#### Part 1 – Question sheet

**Problem 1 (20pts)** The reproducibility of a method for the determination of a pollutant in water was investigated by taking twelve samples from a single batch of water and determining the concentration of pollutant in each. The following results were obtained:

```
x=c(5.98, 8.80, 6.89, 8.49, 8.48, 7.47, 7.97, 5.94, 7.32, 6.64, 6.94, 3.51)
```

It is expected that from this sample a 95% confidence interval for the concentration of pollutant will be obtained.

(i) There is a concern that the data may contain an outlier. Thus the following procedure has been performed on the data:

- (2pts) Describe what is the purpose of this procedure.
- (2pts) Write the conclusion that follows from it.
- (2pts) What should be done to the data in a consequence?
- (ii) After the test for outlier, another preliminary procedure has been performed in the following

```
n=length(x)
y=x[1:(n-1)]
y
[1] 5.98 8.80 6.89 8.49 8.48 7.47 7.97 5.94 7.32 6.64 6.94
ks.test(y,pnorm,mean(y),sd(y))
One-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
data: y
D = 0.1442, p-value = 0.9518
```

- (2pts) Explain what is the purpose of this procedure.
- (2pts) What is the conclusion and why?
- (iii) After these initial verifications, the confidence interval can be obtained from the following computations

```
mean(y)
[1] 7.356364
sd(y)
[1] 0.991315
qt(0.975,10)
[1] 2.228139
```

- (4pts) Based on the obtained values write down the confidence interval for the pollutant;
- (4pts) If the initial analysis was not performed the following values would be used for the computations

```
mean(x)
[1] 7.035833
sd(x)
[1] 1.458165
qt(0.975,11)
[1] 2.200985
```

Evaluate the confidence interval based on these values;

(2pts) Explain which of these two intervals should be recommended and point reasons for the choice.

# ${\bf Part} \ {\bf 1-Answer} \ {\bf sheet}$

# Problem 1 (20pts)

(2pts)	Describe what is the purpose of this procedure
(2 )	
(2pts)	Write a conclusion that follows from it.
(2pts)	What should be done to the data in a consequence?
(1)	Î
(2pts)	Explain what is the purpose of this procedure.
(0 )	
(2pts)	What is the conclusion and why?
(Ante)	Based on the obtained values write down the confidence interval for the pollutant;
(apus)	based on the obtained varies write down the confidence interval for the politically,
(4pts)	Evaluate the confidence interval based on all initial values;
(1)	
(2pts)	Explain which of these two intervals should be recommended and point reasons for the choice.

Problem 2 (20pts) A company developed a new flame atomic-absorption spectroscopic method of determining antimony in the atmosphere. It has commissioned Laboratory A and B to compare it with the recommended calorimeter method by giving each of them funding for carrying out twenty measurements.

Laboratory A has taken the following approach. They collected a sample of size twenty from an urban atmosphere and randomly assignment one of the two measurements methods to each specimen so that the number of measurements for each of the methods was the same and so equal to ten. The following results were obtained:

```
NewMethod 14.28 22.46 18.40 16.44 13.22 17.24 18.08 18.54 18.90 15.60
RecMethod 20.50 17.54 19.02 14.40 19.36 12.04 18.66 17.84 17.80 18.98
```

Laboratory B has taken a different approach. They collected a sample of size ten from an urban atmosphere and the measurements have been made twice on each specimen, one by the new method and one by the recommendent method. The following results were obtained:

```
NewMethod 20.58 19.70 18.78 16.68 19.66 14.88 18.26 19.94 16.56 16.92
RecMethod 17.90 18.66 16.66 13.32 18.16 14.30 17.08 14.64 17.48 18.46
```

The company recieved the following two reports from the laboratories.

