Zewotir

1 Efficient Updating Theorem

Zewotir and Galpin (2005) describes the basic theorem of efficient updating.

•

$$m_i = \frac{1}{c_{ii}}$$

1.0.1 Random Effects

A large value for $CD(u)_i$ indicates that the i-th observation is influential in predicting random effects.

1.0.2 linear functions

 $CD(\psi)_i$ does not have to be calculated unless $CD(\beta)_i$ is large.

2 Zewotir Measures of Influence in LME Models

Zewotir and Galpin (2005) describes a number of approaches to model diagnostics, investigating each of the following;

- Variance components
- Fixed effects parameters
- Prediction of the response variable and of random effects
- likelihood function

3 Haslett's Analysis

For fixed effect linear models with correlated error structure Haslett (1999) showed that the effects on the fixed effects estimate of deleting each observation in turn could be cheaply computed from the fixed effects model predicted residuals.

A general theory is presented for residuals from the general linear model with correlated errors. It is demonstrated that there are two fundamental types of residual associated with this model, referred to here as the marginal and the conditional residual.

These measure respectively the distance to the global aspects of the model as represented by the expected value and the local aspects as represented by the conditional expected value.

These residuals may be multivariate.

Haslett and Hayes (1998) developes some important dualities which have simple implications for diagnostics.

4 Demidenko's I Influence

The concept of I Influence is generalized to the non linea regression model.

References

Beckman, R., C. Nachtsheim, and R. Cook (1987). Diagnostics for mixed-model analysis of variance. *Technometrics* 29(4), 413–426.

Haslett, J. and K. Hayes (1998). Residuals for the linear model with general covariance structure. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (Series B)* 60, 201–215.

Zewotir, T. and J. Galpin (2005). Influence diagnostics for linear mixed models. *Journal* of Data Science 3, 153–177.

4.1 Extension of techniques to LME Models

Model diagnostic techniques, well established for classical models, have since been adapted for use with linear mixed effects models. Diagnostic techniques for LME models are inevitably more difficult to implement, due to the increased complexity.

Beckman, Nachtsheim and Cook (1987) Beckman et al. (1987) applied the local influence method of Cook (1986) to the analysis of the linear mixed model.

While the concept of influence analysis is straightforward, implementation in mixed models is more complex. Update formulae for fixed effects models are available only when the covariance parameters are assumed to be known.

If the global measure suggests that the points in U are influential, the nature of that influence should be determined. In particular, the points in U can affect the following

- the estimates of fixed effects,
- the estimates of the precision of the fixed effects,
- the estimates of the covariance parameters,
- the estimates of the precision of the covariance parameters,
- fitted and predicted values.

4.2 Influence Statistics for LME models

Influence statistics can be coarsely grouped by the aspect of estimation that is their primary target:

- overall measures compare changes in objective functions: (restricted) likelihood distance (Cook and Weisberg 1982, Ch. 5.2)
- influence on parameter estimates: Cook's (Cook 1977, 1979), MDFFITS (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980, p. 32)
- influence on precision of estimates: CovRatio and CovTrace
- influence on fitted and predicted values: PRESS residual, PRESS statistic (Allen 1974), DFFITS (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980, p. 15)
- outlier properties: internally and externally studentized residuals, leverage

4.3 Extension of techniques to LME Models

Model diagnostic techniques, well established for classical models, have since been adapted for use with linear mixed effects models. Diagnostic techniques for LME models are inevitably more difficult to implement, due to the increased complexity.

Beckman, Nachtsheim and Cook (1987) Beckman et al. (1987) applied the local influence method of Cook (1986) to the analysis of the linear mixed model.

While the concept of influence analysis is straightforward, implementation in mixed models is more complex. Update formulae for fixed effects models are available only when the covariance parameters are assumed to be known.

If the global measure suggests that the points in U are influential, the nature of that influence should be determined. In particular, the points in U can affect the following

- the estimates of fixed effects,
- the estimates of the precision of the fixed effects,
- the estimates of the covariance parameters,
- the estimates of the precision of the covariance parameters,
- fitted and predicted values.

4.4 Standardized and studentized residuals

To alleviate the problem caused by inconstant variance, the residuals are scaled (i.e. divided) by their standard deviations. This results in a 'standardized residual'. Because true standard deviations are frequently unknown, one can instead divide a residual by the estimated standard deviation to obtain the 'studentized residual.

4.5 Residual Analysis for Linear Models, LME models and GLMs

Keywords:

- Residuals (Beginners),
- Testing the Assumption of Normality (Beginners)
- Diagnostic Plots with the plot function
- Cook's Distance
- DFFits and DFBeta
- Standardized and Studentized Residuals
- Influence Leverage and Outlierness

4.6 Identifying outliers with a LME model object

The process is slightly different than with standard LME model objects, since the *influence* function does not work on lime model objects. Given *mod.lme*, we can use the plot function to identify outliers.

4.7 Diagnostics for Random Effects

Empirical best linear unbiased predictors EBLUPS provide the a useful way of diagnosing random effects.

EBLUPs are also known as "shrinkage estimators" because they tend to be smaller than the estimated effects would be if they were computed by treating a random factor as if it was fixed (West et al.)

4.8 Influence Diagnostics: Basic Idea and Statistics

The general idea of quantifying the influence of one or more observations relies on computing parameter estimates based on all data points, removing the cases in question from the data, refitting the model, and computing statistics based on the change between full-data and reduced-data estimation.

4.9 Case Deletion Diagnostics for Mixed Models

? notes the case deletion diagnostics techniques have not been applied to linear mixed effects models and seeks to develop methodologies in that respect.

? develops these techniques in the context of REML

4.10 Methods and Measures

The key to making deletion diagnostics useable is the development of efficient computational formulas, allowing one to obtain the case deletion diagnostics by making use of basic building blocks, computed only once for the full model.

Zewotir and Galpin (2005) lists several established methods of analyzing influence in LME models. These methods include

- Cook's distance for LME models,
- likelihood distance,
- the variance (information) ration,
- the Cook-Weisberg statistic,
- the Andrews-Prebigon statistic.

5 Demidenko's I Influence

The concept of I Influence is generalized to the non linea regression model.

6 Computation and Notation

with V unknown, a standard practice for estimating $X\beta$ is the estime the variance components σ_j^2 , compute an estimate for V and then compute the projector matrix A, $X\hat{\beta} = AY$.

? remarks that D is a block diagonal with the i-th block being uI

7 The Hat Matrix

The projection matrix H (also known as the hat matrix), is a well known identity that maps the fitted values \hat{Y} to the observed values Y, i.e. $\hat{Y} = HY$.

$$H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \tag{1}$$

H describes the influence each observed value has on each fitted value. The diagonal elements of the H are the 'leverages', which describe the influence each observed value has on the fitted value for that same observation. The residuals (R) are related to the observed values by the following formula:

$$R = (I - H)Y \tag{2}$$

The variances of Y and R can be expressed as:

$$var(Y) = H\sigma^{2}$$

$$var(R) = (I - H)\sigma^{2}$$
(3)

Updating techniques allow an economic approach to recalculating the projection matrix, H, by removing the necessity to refit the model each time it is updated. However this approach is known for numerical instability in the case of down-dating.