

## Question 6

a) 
$$\begin{aligned} W_t &= Y_t - Y_{t-12} \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + s_t + e_t \\ &\quad - \beta_0 - \beta_1(t-12) - s_{t-12} - e_{t-12} \\ &= 12\beta_1 + e_t - e_{t-12} \quad (\text{since } s_t = s_{t-12}) \end{aligned}$$

The mean function is:

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_t) &= 12\beta_1 + E(e_t) - E(e_{t-12}) \\ &= 12\beta_1 + 0 - 0 = 12\beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

The autocovariance is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(W_t, W_{t-k}) &= \text{Cov}(12\beta_1 + e_t - e_{t-12}, 12\beta_1 + e_{t-k} - e_{t-k-12}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(e_t - e_{t-12}, e_{t-k} - e_{t-k-12}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(e_t, e_{t-k}) - \text{Cov}(e_t, e_{t-k-12}) \\ &\quad - \text{Cov}(e_{t-12}, e_{t-k}) + \text{Cov}(e_{t-12}, e_{t-k-12}) \end{aligned}$$

- $\text{Cov}(e_t, e_{t-k}) = \sigma_e^2$  when  $k = 0$ .
- $\text{Cov}(e_t, e_{t-k-12}) = 0$  always.
- $\text{Cov}(e_{t-12}, e_{t-k}) = \sigma_e^2$  when  $k = 12$ .
- $\text{Cov}(e_{t-12}, e_{t-k-12}) = \sigma_e^2$  when  $k = 0$ .

$$\text{Cov}(W_t, W_{t-k}) = \begin{cases} 2\sigma_e^2 & \text{when } k = 0 \\ -\sigma_e^2 & \text{when } k = 12 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the mean is constant and the autocovariance depends only on  $k$ , i.e., we can write  $\gamma_k$ .

The autocorrelation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{t,t-k} &= \frac{\gamma_{t,t-k}}{\sqrt{\gamma_{t,t} \gamma_{t-k,t-k}}} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_k}{\sqrt{\gamma_0 \gamma_0}} \quad (\text{series is stationary}) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2\sigma_e^2}{2\sigma_e^2} = 1 & \text{when } k = 0 \\ \frac{-\sigma_e^2}{2\sigma_e^2} = -0.5 & \text{when } k = 12 \\ \frac{0}{2\sigma_e^2} = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying  $\nabla_{12}$ , i.e., seasonal differencing, eliminates linear and seasonal trend.

- b) The mean function would be the same since  $E(X_t) = 0$  just like for  $e_t$ .

However, unlike  $e_t$ , covariance may be non-zero for various lags,  $k$  (for  $e_t$ , it is only non-zero at lag  $k = 0$ ).

Thus, using the fact that the autocovariance for  $X_t$  is  $\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-k}) = \gamma_k^*$  (i.e., it only depends on the lag), the autocovariance for  $Y_t$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(W_t, W_{t-k}) &= \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-k}) - \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-k-12}) \\ &\quad - \text{Cov}(X_{t-12}, X_{t-k}) + \text{Cov}(X_{t-12}, X_{t-k-12}) \\ &= \gamma_{t-(t-k)}^* - \gamma_{t-(t-k-12)}^* \\ &\quad - \gamma_{t-12-(t-k)}^* + \gamma_{t-12-(t-k-12)}^* \\ &= \gamma_k^* - \gamma_{k+12}^* - \gamma_{k-12}^* + \gamma_k^* \\ &= 2\gamma_k^* - \gamma_{k+12}^* - \gamma_{k-12}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This still depends only on  $k$  (i.e., stationary) is more complicated than in part (a). Note that the ACF is:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k &= \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0} = \frac{2\gamma_k^* - \gamma_{k+12}^* - \gamma_{k-12}^*}{\gamma_0} \\ &= \frac{2\gamma_k^* - \gamma_{k+12}^* - \gamma_{k-12}^*}{2\gamma_0^* - \gamma_{12}^* - \gamma_{-12}^*} \\ &= \frac{2\gamma_k^* - \gamma_{k+12}^* - \gamma_{k-12}^*}{2\gamma_0^* - 2\gamma_{12}^*} \\ &\quad (\text{Since } \gamma_k = \gamma_{-k} \text{ by definition}) \end{aligned}$$

- c) Here we have  $Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + s_t + e_t$  and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} W_t &= Y_t - Y_{t-12} \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + s_t + e_t \\ &\quad - \beta_0 - \beta_1(t-12) - \beta_2(t-12)^2 - s_{t-12} - e_{t-12} \\ &= 12\beta_1 + 24\beta_2 t - 144\beta_2 + e_t - e_{t-12} \\ &= 12\beta_1 - 144\beta_2 + 24\beta_2 t + e_t - e_{t-12} \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly non-stationary since

$$E(W_t) = 12\beta_1 - 144\beta_2 + 24\beta_2 t.$$

However, the autocovariance is still the same as in part (a) since  $12\beta_1 - 144\beta_2 + 24\beta_2 t$  is non-random and, hence, disappears from covariance.

- d) Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla W_t &= 12\beta_1 - 144\beta_2 + 24\beta_2 t + e_t - e_{t-12} \\ &\quad - 12\beta_1 + 144\beta_2 - 24\beta_2(t-1) - e_{t-1} + e_{t-13} \\ &= 24\beta_2 + \underbrace{e_t - e_{t-12} - e_{t-1} + e_{t-13}}_{\text{sum of stationary series}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly stationary as it is the sum of stationary series plus a constant.

This question shows that applying  $\nabla \nabla_{12}$ , i.e., seasonal differencing and then differencing, eliminates quadratic and seasonal trend.