# Today's outline - January 17, 2023



- Tensor products of vector spaces
- Multiple qubit systems
- Measurement of n-qubit systems
- Quantum key distribution revisited

Reading Assignment: Reiffel: 4.1-4.2 Wong: 4.2.4

Homework Assignment #01: Homework Assignment #02: due Thursday, January 19, 2023 due Thursday, January 26, 2023

### Direct sum of vector spaces



Consider two classical state spaces, V and W with bases

$$A = \{ |\alpha_1\rangle, |\alpha_1\rangle, \dots, |\alpha_n\rangle \}, \qquad B = \{ |\beta_1\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_m\rangle \}$$

The combined state space of these two state spaces is obtained through a direct sum,  $V \oplus W$  with basis

$$A \cup B = \{ |\alpha_1\rangle, |\alpha_1\rangle, \dots, |\alpha_n\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, |\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_m\rangle \}$$

Every element  $|x\rangle \in V \oplus W$  can be written as  $|x\rangle = |v\rangle \oplus |w\rangle$ , where  $|v\rangle \in V$  and  $|w\rangle \in W$ 

Addition and scalar multiplication are done on the component systems separately and then adding results and inner products are performed as

$$(\langle \mathbf{v}_2| \oplus \langle \mathbf{w}_2|) (|\mathbf{v}_1\rangle \oplus |\mathbf{w}_1\rangle) = \langle \mathbf{v}_2|\mathbf{v}_1\rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}_2|\mathbf{w}_1\rangle$$

Thus, for a system of n two-state objects, the dimension of the state space of the system is 2n, linear with the number of objects

## Tensor product of vector spaces



Quantum systems, such as qubits combine as tensor products so for V and W with bases

$$A = \{ |\alpha_1\rangle, |\alpha_2\rangle, \dots, |\alpha_n\rangle\}, \qquad B = \{ |\beta_1\rangle, |\beta_2\rangle, \dots, |\beta_m\rangle\}$$

The tensor product  $V \otimes W$  is an  $n \times m$ -dimensional space consisting of elements  $|\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_j\rangle$ 

Operations on such a vector space are now:

$$(|\mathbf{v}_{1}\rangle + |\mathbf{v}_{2}\rangle) \otimes |\mathbf{w}\rangle = |\mathbf{v}_{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{w}\rangle + |\mathbf{v}_{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{w}\rangle$$
$$|\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes (|\mathbf{w}_{1}\rangle + |\mathbf{w}_{2}\rangle) = |\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{w}_{1}\rangle + |\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{w}_{2}\rangle$$
$$(a|\mathbf{v}\rangle) \otimes |\mathbf{w}\rangle = |\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes (a|\mathbf{w}\rangle) = a(|\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{w}\rangle)$$

for  $k = \min(n, m)$ , all elements of  $V \otimes W$  have the form

$$|v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle + |v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle + \cdots + |v_k\rangle \otimes |w_k\rangle, \quad v_i \in V, w_i \in W$$

The  $\otimes$  symbol will often be dropped with the understanding that the tensor product is always implied:  $|v\rangle\otimes|w\rangle\rightarrow|v\rangle|w\rangle\rightarrow|vw\rangle$ 

### More about tensor products



The inner product in  $V \otimes W$  space is defined as

$$(\langle v_2|\otimes \langle w_2|)\cdot (|v_1\rangle\otimes |w_1\rangle)=\langle v_2|v_1\rangle\langle w_2|w_1\rangle$$

The tensor product of two unit vectors is also a unit vector, and given orthonormal bases  $\{|\alpha_i\rangle\}$  and  $\{|\beta_i\rangle\}$  for V and W, the basis  $\{|\alpha_i\rangle\} \otimes \{|\beta_i\rangle\}$  for  $V \otimes W$  is also orthonormal

For quantum computing, the tensor product of n 2-dimensional vector spaces ( $2^n$  dimensional) is most relevant

Most vectors  $|u\rangle \in V \otimes W$  cannot be written as the tensor product of  $|v\rangle \in V$  and  $|w\rangle \in W$  these are so-called entangled states and are of fundamental importance to quantum computing

For entangled states, it is meaningless to discuss the state of a single qubit that is part of the system

