

### 0.0.1 Likelihood Ratio Tests

The relationship between the respective models presented by ? is known as “nesting”. A model A to be nested in the reference model, model B, if Model A is a special case of Model B, or with some specific constraint applied.

A general method for comparing models with a nesting relationship is the likelihood ratio test (LRTs). LRTs are a family of tests used to compare the value of likelihood functions for two models, whose respective formulations define a hypothesis to be tested (i.e. the nested and reference model). The significance of the likelihood ratio test can be found by comparing the likelihood ratio to the  $\chi^2$  distribution, with the appropriate degrees of freedom.

When testing hypotheses around covariance parameters in an LME model, REML estimation for both models is recommended by West et al. REML estimation can be shown to reduce the bias inherent in ML estimates of covariance parameters (?). Conversely, ? advises that testing hypotheses on fixed-effect parameters should be based on ML estimation, and that using REML would not be appropriate in this context.

LRTs can be used to test hypotheses about covariance parameters or fixed effects parameters in the context of LMEs. The test statistic for the likelihood ratio test is the difference of the log-likelihood functions, multiplied by  $-2$ . The probability distribution of the test statistic is approximated by the  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $(\nu_1 - \nu_2)$  degrees of freedom, where  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are the degrees of freedom of models 1 and 2 respectively. Each of these three test shall be examined in more detail shortly.

### 0.0.2 Testing Procedures

Roy’s methodology requires the construction of four candidate models. The first candidate model is compared to each of the three other models successively. It is the alternative model in each of the three tests, with the other three models acting as the respective null models.

The probability distribution of the test statistic can be approximated by a chi-square distribution with  $(\nu_1 - \nu_2)$  degrees of freedom, where  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are the degrees of freedom of models 1 and 2 respectively.

Likelihood ratio tests are very simple to implement in R, simply use the 'anova()' commands. Sample output will be given for each variability test. The likelihood ratio test is the procedure used to compare the fit of two models. For each candidate model, the '-2 log likelihood' ( $M2LL$ ) is computed. The test statistic for each of the three hypothesis tests is the difference of the  $M2LL$  for each pair of models. If the  $p$ -value in each of the respective tests exceed as significance level chosen by the analyst, then the null model must be rejected.

$$-2 \ln \Lambda_d = [M2LL \text{ under } H_0 \text{ model}] - [M2LL \text{ under } H_A \text{ model}] \quad (1)$$

These test statistics follow a chi-square distribution with the degrees of freedom computed as the difference of the LRT degrees of freedom.

$$\nu = [\text{LRT df under } H_0 \text{ model}] - [\text{LRT df under } H_A \text{ model}] \quad (2)$$

```
> anova(MCS1,MCS2)
```

```
>
```

```
>
```

	Model	df	AIC	BIC	logLik	Test	L.Ratio	p-value
MCS1	1	8	4077.5	4111.3	-2030.7			
MCS2	2	7	4075.6	4105.3	-2030.8	1 vs 2	0.15291	0.6958