```
newdata1 <- data.frame(math = mean(dat$math)</pre>
labels = levels(dat$prog)))
newdata1$phat <- predict(m1, newdata1, type</pre>
newdata1
## math
                 prog phat
## 1 48.27 General 10.237
## 2 48.27 Academic 6.588
## 3 48.27 Vocational 2.850
```

In the output above, we see that the predicted number of events (e.g., days absent) for a general program is about 10.24, holding math at its mean. The predicted number of events for an academic program is lower at 6.59, and the predicted number of events for a vocational program is about 2.85.

Below we will obtain the mean predicted number of events for values of math across its entire range for each level of prog and graph these.

```
newdata2 <- data.frame(
math = rep(seq(from = min(dat$math), to = ma
prog = factor(rep(1:3, each = 100), levels =
levels(dat$prog)))</pre>
```

```
newdata2 <- cbind(newdata2, predict(m1, newdata2)
newdata2 <- within(newdata2, {
DaysAbsent <- exp(fit)
LL <- exp(fit - 1.96 * se.fit)
UL <- exp(fit + 1.96 * se.fit)
})</pre>
```

```
ggplot(newdata2, aes(math, DaysAbsent)) +
geom_ribbon(aes(ymin = LL, ymax = UL, fill =
geom_line(aes(colour = prog), size = 2) +
labs(x = "Math Score", y = "Predicted Days A
```

Plot of the model predicted days absent with confidence intervals. The graph shows the expected count across the range of math scores, for each type of program along with 95 percent confidence intervals. Note that the lines are not straight because this is a log linear model, and what is plotted are the expected values, not the log of the expected values.

Things to consider It is not recommended that negative binomial models be applied to small samples. One common cause of over-dispersion is excess zeros by an additional data generating process. In this situation, zero-inflated model should be considered.

If the data generating process does not allow for any 0s (such as the number of days spent in the hospital), then a zero-truncated model may be more appropriate.

Count data often have an exposure variable, which indicates the number of times the event could have happened. This variable should be incorporated into your negative binomial regression model with the use of the offset option. See the glm documentation for details.

The outcome variable in a negative binomial regression cannot have negative numbers. You will need to use the m1\$resid command to obtain the residuals from our model to check other assumptions of the negative binomial model (see Cameron and Trivedi (1998) and Dupont (2002) for more information).