Review of exam topics

- Interpolation: Lagrange form of the interpolating polynomial and Newton's divided difference formula;
- Matrices and systems of linear equations: Cholesky factorisation and iteration methods (Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel);
- Numerical differentiation and ordinary differential equations/IVP's. Euler and modified Euler formulas, Runge-Kutta methods.
- Numerical integration: Newton-Cotes quadrature formulas, Gaussian quadrature
- Eigenvalues and eigenvectors: The power method, Gershgorin circle theorem and the inverse power method;
- Approximation theory: Chebyshev polynomials, economization of power series.

Lagrange interpolating polynomials

Let $x_0, x_1, x_2, ... x_n$ be n+1 points and $f_i = f(x_i)$ the function values at these points. An interpolating polynomial P_n is a polynomial of degree at most n such that $P_n(x_i) = f_i$.

The Lagrange Form of the Interpolating polynomial is

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n L_{n,i}(x) f_i$$
 where $L_{n,i}(x) = \prod_{\substack{k=0 \ k \neq i}}^n \frac{x - x_k}{x_i - x_k}$

and the interpolation error is given by the formula

$$f(x) - P(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_n)$$

Dana Mackey (DIT) Maths 2 / 14

Examples

Consider the function $f(x) = \sin(x)$.

- **1** Construct the Lagrange form of the interpolating polynomial for f passing through the points $(0,\sin(0))$, $(\pi/4,\sin(\pi/4))$ and $(\pi/2,\sin(\pi/2))$.
- ② Use this polynomial to estimate $sin(\pi/3)$. What is the error in this approximation?
- **Solution** Establish the theoretical error bound for using the polynomial found in part (a) to approximate $\sin(\pi/3)$. How does this error compare with that in part (b)?

Newton's divided difference formula

The Newton form of the interpolating polynomial is

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f[x_0, x_1, \dots x_k] \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - x_i) \right)$$

= $f(x_0) + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2](x - x_0)(x - x_1) +$
 $+ \dots f[x_0, x_1, \dots x_n](x - x_0)(x - x_1) \dots (x - x_{n-1})$

where

$$f[x_0, x_1] = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0};$$
 $f[x_0, x_1, x_2] = \frac{f[x_1, x_2] - f[x_0, x_1]}{x_2 - x_0}$ etc.

are the divided differences of f(x) with respect to the interpolating points.

Dana Mackey (DIT) Maths 4 / 14

Exercises

- Repeat example on previous slide for Newton interpolation polynomial
- Starting with the interpolating polynomial in the form

$$P(x) = a_0 + a_1(x - x_0) + a_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots$$

derive the coefficients a_0 , a_1 , etc. and show they are given by the divided differences formulas.

Matrices and systems of linear equations

- A matrix A is called **positive definite** if it is symmetric and X^TAX > 0 for any vector X.
- A matrix is called strictly diagonally dominant if, for each row, the absolute value of the diagonal element is strictly larger than the sum of absolute values of the other elements.
- A symmetric matrix A is positive definite if all its eigenvalues are positive.
- A symmetric matrix A is positive definite if it strictly diagonally dominant and all its diagonal elements are positive.

Cholesky factorisation

If A is symmetric positive definite then A can be factorised in the form $A = LL^T$, where L is a lower triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal elements.

Example: Show the following matrices are symmetric positive definite and calculate the Cholesky factorisation.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 8 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Hence, solve the systems

$$AX = M;$$
 $BX = M$

where $M = (1, 2, -1)^T$.

Iterative methods: Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel

Example: Consider the system of equations

$$5x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 10$$
$$-3x_1 + 9x_2 + 4x_3 = -14$$
$$x_1 + 2x_2 - 7x_3 = -33$$

The Jacobi algorithm is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{5} \left[10 - x_2^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)} \right] \\ x_2^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{9} \left[-14 + 3x_1^{(k)} - 4x_3^{(k)} \right] \\ x_3^{(k+1)} &= -\frac{1}{7} \left[-33 - x_1^{(k)} - 2x_2^{(k)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

while the Gauss-Seidel algorithm is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{5} \left[10 - x_2^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)} \right] \\ x_2^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{9} \left[-14 + 3x_1^{(k+1)} - 4x_3^{(k)} \right] \\ x_3^{(k+1)} &= -\frac{1}{7} \left[-33 - x_1^{(k+1)} - 2x_2^{(k+1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Note: If *A* is strictly diagonally dominant then both the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel algorithms will converge for any choice of the initial vector approximation!

Ordinary differential equations

Euler's method

Consider the first order IVP

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)),$$
 $a \le t \le b$
 $y(a) = \alpha$

Euler's method is used to determine an approximation w to the exact solution y(t) of this problem. The algorithm is

$$w_0 = \alpha$$

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + hf(t_i, w_i)$$

where $t_i = a + ih$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N, h = (b - a)/N and $w_i \approx y(t_i)$.

Exercise 1: Derive Euler's algorithm from the Taylor series expansion of the solution y(t).

Exercise 2: The IVP

$$x'(t) = \frac{t}{x}, \quad 0 \le t \le 5, \qquad x(0) = 1$$

has the exact solution $x(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + 1}$. Find an approximation to this solution using Euler's method. Use a step size h = 0.5 and calculate the absolute error at each step.

Exercise 3: Redo Exercise 2 with a step size h = 0.25. What do you notice about the errors?

The classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method

The algorithm is given by

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

where

$$k_1 = hf(t_i, w_i),$$

$$k_2 = hf(t_i + \frac{h}{2}, w_i + \frac{k_1}{2}),$$

$$k_3 = hf(t_i + \frac{h}{2}, w_i + \frac{k_2}{2}),$$

$$k_4 = hf(t_i + h, w_i + k_3),$$

where w_i is the approximate solution at time $t_i = t_0 + ih$.

Example

• Using the Euler method and a step size of h = 0.25, find an approximate solution for the initial value problem

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 3t - \frac{x}{t}, \qquad 1 \le t \le 3,$$

$$x(1) = 2.$$

Given that the exact solution is $x(t) = t^2 + \frac{1}{t}$, calculate the approximation error at each step.

② Approximate the solution of the problem in part (b) using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a step size of h = 0.25. How do the absolute errors in this case compare with those obtained from the Euler's method?

Dana Mackey (DIT) Maths 13 / 14

Numerical Integration

- Derivation of open and closed Newton-Cotes formulas for n = 1, n = 2, n = 3 starting with the general Newton-Cotes quadrature formula and using Lagrange interpolating polynomials.
- 2 Composite Newton-Cotes formulas. Derivation of composite trapezoidal and Simpson's rule starting with the standard ones (with error terms).
- Numerical verification of rates of convergence for composite rules.
- Using the error term for a composite rule to calculate the number of subintervals required for a specifoed degree of accuracy.
- **3** Gaussian quadrature formulas (up to 3 points). Converting the interval of integration [-1,1] to a general interval [a,b].