# Standard basis for multiple qubit systems



For a system of n qubits, the standard basis of the combined space  $V_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_0$  is given by  $2^n$  unit vectors:

$$\{|0\rangle_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_{1}\otimes|0\rangle_{0}, |0\rangle_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_{1}\otimes|1\rangle_{0}, |0\rangle_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|1\rangle_{1}\otimes|0\rangle_{0}, \dots \\ \dots, \{|1\rangle_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|1\rangle_{1}\otimes|0\rangle_{0}, |1\rangle_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|1\rangle_{1}\otimes|1\rangle_{0}\}$$

which uses the little endian notation

The state of a system with n qubits can be written in the explicit or more compact form

$$|b\rangle_{n-1}\cdots|b\rangle_1|b\rangle_0\equiv|b_{n-1}\cdots b_1b_0\rangle$$

 $\{|0\cdots 00\rangle, |0\cdots 01\rangle, \cdots |1\cdots 10\rangle, |1\cdots 11\rangle\}$ 

The  $2^n$  standard basis vectors in the compact notation are thus

An even more compact form is to use the decimal value of the binary representation

$$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \cdots, |2^n-2\rangle, |2^n-1\rangle\}$$

# Multiple qubit examples



Given a 2 qubit state it is possible to represent it in the full, compact, or vector notations

$$\frac{1}{2}|00\rangle + \frac{i}{2}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{2}|1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle + |1\rangle \right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle + |1\rangle \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( |0\rangle + |1\rangle \right) \otimes \left( |0\rangle + |1\rangle \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ |00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle \right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|0\rangle + \sqrt{3}|1\rangle\right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(|00\rangle + i|01\rangle + \sqrt{3}|10\rangle + i\sqrt{3}|11\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

### Conventional representation



Just as for a single qubit, the global phase is indeterminate and by convention, a quantum superposition is written

$$a_0|0\cdots 00\rangle + a_1|0\cdots 01\rangle + \cdots + a_{2^n-1}|1\cdots 11\rangle$$

with the first non-zero coefficient being real and non-negative to ensure a unique representation for each state

For an *n*-qubit system there are  $2^n - 1$  unique complex coefficients for each vector. The space in which vectors which are multiples of each other are considered equivalent is called the complex projective space of dimension  $2^n - 1$ .

The expression  $|v\rangle \sim |w\rangle$  means that the two vectors represent the same quantum state because they differ only by a global phase

A change in relative phase represents a different state

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\phi}|00\rangle + |11\rangle) & \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\phi}|00\rangle + e^{i\phi}|11\rangle) & \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\phi}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) & \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \end{split}$$

#### Alternate bases



aubit systems but occasionally an alternate basis is useful

 $|\Phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle)$ 

 $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ 

One of the more common bases for a 2-gubit system is the Bell basis:  $|\Phi^{+}\rangle$ ,  $|\Phi^{-}\rangle$ ,  $|\Psi^{+}\rangle$ ,  $|\Psi^{-}\rangle$ 

Generally, the standard basis is used for multiple

 $|\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$  $|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ 

$$|v
angle\otimes\left(e^{i\phi}|w
angle
ight)=e^{i\phi}\left(|v
angle\otimes|w
angle
ight)=\left(e^{i\phi}|v
angle
ight)\otimes|w
angle$$

A state might look different when it is represented in a different basis

qubits since global phase factors distribute over tensor products

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle|0\rangle+|1\rangle|1\rangle\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|+\rangle+|-\rangle\right)\otimes\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|+\rangle+|-\rangle\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|+\rangle-|-\rangle\right)\otimes\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|+\rangle-|-\rangle\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|+\rangle|+\rangle+|-\rangle|-\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

Just as for a single qubit, there is redundance in the  $2^n$ -dimensional space generated by n

### Entanglement



For an n qubit system, only a few of the  $2^n$  possible states can be described as product states of individual qubit states

$$|\Phi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

Therefore the vast majority of states in the system are so-called entangled states

$$|\Phi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle)$$
  
 $|\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$ 

The Bell states are an example of entangled states of a 2-gubit system

$$|\Psi^-
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01
angle-|10
angle)$$

For example, the  $|\Phi^{+}\rangle$  Bell state cannot be described by the product below

$$\big(a_1|0\rangle_1+b_1|1\rangle_1\big)\otimes \big(a_2|0\rangle_2+b_2|1\rangle_2\big)=a_1a_2|00\rangle+a_1b_2|01\rangle+b_1a_2|10\rangle+b_1b_2|11\rangle$$

if  $a_1b_2=0$ , then either  $a_1a_2=0$  or  $b_1b_2=0$  and the same if  $b_1a_2=0$ 

The two particles in a Bell state are said to be maximally entangled and are called an EPR pair

### More about entanglement



Entanglement is determined with respect to a specific decomposition of the state space, if

$$|\psi\rangle = |v_1\rangle \otimes |v_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |v_n\rangle \in V, \qquad V = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$$