Please, answer the questions in the following page.

```
***Report I:
                                                ***Report II:
**Part 1: Grubbs test for one outlier
                                                Diff=NewMethod-RecMethod
data: NewMethod
                                                **Part 1: Grubbs test for one outlier
G = 1.9608, U = 0.5253, p-value = 0.2756
                                                data: Diff
alternative hypothesis: highest value 22.46
                                                G = 1.8869, U = 0.5604, p-value = 0.3666
is an outlier
                                                alternative hypothesis: highest value 5.3
data: RecMethod
                                                is an outlier
G = 2.1994, U = 0.4028, p-value = 0.08771
                                                **Part 2: Normality assumption
alternative hypothesis: lowest value 12.04
                                                One-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
is an outlier
                                                data: Diff
**Part 2: Normality assumption
                                                D = 0.1172, p-value = 0.9961
One-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
                                                alternative hypothesis: two-sided
data: NewMethod
                                                **Part 3: Testing for difference
D = 0.173, p-value = 0.8778
                                                One Sample t-test
alternative hypothesis: two-sided
                                                data: Diff
data: RecMethod
                                                t = 2.4216, df = 9, p-value = 0.03851
D = 0.2884, p-value = 0.313
                                                alternative hypothesis: true mean is not
alternative hypothesis: two-sided
                                                equal to 0
**Part 3: Equality of variances
F test to compare two variances
data: NewMethod and RecMethod
F = 1.0715, num df = 9, denom df = 9, p-value = 0.9197
alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances
is not equal to 1
**Part 4: Testing means
Two Sample t-test
data: NewMethod and RecMethod
t = -0.2584, df = 18, p-value = 0.799
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means
is not equal to 0
```

# Part 2 – Answer sheet

# Problem 1 (20pts)

(4pts)	Due to some misplacement of the paperwork, it is not known which record is from which Laboratory. Can you identify reports?							
	(1pts) Report I is received from Laboratory: $A/B$ and Report II from Laboratory: $A/B$							
	(circle your answer) (3pts) Give reasons for your choice:							
(C+-)	White the conclusions that follows from each money							
(6pts)	Write the conclusions that follows from each report.  (3pts) Report 1:							
	(optis) Report 1.							
	(3pts) Report 2:							
(5pts)	Which of the approaches you like better and explain why?							
(-1)								
(3pts)	Which of the approaches produced more valuable information? Explain why.							

(2pts) Do you think that the problem with one of the analyses is due to the errors in analyzing data Yes/No (circle your answer), do you think that the problem was with the design of collecting data Yes/No (circle your answer).

#### Part 3 – Question sheet

### Problem 1 (20pts)

The following results were obtained when each of a series of standard silver solutions was analysed by flame atomic-absorption spectrometry. The analysis of these by means of R is also presented below.

Concentration, A	Absorbance	Conc=c(10,1 Abs=c(0.251 Call: lm(formula Residuals:	,0.390,0.49	98,0.625,0.7	763,0.003,0	. 127)		
ng/ml	0.051	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10	0.251	-0.0027500	0.0104286	-0.0073929	-0.0062143	0.0059643	0.0008929	-0.0009286
15	0.390							
20	0.498	Coefficient	s:					
25   0.625		Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(> t )						
30	0.763	(Intercept)				0.678		
0	0.003							
5	0.127	Conc 	0.0251643	0.0002656	94.76 2.4	±86-09 ***		
		Residual st Multiple R- F-statistic	squared: 0	.9994,Adjust	ed R-square	ed: 0.9993	lom	

Based on this information do the following

- (3pts) Determine the slope and intercept of the calibration plot and make a sketch of the linear fit to the date. Include data points on the graph as well.
- (2pts) Determine the 95% confidence limits for the slope and intercept. (The corresponding quantiles of Student t-distribution are given by qt(0.025, 5) = -2.57 and qt(0.975, 5) = 2.57.)
- (4pts) Based on the above calibration fit find the silver concentration for a sample giving an absorbance of 0.42 in a single determination. Estimate the 95% confidence limits for the silver concentration. The following formula for the standard deviation of the concentration determination should be used for the purpose

$$s_{x_0} = \frac{s_{y/x}}{b} \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(y_0 - \bar{y})^2}{b^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}.$$

The following computations in R allows for effective computation of the above standard deviation

```
> mean(Abs)
[1] 0.3795714
> mean(Conc)
[1] 15
> sum((Conc-mean(Conc))^2)
[1] 700
```

- (6pts) Find the silver concentration for a sample giving absorbance values of 0.30, 0.31, 0.29 in three separate analyses of the same sample. Estimate the confidence limits for the concentration in this case. How the accuracy improved comparing to the one in the previous question? (In your computations you should use the information from the previous question.)
- (5pts) Estimate the limit of detection of the silver analysis.

