

## **MA4605 Chemometrics Lab C**

**Part I - Testing for linear dependence.** In a laboratory containing polarographic equipment six samples of dust were taken at various distances from the polarograph and the mercury content of each sample was determined. The following results were obtained:

Distance from polarograph, m	1.4, 3.8, 7.5, 10.2, 11.7, 15.0
Mercury concentration, ng/g	2.4, 2.5, 1.3, 1.3, 0.7, 1.2

The goal is to examine the possibility that the mercury contamination arose from the polarograph.

**Task 1** Produce a graph of the data representing the dependence of mercury concentration on distance from polarograph. Comment the choice of coordinates.

**Task 2** Carry out the test if there is any indication of linear dependence between the variables. Comment on the strength of such dependence. **Task 3** Add the straight line that best fits the dependence.

**Part II – Correlation coefficient.** The response of a colorimetric test for glucose was checked with the aid of standard glucose solutions. Determine the correlation coefficient from the following data and comment on the result.

Glucose concentration, mM	Absorbance
0	0.002
2	0.150
4	0.294
6	0.434
8	0.570
10	0.704

(Additional for 2012: What is the 95% confidence interval for the correlation coefficient)

**Part III – Calibration and determination.** The following results were obtained when each of a series of standard silver solutions was analysed by flame atomic-absorption

	Concentration, ng/ml	Absorbance
	10	0.251
	15	0.390
	20	0.498
spectrometry.	25	0.625
	30	0.763
	0	0.003
	5	0.127

**Task 1** Determine the slope and intercept of the calibration plot, and their confidence limits.

**Part IV – The method of standard additions** The gold content of a concentrated sea-water sample was determined by using atomic-absorption spectrometry with the method of standard additions. The results obtained were as follows:

Gold added, ng per ml of concentrated sample	Absorbance
30	0.413
40	0.468
50	0.528
60	0.574
70	0.635
0	0.257
10	0.314
20	0.364

Determine the estimates for the slope and intercept. Additionally comment on the associated p-values from the summary output.

**Part V – Comparing analytical methods** An ion-selective electrode (ISE) determination of sulphide from sulphate-reducing bacteria was compared with a gravimetric determination. The result, obtained were expressed in milligrams of sulphide.

Sulphide (ISE method): 108,12,152,3,106,11,128,12,160,128  
 Sulphide (gravimetry): 105,16,113,0,108,11,141,11,182,118

Compute the simple linear regression equation for the case where

- 1) The ISE method is the independent variable,
- 2) The ISE method is the dependent variable.

Write down the regression equations for both models.

Which approach (if any) is more suitable?