj}(\mathsf{C}^{op})$ ; we shall define the composition of morphisms  $f:A \to B$  and  $g:B \to C$  in  $\mathsf{C}^{op}$  with this function. Precisely, we define

$$\circ_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}: \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,B) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(B,C) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,C)$$

to be

$$\circ_{\mathsf{C}^\mathit{op}}(f,g) = \circ_{\mathsf{C}}(g,f)$$

for all  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{op}}(A, B)$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{op}}(B, C)$ . The domain and codomain of  $\circ_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\circ_{\mathbb{C}^{op}}$  match (up to transposing the coordinates in the domain) due to the equality of  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, B)$  with  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{op}}(B, A)$ .

To show that this composition makes  $C^{op}$  a category, first we note that the fact that C is a category implies the existence of a morphism  $1_A$  taking A to itself where  $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathsf{C})$ ; this morphism is thus also present in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(A,A)$ . Secondly, for objects  $A,B,C,D \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathsf{C})$ , any morphisms  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(A,B)$ ,  $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(B,C)$ , and  $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}^{op}}(C,D)$  are associative, since

$$(h\circ_{\mathsf{C^{\mathit{op}}}}g)\circ_{\mathsf{C^{\mathit{op}}}}f=f\circ_{\mathsf{C}}(g\circ_{\mathsf{C}}h)=(f\circ_{\mathsf{C}}g)\circ_{\mathsf{C}}h=h\circ_{\mathsf{C^{\mathit{op}}}}(g\circ_{\mathsf{C^{\mathit{op}}}}f).$$

Finally, for any morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(A, B)$  we have,

$$f \circ_{\mathsf{C}^{op}} 1_A = 1_A \circ_{\mathsf{C}} f = f \text{ and } 1_B \circ_{\mathsf{C}^{op}} f = f \circ_{\mathsf{C}} 1_B = f;$$

hence the identities are "identities with respect to composition". Last, for objects  $A, B, C, D \in \text{Obj}(C)$  where  $A \neq C$  and  $B \neq D$ , clearly  $\text{Hom}_{C}(B, A) \cap \text{Hom}_{C}(D, C) = \emptyset$  is true iff  $\text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(A, B) \cap \text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(C, D) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $C^{op}$  is a category.

**3.2.** If A is a finite set, how large is  $End_{Set}(A)$ ?

Solution. The set  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Set}}(A)$  is the set of functions  $f:A\to A$ . Since A is finite, write |A|=n for some  $n\in \mathbf{Z}$ . By exercise 2.10, we know that  $|A^A|=|A|^{|A|}=n^n$ . So the the set  $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Set}}(A)$  has size  $n^n$ .

**3.3.**  $\triangleright$  Formulate precisely what it means to say that  $1_a$  is an identity with respect to composition in Example 3.3, and prove this assertion. [§3.2]

Solution.