Then  $|\psi
angle$  is separable (or unentangled) with respect to the specific decomposition defined by  $V_i$ 

The default decomposition for an n-qubit system is the tensor product of the n two-dimensional vector spaces corresponding to the individual qubits:  $V_{n-1}, \ldots, V_0$ 

Entanglement is not, however, dependent on basis, for example the Bell state is entangled in any of the three common 2-qubit bases

$$\begin{split} |\Phi^{+}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|++\rangle + |--\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|i\,\bar{i}\,\rangle + |\bar{i}\,i\,\rangle) \\ |\Phi^{+}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|i\,\rangle + |\bar{i}\,\rangle)(|i\,\rangle + |\bar{i}\,\rangle) + \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}(|i\,\rangle - |\bar{i}\,\rangle)(|i\,\rangle - |\bar{i}\,\rangle)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\left[|\underline{i}\rangle|\underline{t}\rangle + |i\,\rangle|\bar{i}\,\rangle + |\bar{i}\,\rangle|i\,\rangle + |\underline{i}\rangle|\underline{t}\rangle - |\underline{i}\rangle|\underline{t}\rangle + |i\,\rangle|\bar{i}\,\rangle + |\bar{i}\,\rangle|i\,\rangle - |\underline{j}\rangle|\underline{t}\rangle\right] \end{split}$$

# Multiple meanings of entanglement



Since entanglement is not an intrinsic property of the state but depends on the particular decomposition, it is often convenient to use a decomposition into subsystems where the state is separable, Consider the 4-qubit state

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left( |00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle + |33\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |0000\rangle + |0101\rangle + |1010\rangle + |1111\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( |0\rangle_3 |0\rangle_2 |0\rangle_1 |0\rangle_0 + |0\rangle_3 |1\rangle_2 |0\rangle_1 |1\rangle_0 + |1\rangle_3 |0\rangle_2 |1\rangle_1 |0\rangle_0 + |1\rangle_3 |1\rangle_2 |1\rangle_1 |1\rangle_0 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_3 |0\rangle_1 + |1\rangle_3 |1\rangle_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_2 |0\rangle_0 + |1\rangle_2 |1\rangle_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|\psi\rangle$  is not entangled with respect to the system decomposition into a subsystem of qubits 1 & 3 and qubits 0 & 2 However, it can be shown that any other subsystem decomposition leaves  $|\psi\rangle$  entangled

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle &\neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_{3} |0\rangle_{2} + |1\rangle_{3} |1\rangle_{2} \right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_{1} |0\rangle_{0} + |1\rangle_{1} |1\rangle_{0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( |0\rangle_{3} |0\rangle_{2} |0\rangle_{1} |0\rangle_{0} + |0\rangle_{3} |0\rangle_{2} |1\rangle_{1} |1\rangle_{0} + |1\rangle_{3} |1\rangle_{2} |0\rangle_{1} |0\rangle_{0} + |1\rangle_{3} |1\rangle_{2} |1\rangle_{1} |1\rangle_{0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( |0000\rangle + |0011\rangle + |1100\rangle + |1111\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |00\rangle + |03\rangle + |30\rangle + |33\rangle \right) \end{split}$$

## Measuring multiple qubits



Suppose we have an *n*-qubit system with vector space V of dimensionality  $N = 2^n$ 

A device that takes measurements on this system will have an associated direct sum decomposition into orthogonal subspaces given by  $V = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k$ ,  $k \leq N$ 

where k is the maximum number of possible outcomes of the measurement of a state with this device

The polarization of a photon is a trivial example of this where the system is defined as n=1, N=2, and k=2, and the detector has an orthonormal basis  $\{|v_1\rangle, |v_2\rangle\}$ 

Each of the orthonormal basis vectors,  $|v_i\rangle$  generates a one-dimensional subspace,  $S_i$  consisting of  $a|v_i\rangle$  and  $V=S_1\oplus S_2$ 

When a measurement is made with the polarization detector, the qubit state will then lie entirely in one of the two subspaces,  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ 

### Measurement formalism



Similarly, with an *n*-qubit system, when the device with the decomposition  $V = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k$ , the state  $|\psi\rangle$  is

$$|\psi\rangle = a_1|\psi_1\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus a_i|\psi_i\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus a_k|\psi_k\rangle, \qquad |\psi_i\rangle \in S_i, a_1 \geq 0, Im\{a_1\} \equiv 0$$

When the device interacts with the state  $|\psi\rangle$ , the state will end up in state  $|\psi_i\rangle \in S_i$  with a probability of  $|a_i|^2$ 