Part 3 – Answer sheet

Problem	1 (20pts)			
(3pts)	The slope of the fit is		and the intercept is	. The following
	is the graph of data ar	nd the fit:		
(2nta)	The 05% confidence li	mita for the alon	0.000	and the corresponding ones
(2pts)	The 95% confidence li	mits for the stop	e are	and the corresponding ones
	for the intercept			
(4pts)	The predicted silver co	ncentration for a s	sample giving an absorbanc	ee of 0.42 is
	The 95% confidence lin	mits for the so-de	termined silver concentrat	cion is
(6pts)	The predicted silver co	oncentration for a	a sample giving absorbance	e values of 0.30, 0.31, 0.29 is
			nce limits for this prediction	
	by examining the obta	amed confidence i	mervais in this and the pi	revious question we see that
(5pts)	The response to the bl	ank signal which	is equal to	
	The standard error of	the response is gi	ven by	
	The limits of detection	n in the terms of a	absorption is	
	The smallest concentra			

#### Part 4 – Question sheet

## Problem 1 (10pts)

The fluorescence of each of a series of acidic solutions of quinine with concentrations 0,10,20,30,40,50 was determined five times. The mean values and standard deviations of these determinations have been obtained as follows:

Means: 4.0 21.2 44.6 61.8 78.0 105.2 StDev: 0.71 0.84 0.89 1.64 2.24 3.03

The following two analyses have been performed on the data

```
lm(formula = Means ~ Conc)
                                                               weights=SdInt^(-2)/mean(SdInt^(-2))
                                                               lm(formula = Means ~ Conc, weights = weights)
                                                               Coefficients:
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                                          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                               (Intercept) 3.48066 1.15736 3.007 0.0397 *
(Intercept) 2.9238 2.1648 1.351 0.248
Conc
            1.9817
                       0.0715 27.715 1.01e-05 ***
                                                               Conc
                                                                            1.96315
                                                                                     0.06765 29.018 8.4e-06 ***
Residual standard error: 2.991 on 4 degrees of freedom
                                                               Residual standard error: 2.034 on 4 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9948, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9935
                                                               Multiple R-squared: 0.9953, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9941
F-statistic: 768.1 on 1 and 4 DF, p-value: 1.008e-05
                                                               F-statistic: 842 on 1 and 4 DF, p-value: 8.396e-06
```

Answer the following questions.

- (3pts) What kind of analyses have been performed above? Write down the fits found by each of the two analyses.
- (4pts) Describe differences between the two methods. When one is preferable over the other?
- (3pts) Find the concentrations that follow from each of these two fits for the observed intensity of 45.

### Problem 2 (10pts)

In an experiment to determine hydrolysable tannins in plants by absorption spectroscopy the following results were obtained:

```
Absorbance (Abs) 0.084 0.183 0.326 0.464 0.643 Concentration (Conc), mg/ml 0.123 0.288 0.562 0.921 1.420
```

The following two analyses have been performed on the data

```
Conc2=Conc^2
                                                                lm(formula = Abs ~ Conc)
lm(formula = Abs ~ Conc + Conc2)
Residuals:
                                                                Residuals:
                 2
                          3
       1
                                                                      1
                                                                                 2
                                                                                           3
-0.004572 0.003127 0.008089 -0.009119 0.002474
                                                                -0.026572 0.002299 0.028842 0.014259 -0.018828
                                                                Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                                           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                                (Intercept) 0.05829 0.02060
(Intercept) 0.01651 0.01186 1.392 0.29841
                                                                                                2.83 0.066209 .
Conc
            0.59973
                       0.03953 15.172 0.00432 **
                                                                Conc
                                                                            0.42502
                                                                                       0.02544
                                                                                                16.71 0.000467 ***
           -0.11288
                       0.02483 -4.546 0.04514 *
Conc2
                                                               Residual standard error: 0.02646 on 3 degrees of freedom
Residual standard error: 0.009628 on 2 degrees of freedom
                                                               Multiple R-squared: 0.9894, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9858
Multiple R-squared: 0.9991, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9981
                                                               F-statistic: 279.1 on 1 and 3 DF, p-value: 0.0004669
F-statistic: 1065 on 2 and 2 DF, p-value: 0.0009384
```

Answer the following questions.

- (3pts) What kind of analyses have been performed above? Write down the fits found by each of them.
- (4pts) Describe differences between the two fits. Examine the residuals and  $R^2$  coefficients. Explain their role in assessing quality of the fits. Do you see any evidence that one fit is preferable over the other? Explain why.
- (3pts) Find the concentrations that follows from each of these two fits for the observed intensity of 0.5.

Problem	Part 4 – Answer sheet
	The analysis presented on the left hand side corresponds to:
	the resulting fit to the data is
	The analysis presented on the right hand side corresponds to:  and the
	resulting fit to the data is .
(4pts)	The two methods differ in the following aspects:
	The analysis presented on the right hand side is preferable over the other one if
(3pts)	The concentration corresponding to the intensity 45.0 is equal to:
	for the left hand side fit:
	for the right hand side fit:
Problem	
(3pts)	The analysis presented on the left hand side corresponds to:  and the res-
	ulting fit to the data is
	The analysis presented on the right hand side corresponds to:  and the res-
	ulting fit to the data is
(4pts)	The two methods differ in the following aspects:
	After examining the residuals for each fit we may say that:
	After examining the $R^2$ and adjusted $R^2$ for each fit we may say that:
(3pts)	The concentration corresponding to the intensity 0.5 is:
(~P ~~)	for the left hand side fit:
	for the right hand side fit:

#### Part 5 – Question sheet

### Problem 1 (20pts)

Four standard solutions were prepared, each containing 16.00% (by weight) of chloride. Three titration methods, each with a different technique of end-point determination, were used to analyse each standard solution. The order of the experiments was randomized. The results for the chloride found (% w/w) are shown below:

	Method				
Solution	Α	В	C		
1	16.03	16.13	16.09		
2	16.05	16.13	16.15		
3	16.02	15.94	16.12		
4	16.12	15.97	16.10		

- (3pts) Identify two factors and their levels. Are they controllable? Which of them is of primary interest and which is a nuisance factor?
- (4pts) Explain why it is not possible to analyze interactions between factors in this problem. What would have to be done in order to study the interactions? Do you expect interactions to play a role in this example?
- (4pts) The following code has been run in R and the resulting numerical values are presented as well:

```
> Perc=c(16.03,16.13,16.09,16.05,16.13,16.15,16.02,15.94,16.12,16.12,15.97,16.10)
> Sol=c(rep("1",3),rep("2",3),rep("3",3),rep("4",3))
> Meth=c(rep(c("A","B","C"),4))
> PercM=matrix(Perc,ncol=3,byrow=T)
> r=4
> c=3
> sum((Perc-mean(Perc))^2)
[1] 0.05129167
> MTr=apply(PercM,2,mean)
> r*sum((MTr-mean(Perc))^2)
[1] 0.01201667
> MBl=apply(PercM,1,mean)
> c*sum((MBl-mean(Perc))^2)
[1] 0.01109167
```

From these computations identify all sums of squares and report the degrees of freedom associated to each of them.

- (3pts) Using the above computations test whether there are significant differences between the results obtained by different methods. The following value can be used for this purpose: qf(0.95,2,6)=5.143
- (3pts) Test whether there are significant differences between the concentration of chloride in different solutions. The following value can be used for this purpose: qf(0.95,3,6)=4.757
- (3pts) The following output table is a result of performing ANOVA on the data using R-function 1m. Some values in this printout have been removed. Using the computations you have for the previous questions, fill out the missing values in the printout

Part 5 – Answer sheet Problem 1 Controllable? Yes/No (circle your answer) (3pts) Factor 1: at levels Controllable? Yes/No (circle your answer) Factor 2: at levels Of primary interest is Factor: and a nuisance factor is Factor: (4pts) Explain why it is not possible to analyze interactions between factors in this problem. What would have to be done in order to study the interactions? Do you expect interactions to play a role in this example? (4pts) The sums of squares and the corresponding degrees of freedom are: (3pts) By computing the following test statistic and comparing it with the quantile of distribution with degrees of freedom, we conclude that and comparing it with (3pts) By computing the following test statistic - the quantile of -distribution with degrees of freedom, we conclude that

(3pts) Using the computations you have for the previous questions, fill out the missing values in the printout

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Perc

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
Sol 0.0110917 0.7871 0.5435
Meth 2 0.0120167 0.0060083 0.3446

Residuals 6 0.0046972