Suppose a device measured a single qubit in the Hadamard basis

$$\left\{ \left|+
ight
angle =rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0
ight
angle +\left|1
ight
angle 
ight) ,\left|-
ight
angle =rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0
ight
angle -\left|1
ight
angle 
ight) 
ight\}$$

 $|+\rangle$  and  $|-\rangle$  generate  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  respectively

$$|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle = \frac{a+b}{\sqrt{2}}|+\rangle + \frac{a-b}{\sqrt{2}}|-\rangle$$

$$|\psi\rangle$$
 is then measured as  $|+\rangle$  with probability  $\left|\frac{a+b}{\sqrt{2}}\right|^2$  and  $|-\rangle$  with probability  $\left|\frac{a-b}{\sqrt{2}}\right|^2$ 

# Measurement in a 2-qubit system



Consider a 2-qubit system with a measuring device that uses the standard basis and associated decomposition  $V = S_1 \oplus S_2$  such that

$$\textit{S}_1 = |0\rangle_1 \otimes \textit{V}_2, \hspace{0.2cm} \text{span}(\textit{S}_1) = \{|00\rangle, |01\rangle\} \hspace{1cm} \textit{S}_2 = |1\rangle_1 \otimes \textit{V}_2, \hspace{0.2cm} \text{span}(\textit{S}_2) = \{|10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$$

This device is used to measure an arbitrary 2-qubit state  $|\psi\rangle$  with normalization factors

$$|\psi\rangle = a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle = c_1|\psi_1\rangle + c_2|\psi_1\rangle$$
 $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{c_1} \left(a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle\right) \in S_1 \qquad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{c_2} \left(a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle\right) \in S_2$ 
 $c_1 = \sqrt{|a_{00}|^2 + |a_{01}|^2}, \qquad c_2 = \sqrt{|a_{10}|^2 + |a_{11}|^2}$ 

Measurement with this device will give  $|\psi_{\mathbf{1}}\rangle$  with probability

and  $|\psi_2\rangle$  with probability

$$|c_1|^2 = |a_{00}|^2 + |a_{01}|^2$$
  
 $|c_2|^2 = |a_{10}|^2 + |a_{11}|^2$ 

### Measurement in the Hadamard basis



A device that measured the first qubit of a 2-qubit system with respect to the Hadamard basis  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  has an associated decomposition  $V = S_1' \oplus S_2'$  such that

$$S_1' = |+\rangle \otimes V_2, \quad \mathsf{span}(S_1') = \{|+\rangle |0\rangle, |+\rangle |1\rangle \} \qquad S_2' = |-\rangle \otimes V_2, \quad \mathsf{span}(S_2') = \{|-\rangle |0\rangle, |-\rangle |1\rangle \}$$

This device is used to measure an arbitrary 2-qubit state  $|\psi\rangle$  with normalization factors

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle &= a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle = c_1'|\psi_1'\rangle + c_2'|\psi_1'\rangle \\ |\psi_1'\rangle &= \frac{1}{c_1'} \left( \frac{a_{00} + a_{10}}{\sqrt{2}} |+\rangle |0\rangle + \frac{a_{01} + a_{11}}{\sqrt{2}} |+\rangle |1\rangle \right) \quad |\psi_2'\rangle = \frac{1}{c_2'} \left( \frac{a_{00} - a_{10}}{\sqrt{2}} |-\rangle |0\rangle + \frac{a_{01} - a_{11}}{\sqrt{2}} |-\rangle |1\rangle \right) \\ c_1' &= c_2' = \sqrt{|a_{00}|^2 + |a_{01}|^2 + |a_{10}|^2 + |a_{11}|^2}/2 \end{split}$$

Measurement with this device will give  $|\psi_1'\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2'\rangle$  with equal probabilities

A special case is 
$$|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$
 with  $a_{00} = a_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0$ 

# Quantum key distribution with entangled states



The Ekert91 protocol uses entangled states to transmit keys

A series of qubits are created in the entangled state  $|\Phi^+
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00
angle+|11
angle)$ 

Alice gets the first qubit of the pair and Bob gets the second

Each of them measures their qubit using either the standard basis,  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ , or the Hadamard basis,  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ , chosen randomly and independently

They compare their bases and discard those bits where they differ. Why?

If Alice obtains  $|0\rangle$  using the standard basis, then they know the entire entangled state becomes  $|00\rangle$  and Bob will also measure  $|0\rangle$  in the standard basis

If Bob uses the Hadamard basis, he will get  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  with equal probability so the differing bases must be discarded

Since there is no exchange of quantum states in this protocol Eve has a much harder time gathering any information about the key